RC 004 123

ED 036 387

AUTHOR TITLE

INSTITUTION

SPONS AGENCY REPORT NO PUB DATE NCTE

EERS PAICE DESCRIPTORS CRECINK, JOHN C.: STEPTCE, ROOSEVELT HUMAN RESOURCES IN THE KURAL MISSISSIPPI

DELTA... WITH EMPHASIS CN THE POOK.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, WASHINGTON, D.C. ECONOMIC RESEARCH SERVICE.; SOUTHERN UNIV. IND AGRICULTURAL AND MECHANICAL CCLL., BATON ROUGE, LA.

OFFICE OF ECONOMIC CPPORTUNITY, WASHINGTON, D.C.

AER-170 JAN 70 84P.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.50 HC-\$4.30 AGRICULTURAL OCCUPATIONS, AREA STUDIES, COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS, *DEPRESSED AREAS (GEOGRAPHIC), DROPOUTS, *ECONOMIC DISADVANTAGEMENT, EDUCATIONALLY DISADVANTAGED, *EIPLCYMENT OPPORTUNITIES, HOUSING, INDUSTRY, *MANPOWER UTILIZATION, MIGRATION, POVERTY PROGRAMS, *RURAL EDUCATION, SURVEYS, TABLES (DATA)


AESTRACT

MANPOWER RESOURCES, POVERTY, AND UNEMPLOYMENT IN THE MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION ARE THE MAIN FEATURES OF THE REPORT. DATA USED WERE COLLECTED BY 22 ENUMERATORS WORKING IN 16 COUNTIES SCATTERED THROUGHOUT MISSOURI, ARKANSAS, MISSISSIPPI, AND LOUISIANA. THE SURVEY WAS CONDUCTED IN THE SUMMER OF 1966. ASPECTS SURVEYED AND REPORTED ON AFE HOUSEHOLD CHARFCTERISTICS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME, EMPLOYABILITY AND EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION OF CHILDREN, FARM CPERATOR FAMILIES, HCME-PRODUCED FOOD, AND HOUSING. THE AUTHORS CITE THE CRITICAL PROBLEMS AND SUGGEST POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS SUCH AS REDUCING THE SCHOOL DROPOUT RATE OR IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF EDUCATION BY BPINGING IN OUTSIDE FUNDS TO PAY THE COSTS OF EDUCATION. A SERIES OF 53 TABLES OF DATA PROVIDES A STATISTICAL BASE FOR DETERMINING AND ANALYZING THE PROBLEM AREAS. (LB)

WITH EMPHASIS ON THE POOR

ED036387

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE • ECONOMIC RESEARCH SERVICE MISSISSIPPI AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION





PREFACE

The research reported here is part of a series on selected areas throughout the South. Three of these areas are: The Ozarks of Arkansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma; The Southeast Coastal Plains of Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina; and the Delta of Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Missouri. The Office of Economic Opportunity provided the financial support for the studies. The first in the series, "Characteristics of Human Resources in the Rural Southeast Coastal Plain ... With Emphasis on the Poor," U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agr. Econ. Rpt. 155, was published April 1969 in Cooperation with South Carolina Agricultural Experiment Station, Clemson University.

The research was conducted under Memoranda of Understanding between the Economic Development Division, Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and the Mississippi Agricultural Experiment Station and the Department of Agricultural Economics, Southern University and Agricultural and Mechanical College (Louisiana), and was under the general supervision of M.R. Janssen and John C. Crecink, EDD, ERS; D.W. Parvin, Mississippi State University; and T.T. Williams and Roosevelt Steptoe, Southern University.

The authors express their appreciation to the others listed above and M.F. Jordan and James H. Copp, both of EDD, ERS, for their assistance and counsel throughout the period of the study.

Washington, D.C. 20250

January 1970

CONTENTS

	Page
HIGHLIGHTS	vii
INTRODUCTION Study Area and Selection of Sample General Household Characteristics	
DETAILED HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS. Education of Head of Household. Sex of Head of Household. Sex Ratio. Dependency and Fertility Ratios. Household Types. Age of Head of Household.	-
HOUSEHOLD INCOME Income and Sex of Head of Household	
EMPLOYABILITY AND EMPLOYMENT. Labor Force Participation) 10 10 11
EDUCATION OF CHILDREN Educational Dropouts	
FARM OPERATOR FAMILIES	12
HOME-PRODUCED FOOD	12
HOUSING	13
THE RURAL POOR IN THE MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION	13
ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS FOR ALLEVIATING POVERTY IN THE DELTA	ı,
	- 1



TABLES

		Page
1.	Persons in household, by race, sex, and residence of head, 1966	20
2.	Persons in household, by race and residence-occupation of the household head, 1966	21
3.	Age of household head, by race and residence-occupation, 1966	22
4.	Educational attainment of household head, by race and residence-occupation, 1966	23
5.	Population attributes, by household size-income class (economic deprivation level), sex, and race, 1966	24
6.	Household types by household size-income class (economic deprivation level) and race, 1966	25
7.	Household type, by income class and race, 1966	26
8.	Household size-income class (economic deprivation level), by race and age of household head, 1966	27
9.	Educational attainment of household head, by household size-income class, 1966	28
10.	Household income, by race and residence-occupation of household head, 1966	29
11.	Household income, by race and sex of household head, 1966	30
12.	Sources of household income, by race and residence-occupation of household head, 1966	31
13.	Persons in household, by household income and by race of household head, 1966	32
14.	Household income, by household size-income class and race of household head, 1966	33
15.	Household size-income class, by race and sex of household head, 1966	34
16.	Employment status of household head, by race, 1966	35
17.	Educational attainment of household head, by race and age, 1966	36
18.	Labor force participation of household head, 1961-66, by race and age, 1966	37

TABLES--continued

19.	Employment status of household head by many and household to	Page
⊥ ⊅•	Employment status of household head, by race and household income, 1966	38
20.	Labor force participation of household head, 1961-66, by household income, 1966	39
21.	Employment status of household head, by household income and race, 1966	40
22.	Occupation of household head, by household income and race, 1966	41
23.	Employment status of household head, by education and race, 1966	43
24.	Labor force participation of household head, 1961-66, by education and race, 1966	1414
25.	Occupation of household head, by education and race, 1966	45
26.	Employment status of household head, by education and race, 1966	47
27.	Occupation of household head, by household size-income class and race, 1966	48
28.	Selected employment and income characteristics, by residence- occupation and race of household head, 1966	49
29.	Primary occupation of household head in the active labor force and secondary occupation of multi-jobholding heads, by employment status and race, 1966	51
30.	Average hours household head worked per week, by race, 1966	52
31.	Age of household head whose main occupation was nonfarm, by extent of unemployment and other jobs held in 1961-66, and race, 1966	53
32.	Job and geographic mobility potential for household head, by age and race, 1966	['] 55
33.	Physical disability of household head, by residence-occupation and race, 1966	56
34.	Physical disability of household head, by income class and race, 1966	57
35.	Physical disability of household head, by race, age, and occupation, 1966	58
36.	Household income, amount of education parents think children need, and amount for expected of oldest son still in school, by race, 1966	59



TABLES--continued

		Page
37.	Reason youngest child dropped out of school, by household income and race, 1966	60
38.	Age at which youngest child dropped out of school, by household income and race, 1966	61
39.	Tenure of and gross sales by farm operators, by race, 1966	62
40.	Food produced for home consumption, by residence-occupation and race, 1966	62
41.	Condition of dwelling, by household size-income class, household income, and race of occupants, 1966	63
42.	Poor households (household size-income classes 1 and 2) by race, sex, residence-occupation, and age of household head, 1966	64
43.	Household head (household size-income classes 1 and 2), classified by race, age, sex, and education, 1966	65
44.	Poor households (household size-income classes 1 and 2), by race, sex, and age of household head, and number in the household, 1966.	66
45.	Poor households (household size-income classes 1 and 2), by race, sex, and age of household head, and number of wage earners in the household, 1966	. 67
46.	Disability status of household head (household size-income classes 1 and 2), classified by race, age, and sex, 1966	. 68
47.	Poor households (household size-income classes 1 and 2), by race, and age of household head, and household type, 1966	. 69
48.	Employment status of male household head (household size-income classes 1 and 2), by race, sex, and age, 1966	. 70
49.	Occupation of household head (household size-income classes 1 and 2), by race, sex, and age, 1966	• 71
50.	Household income level (household size-income classes 1 and 2), by race, sex, and age, 1966	• 72
51.	Population of poor households (household size-income classes 1 and 2), by race, sex, and age of household head and household members 1966	. 7 3
52.	Food produced for home consumption and condition of dwelling, by se of household head (household size-income classes 1 and 2), 1966	x . 75
53.	A profile of household heads based on race, sex, physical status, and age, 1966	. 76



HIGHLIGHTS

The Mississippi Delta region has about the highest percentage of poor rural people among the areas of the United States. In this study, about 52 percent of rural households and 62 percent of the rural population sampled were classified as poor in 1966. Seventy-nine percent of the Negro households and 84 percent of the Negro population were poor, contrasted with 28 and 31 percent for whites.

The dependency ratio was about three times and the fertility ratio four times higher for poor households than for others. About 35 percent of all white children under 15 years of age were in poor households, compared with 87 percent of all Negro children. Generally, young adult females tended to outnumber young adult males in each income class, but for each race the tendency was more pronounced among poor households. One-third of both white and Negro poor household heads were 65 years of age or older, and half of each group had heads 55 years of age or older. However, sizable numbers of poor households were found in all age groups.

Poor Negro and white households had many of the same attributes. Seventy-eight percent of the Negro household heads and 64 percent of the white household heads had less than 8 years of schooling; 13 percent of the heads were illiterate and 31 percent were functionally illiterate; age distributions in both groups were almost the same; 55 percent of white and 45 percent of Negro heads had a physical disability; single-member households were distributed proportionally for the two races, but single females outnumbered single males 5 to 2; and about equal percentages were employed full-time and as farm laborers. Negro households contained more persons, generally had much worse housing, and less often produced food for home consumption.

The occupational group with the low proportion of poor families (of those giving income data) was the farm operators—about 1 in 7 white operators and about 3 in 5 Negro operators were poor. The poorest occupational group was the farm laborers—2 in 3 whites and 7 in 8 Negroes—about the same proportions as those for all poor households where the head was unemployed.


For households headed by those 65 years of age or older, physically disabled, or both (30 percent of the sample), income increases sufficient to escape from poverty seem to depend on some form of income transfer programs. For children in low-income households, however, education is essential to solving the problems of poverty. This need for education extends to every preschool child from low-income household.

Job opportunities for the unskilled are limited in the Delta, as they are elsewhere. Even so, the high concentration of rural unskilled in the Delta warrants more investigation on the feasibility of expanding or introducing local high-labor-intensive industries that could use borderline and functional



illiterates. Such measures should be considered together with subsidized outmigration and alternative program subsidies. Whatever other programs may be adopted, upgrading the labor force by education and training will be essential.

The relatively large number of children in poor households suggests the need for family planning information, birth-control devices, and related professional advice.



John C. Crecink* and Roosevelt Steptoe**

INTRODUCTION

The Mississippi Delta extends from the Bootheel area of Missouri to the Red River in Louisiana (see map). The region in this study consists of 43 counties fully in the Delta in four States (Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Missouri) and has often been characterized as containing the largest number of poor people in the United States. 1/ The continuing mass outmigration from the Delta to other regions of the United States during the last 30 years makes the poverty problem of the Delta a part of the national poverty that cannot be solved locally or regionally. The Delta is not a completely homogeneous region: local areas differ in population mix, soils, major crops produced, and social institutions.

In the north Delta (northeast Arkansas and the Missouri Bootheel) the population is predominantly white. The type of farming is general, with small grain and corn production in competition with cotton, and farms are generally of small to medium size, with a high degree of owner-operatorship. The south Delta (southeast Arkansas, northeast Louisiana, and the Yazoo-Mississippi Delta) has relatively large holdings operated under corporate or semicorporate ownership. ("Semicorporate" is an undivided estate managed by several people, but which is not a cooperative nor a private enterprise.) Negroes outnumber whites, and cotton and soybeans are the principal cash crops. The central Delta, almost entirely in Arkansas, is a transition zone. Rice and soybeans are the principal cash crops, and the population is evenly

^{*} John C. Crecink, Agricultural Economist, Economic Development Division, Economic Research Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture, stationed at Mississippi State University.

^{**} Roosevelt Steptoe, Director, Research and Development, Department of Agricultural Economics, Southern University and Agricultural and Mechanical College, Baton Rouge, La.

^{1/} The counties in Arkansas are: Arkansas, Chicot, Clay, Craighead, Crittenden, Cross, Desha, Greene, Jefferson, Lee, Lincoln, Mississippi, Monroe, Phillips, Poinsett, St. Francis, and Woodruff; in Louisiana they are: Catahcula, Concordia, East Carroll, Franklin, Madison, Morehouse, Richland, Tensas, and West Carroll; in Mississippi they are: Bolivar, Coahoma, Humphreys, Issaquena, Leflore, Quitman, Sharkey, Sunflower, Tallahatchie, Tunica, and Washington; in Missouri they are: Dunklin, Mississippi, New Madrid, Pemiscot, Scott, and Stoddard.

divided between Negro and white. Small landownership tracts are interspersed among large plantation holdings. The entire region is homogeneous to the extent that it is a large, highly fertile land area in which commercial agriculture predominates. Throughout the Delta, farming is considered a business enterprise, requiring large quantities of both investment and operating capital, and high levels of management. As wages have risen, emphasis has been on substituting such capital inputs as machinery, herbicides, pesticides, and fertilizers for labor and labor-using machines. Much of the labor previously used in the region has been displaced through one cause or another. The present cotton diversion program further reduced farm labor requirements. Economic development in the manufacturing and service sectors of the Delta economy has not provided enough new jots to offset the loss of employment in the agricultural sector. Further, more people are reaching labor force age than death or retirement removes from the labor force. Consequently, the region continues to have unemployed and underemployed manpower.

The displacement of workers within agriculture has been highly selective. Because of the rapidity with which the changes in the levels of technology have occurred in Delta agriculture, only the more adaptive farmworkers have been retained on the farms. Generally, these are the young, better-educated and--for lack of a more descriptive term--more reliable individuals. These workers operate expensive equipment and are expected to exercise considerable judgment and to possess highly technical skills. Despite the excess labor in the area, there is an inadequate supply of skilled or semiskilled labor and technicians.

The individuals and families who have been displaced are mostly those least capable of making adjustments. To some extent, those possessing adjustment potential have migrated out of the area and those who are left fall into two groups. In the first group are those who are somewhat adaptive and are employed as machinery operators and in other technical jobs, but because of circumstances, are "boxed in"--unable to improve their jobs or relocate. The second group--by far the larger--is comprised of the functional illiterates, the poorly trained, the unmotivated and apathetic, and the older individuals. This group is ill-equipped to cope with a rapidly changing rural or urban environment.

This study focuses on the identification and estimation of the magnitude of the disadvantaged group in the rural area of the Delta and what might improve their condition.

Study Area and Selection of Sample

The rural parts of 42 full Delta counties (entirely within the flatland Delta region) constituted the study area. Part Delta-part hill counties were excluded because of the difficulty of dividing the population between the two parts. Delta counties in the four States were grouped into units of three, based on location, census population statistics, trade area statistics, and (to some degree) types of farming. From each unit of three counties, one county was randomly selected as a survey county. Sample segments within each selected county were randomly drawn from current State highway department maps.

The total number of segments drawn was based on the 1960 census estimate of the open country and towns and villages under 2,500 population. The number of sample segments drawn in each selected county was based on the ratio of the unit's population to the total population of the region. That is, the sample segments within each selected county were drawn from open country and towns and villages in proportion to the ratio of the population in each class for the three-county unit to the regional population distribution. A total of 1,249 usuable questionnaires was obtained from the randomized block sample: 876 from open country households and 373 from households in towns and villages under 2,500 in population. The data collection methods are described in the appendix.

General Household Characteristics

Because agriculture dominates the economy of the area, a sharp contrast between open-country and town and village residents does not exist. For certain purposes the breakdown is useful and will be used, but a more meaningful classification of both white and Negro households in this study are farm (23.5 percent), farm labor (22.3 percent), and nonfarm (54.2 percent), disregarding their actual place of residence. Because there are significant differences between the statistics for white and Negro households, data are presented separately for them. Since the races are nearly equally represented in the survey-52.4 percent of the schedules were obtained from white households, and 47.6 percent from Negro households-data indicating totals for the sample population are not generally presented. Furthermore, the total data frequently mask important differences that are useful for policy and program formulation.

Seventy percent of the respondent households were in open country—the rest in towns of under 2,500 (table 1). 2/ While 80 percent of the white households lived in the open country, only 60 percent of the Negro households lived there. The average household size among Negroes was 4.88 for open country and 3.88 for towns; among whites, 3.38 for open country and 2.90 for towns.

Size of Households

Negro households averaged 1.36 more persons per household than white households, although the modal household size was approximately the same (table 2). Nonfarm households were generally smaller than the farm or farm labor households. Nonfarm household heads were generally older than the household heads of the other two groups. On the other hand, farm labor households for both whites and Negroes were considerably larger than the average for each race; at the same time the household heads were younger than the farm and nonfarm household heads (table 3).

As expected, white farm households outnumbered Negro farm households about five to one, whereas Negro farm labor households outnumbered white farm labor households about three to one. Approximately the same proportion of

^{2/} Tables are grouped at the end of the report.

white and Negro households were classified as nonfarm. The nonfarm class includes off-farm employed, retired, and disabled household heads. The percentage distribution of white and Negro households within this class is approximately the same (table 4). The household heads of the nonfarm group, both white and Negro, were considerably older than the corresponding farm and farm labor household heads because the nonfarm category includes the retired and semiretired heads.

Income and Economic Well-Being

Household money income by itself is an inaccurate indicator of the economic well-being of the household. For example, the number of persons in the household, the age distribution and sex of household members, and the value of nonmoney income (perquisites and home-grown food), among other things, helps to de rmine the all-round economic well-being of households with given money incomes. However, a minimum satisfactory money income does exist for a given household. While the absolute level that is needed may be open to argument, money income level can be used as an indicator of economic well-being.

Household Size in Relation to Income Level

A classification scheme to provide more meaning for household income data was used. Household size was used to adjust income data, not to a per capita basis, but to a combination income and composition basis as follows:

	: F	lousehold	size-inco	me	cl. & de	sc:	ription	of	depriva	ation level	
Household	:	1 :	2	:	3	:	14	:	5	: 6	
income	:	Serious	Definite	: M	larginal	:P:	robably	:De		ly:Undeter-	
	:			<u>:</u>		<u>:</u>	not	:	$\underline{}$ not	: mined_	
	:						_				
Number of persons in household											
\$0-999	: 2	or more	1								
\$1,000-1,999	: 5	or more	2,3,or 4	ŀ	1						
\$2,000-2,999	: 9	or more	4-8		2-3		1				
\$3,000-4,999	:		8 or more)	4-7		2 - 3		1		
\$5,000-7,499	:			9	or more	•	4-8		1-3		
\$7,500-9,999	:					6	or mor	e	1-5		
\$10,000 and over	::					9	or mor	e	1-8		
Not reported	:									Any	
Refusal	:									Any	
	:										

While the classification scheme has some obvious and some less obvious limitations as an analytical measure, it does go beyond the simple household income concept for measuring economic well-being. It is an attempt to reduce incomes of all households to a comparable base. Classes 1 (Serious) and 2 (Definite) under the classification are considered to indicate more severe poverty. The discussion will be directed toward these two classes.



DETAILED HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS

The households in the Delta region have certain physical characteristics that are related to the various economic and social conditions associated with poverty. These characteristics vary among races and among classes. Yet many characteristics are surprisingly similar between races in the same class of poverty.

Education of Head of Household

The (median) average number of years of education for all household heads was 7.25. However, the median was 5.57 years for Negroes and 8.51 years for whites (table 4). Farm laborers had the lowest level of formal education in both racial groups. While only 15 percent of all heads had completed high school, only 5 percent of the Negro heads had received this much formal education.

Sex of Head of Household

Females headed 18 percent of all households with a slightly higher proportion among Negroes:

Household heads	Number	Percent
Whites: all males females	655 582 73	52.4 88.9 11.1
Negroes: all males females	594 441 <u>153</u>	147.6 74.2 25.8
Total	1,249	100.0

Sex Ratio

The sex ratio was 93.5 males for each 100 females, and was higher for whites than for Negroes (table 5). The number of males was somewhat below' that expected of a population with normal death rates for males. This indicates that outmigration rates for males have been higher than for females, especially among Negroes. The effect of outmigration is particularly noticeable when the number of males and females is compared among different age groups by race. There were fewer males in the 20- to 64-year groups than for comparable female age groups for both races.

Dependency and Fertility Ratios

Economically deprived class 1 and 2 households, both white and Negro, have high dependency and fertility ratios, contrasted with more affluent



households (table 5). The cycle of poverty appears to be self-perpetuating among these households; that is, these households' reproductive capacity outstrips their means of providing economic well-being. As a result, a disproportionate number of the children of the region are being born into and reared in poverty. An intense program in family planning would do little to alleviate present conditions. For example, 98 percent of households headed by solitary Negro females were economically deprived (table 6). While this group (and those similar) exist, and there is little a public program can do to reduce their size, measures must be taken to prevent formation of similar households in almost certain poverty.

Household Types

To relate household income more directly to economic well-being, the following classification of household composition was devised:

Complete Households:

Newlywed.....Husband and wife under 25 years of age or eldest child of couple under 5 years of age.

Static......Husband and wife over 25 years of age but childless or all children have departed from household.

Normal......Husband and wife with children, the eldest unmarried child 5 years of age or older.

Female head... Husband and wife with children but wife is head of household.

Abnormal.....Husband and wife but with young grandchildren or unrelated children in the household.

Multiple.....Husband and wife but with other families in the household with or without children.

Incomplete Households:

Bachelor-male....Unmarried male, with or without other people in household but not own children.

Widower......Wife deceased, with own children in house-hold.

Bachelor-female... Unmarried female, with or without other people in household but not own children.

Solitary female...Solitary female with own children in house-hold, husband not present.

Complete households, where a husband and wife are present, were distributed over the entire range of household income, indicating that the incentive to earn acceptable incomes is partly family-oriented, regardless of race (table 7). Few incomplete households received more than \$3,000 income and most received less than \$2,000. Some of these households were single-unit (primarily widowers, bachelor-males, widows, and bachelor-females) but about one-third had children under 18 years of age. Almost 11 percent of the Negro households were headed by females with small children and no adult male present, and 74 percent of these families received less than \$2,000 income.



The abnormal households and multiple households also generally received under \$3,000 income. Again, these were primarily Negro households and they paralleled the income distribution of the static households. The main difference was that the abnormal and multiple households had more members.

Age of Head of Household

Households headed by the young or the old would be expected to be more economically deprived than households with middle-aged heads, and to some extent this is true (table 8). However, the survey showed that age of the head alone does not necessarily relegate a household to poverty; race appears to be much more influential. Age and formal education of the head together do have an influence on earning capacity and, therefore, the economic well-being of the household. But age and education correlate inversely, whereas education and income correlate positively (table 9).

HOUSEHOLD INCOME

Because the Delta is a highly commercialized farming area, the farm group, both white and Negro, was the least deprived. Fewer than 1 in 5 of the white farmers had household incomes under \$3,000 whereas 6 of 7 of the farm labor and one in two nonfarm white households had incomes less than \$3,000 (table 10). Among Negro households, 7 in 10 farm, 9 in 10 labor on farms, and 8 in 10 nonfarm had incomes under \$3,000. There was no significant difference between the incomes of households within the same group, whether located in the open country or in towns and villages. Overall, about 3 in 7 white households, but about 6 in 7 Negro households, had incomes less than \$3,000.

Income and Sex of Head of Household

Households headed by white males had median incomes of almost \$3,800, contrasted to those headed by Negro males with only about \$1,540, or less than half as much (table 11). However, each of these groups had incomes considerably above like households headed by females. In fact, 7 in 10 and 9 in 10 of white and Negro households headed by females received less than \$3,000 income. Almost 25 percent of the households in the survey receiving less than \$3,000 income were headed by females, but households headed by females made up only 18 percent of all households.

Sources of Income

Approximately half the white farm households received incomes from wages, salaries, and other sources in addition to farm income, but the 64 white and 2 Negro farm households receiving more than \$10,000 income indicated that they received farm income only (table 12). By definition, all farm-labor households received wages and salaries but no farm income. However,



relatively few of these households received income from any other source; about 1 in 6 Negro households received some retirement income, usually old age and survivors' insurance (OASI) payments. The nonfarm group, on the other hand, received income from a variety of sources. Slightly more than 1 in 2 of both the white and Negro households received income in the form of wages and salaries, and about 2 in 5 received retirement (primarily OASI) income. The number and percentage of households receiving welfare payments was surprisingly small—less than 8 percent overall. 3/

Income and Family Size

Family size was one of the reservations raised above concerning the use of household income as an indicator of the level of economic well-being of the household. White households averaged about 1 person less than Negro households, but the distribution of households by size indicates that most white households had six or fewer individuals whereas the Negro households ranged from 1 to 13 members (table 13). Not only did the Negro households have lower household incomes, but they had more individuals to support with a given income; that is, there was a lower per capita income for Negroes than for whites. To some extent, there is an inverse relationship between household size and household income, particularly among Negroes.

All households with incomes under \$1,000 were classified economically deprived, and 82 percent of all households with incomes less than \$3,000 were so classified (table 14). However, only 28 percent of white households were economically deprived—about one—third the percentage for Negro households. Only about 6 percent of the Negro households were not economically deprived, in contrast to about 43 percent of the white households. If the household head was Negro, the probability of the household being economically deprived was high, about 8.5 to 1; but if the head was also female, the probability was about 9 to 1 (table 15).

EMPLOYABILITY AND EMPLOYMENT

About 73 percent of the white and 66 percent of the Negro household heads were employed either full- or part-time in 1966 (table 16). While the unemployment rate of 3.4 percent is relatively low, the rate of unemployment for Negroes was four times that of whites. The survey was made in summer, when seasonal employment was highest. During the winter, the unemployment rate would be higher. About equal percentages of white and Negro household heads were either retired, disabled, or both.

Labor Force Participation

Since the years of schooling and the age of the household head are indicators of employability, the younger, better educated household head stands a better chance of being employed. First, the younger household heads

^{3/} See discussion of enumerator bias, appendix, page 19.

(both white and Negro) in the region have received more education than the older heads (table 17). Second, more white and Negro young household heads have had nonfarm jobs during the last 5 years than the older heads. fewer young household heads have been employed exclusively in farming than the older heads (table 18). Generally, as the age of the household head increases, the probability of labor force participation decreases. As labor force participation increases, household income increases (table 19). The unemployed, retired, disabled, and retired and disabled household heads generally were among the low-income group, with relatively few households receiving \$3,000 or more income (tables 20 and 21). Because farming is a competitive business which requires large investment, opportunities to enter farming are limited, particularly for the young household heads. As noted above, the farm operator group generally had the highest household incomes of any of the occupational groups (table 22). Since the survey was restricted to open-country and town and village households, the number engaged in agricultural employment was high: 62 percent of all employed household heads. Nonfarm laborers and operators were the largest other occupational groups.

Most household heads in the active labor force were above the functional illiterate level (table 23). 4/ Conversely, a high proportion of household heads not in the labor force could be considered as illiterate or functionally illiterate (table 24). Farm labor households were, on the whole, the least educated occupational group (table 25), and accounted for most of the illiterates and functional illiterates working full-time (table 26).

White and Negro professionals and farm operators were not as apt to be economically deprived as the other occupational groups (table 27). Private household workers (all Negroes) and farm laborers constituted the largest economically deprived groups of employed household heads.

Number of Wage Earners per Household

The number of earners per household averaged 1.6 for whites and 1.9 for Negroes (table 28). Nonwhite farm labor households had slightly more than two wage earners per household, whereas nearly one-half of the nonfarm household income recipients, both white and Negro, received retirement payments. In most households, only the head earned income. In nonfarm households, an almost equal percentage of white and Negro households—46.6 percent and 44.6 percent—had no income earners. In other words, about one-fourth of the households among both whites and Negroes did not have a wage or salary earner.

Although the educational gap between white and Negro household heads has narrowed over the years, a gap of about 2 years of formal education still exists for comparable age groups. The younger household heads generally had more education than the older heads. In other words, the younger household heads are both physically and mentally better equipped to compete for existing jobs.



^{4/} In this study, a functional illiterat has received less than 5 years of formal education.

Multiple Employment of Household Heads

Six times as many whites had multiple employment as Negroes, but only 6 percent of household heads held more than one job (table 28). In 80 percent of the cases, one occupation was farming. By most definitions, the farmers would be considered part-time farmers. The other occupation was frequently laborer, farm or nonfarm. However, almost one-third of the white multiple jobholders worked at a craft, usually as a carpenter. Most secondary jobholders lived in the open country; only 9 percent were town or village residents. Almost all multiple jobholders were seasonally employed in their secondary jobs.

Almost 20 percent more employed white household heads were full-time workers than Negro household heads (table 29). For each race, the largest group of part-time employed heads was in farm labor, but 79 percent of multiple jobholders considered themselves to be fully employed in their primary jobs (table 29). Again, this relates to the farm group, because most farm operators think of themselves as being employed on farms full-time even if they work off-farm occasionally. By the classification used, farmers and farm laborers are shown as employed in those occupations, but the nonfarm population and particularly the white nonfarm heads were fairly evenly distributed among the other occupational groups (table 28). The Negro nonfarm household heads were concentrated in the low-skilled occupations, such as farm and nonfarm labor, operators, and service.

Unemployment

Most household heads employed in nonfarm jobs worked between 21 and 60 hours per week (table 30). White and Negro nonfarm employed heads had about the same workweek. Approximately 84 percent of white and 80 percent of Negro household heads who had been in the active labor force during the last 5 years had not been unemployed during the period (table 31). More of the Negro household heads experienced periods of unemployment (and generally more often) than the white household heads. Negro household heads experienced longer periods of unemployment (table 31). The middle-aged groups (35 through 64 years of age) generally experienced the longest periods of unemployment and the pattern for both whites and Negroes was approximately the same. Those heads who had been unemployed during the 5-year period for 6 months or more were generally in the farm and nonfarm labor groups.

Over half of the white household heads and about 45 percent of the Negro household heads had held only one job during the last 5 years (table 31), regardless of the length of time the job had been held. However, most of the farm group are included as single jobholders. Household heads holding only 1 or 2 jobs during the period accounted for 79 percent of the whites and 86 percent of the Negroes, so that job security (although generally in low-paying jobs) is high. The younger white household heads and the middle aged Negro heads tended to change jobs more often than other age groups. For white household heads under 35 years of age, the employment possibilities are great enough to allow for job changing. For Negroes in the 35- to 64-year age groups, the job changes in all probability were a direct result of the decreased demand for agricultural labor.



Willingness To Take Job Training

Household heads' willingness to train to qualify for higher paying jobs naturally decreased as age increased for both whites and Negroes (table 32). However, more Negro household heads indicated a willingness to take training than whites. About an equal number of each race indicated they would take training only if paid to do so. Most of those unwilling were either farm owner-operators or retired, disabled, or both. Of whites who indicated a willingness to take training, only 47 percent indicated they would move 50 miles from their present location and only 39 percent would move 200 miles or more to obtain better paying jobs (table 32). Of the Negroes indicating a willingness to take training, 65 percent indicated that they would move 50 miles and 57 percent indicated that they would move 200 miles or more. Generally, Negroes expressed a greater willingness to move if jobs which they could reasonably expect to gain are available outside of the region. Most whites indicated a desire to remain in the region, whereas most Negroes were indifferent to location as long as the opportunity to earn a decent livelihood existed in the locale.

Physical Disability and Employment

Slightly over one-third of all household heads surveyed reported some physical disability that impaired their ability to hold full-time employment (table 33). Heads reporting a partial physical disability were distributed fairly evenly among the residence-occupation groups, but the totally disabled heads were concentrated in the nonfarm group. More Negro heads reported being totally disabled than whites.

A physical disability, either partial or total, decreases the earning capacity of the household head--especially those totally disabled (table 34). However, in some respects, the data suggest that the relationship between physical disability and income is not as strong as a first approximation might suggest. The relationship is not a simple one, but is highly interrelated with such factors as race, age, retirement income provisions, and Government programs. This becomes more evident when the relationship between physical disability, household size-income class, and race is viewed in table 34. These data suggest that being Negro is at least as conducive to poverty as the presence of a physical disability and that being Negro and disabled almost assures the household head of being poor. Age of the household head also enters the relationship between the level of disability and economic wellbeing. About 46 percent of totally disabled whites were 65 years of age or older, and 70 percent of the disabled Negroes were in this age group. In contrast, the partially disabled were more or less evenly distributed among other age groups for both whites and K groes (table 35).

None of the totally disabled were employed (table 35). Most of the partially disabled were either farmers or farm laborers, with relatively few in the other occupational groups. About as many as the partially physically disabled white household heads were not employed as were employed; about one—third of the Negro partially disabled were not employed. Here again, age was a limiting factor and the possibilities for training and retraining are limited.



EDUCATION OF CHILDREN

Generally, as household income rises, the parents' estimate of the educational needs of their children rises (table 36). About the same percentage of whites and Negroes (approximately 30 percent) estimated that their children needed a college education. The parents' estimates of the educational needs of the eldest son in the household were approximately the same as the parents' estimates for all of their children. Apparently, there are only minor differences in the expectations of white and Negro household heads relative to the educational attainment of their children. Each apparently hopes that his children will obtain as much education as they are capable of assimilating, but economic rationale often forces a divergence between hopes and accomplishments.

Educational Dropouts

The major reason for school dropout, according to the respondents in this study, was refusal to attend on the part of the students (table 37). Usually the school dropouts were from the low-income families; however, a few white dropouts were not from the economically deprived households (table 38). White school dropouts tended to leave school at an earlier age than the Negroes. There is some evidence from the data that suggests that the social stigma attached to nonperformance in school—that is, to progress in grade each school year—was less among Negroes than among whites. Apparently, if a white student lags behind and finds himself in a grade with children 2 or more years younger, the probability of his becoming a dropout is great, whereas among Negro students the pressure to perform up to one's age group is not as strong because it is generally understood that various factors may have prohibited school attendance in the earlier years.

FARM OPERATOR FAMILIES

Five in 8 of the white farmers and slightly more than 3 in 8 of the Negro farmers were either owner- or part-owner-operators (table 39). All farmers earning \$10,000 or more were owners. Farmers at the lower end of the income scale were sharecroppers and tenants. Because of many factors, such as increased mechanization, larger and more efficient machines, changes in crops grown, improved cultural and chemical practices, and the imposition of the minimum wage law on agriculture, sharecropping and, to lesser extents, share or cash renting of the entire operation is rapidly disappearing. The highly commercial aspect of the region's farming operations is shown by the relatively large percentage of the farms with gross farm sales over \$7,500 (table 39).

HOME-PRODUCED FOOD

While rural families, particularly farm families, are assumed to produce some of their own food, only 6 in 10 of the survey households produced any food for home consumption (table 40). For the most part, the high-income



households, both farm and nonfarm and regardless of race, did not produce food for home use. Not all low-income households, however, produced food for home consumption, particularly the low-income nonfarm families.

Meat is the highest cost item in the food budget, yet only one in four of the survey households, and only one in three of the farm households, produced any meat for home use.

HOUSING

Most, but not all, of the region's families living in inadequate and unacceptable housing were economically deprived (table 41). Poor housing is especially hard on the economically deprived classes because available funds of these families are allocated to the more immediate family needs, such as food and clothing, rather than to improve housing. Between the North Delta and the South Delta there was a decided difference in the quality of housing. The changing white-Negro ratio from North to South and climatic changes help explain the difference.

If a household received a low income, it usually had inadequate housing (table 41). About 18 percent of the white households and 76 percent of the Negro households lived in inadequate or unacceptable housing. The percentages are approximately the same as those receiving incomes of less than \$3,000. However, not all households in the low-income levels lived in inadequate or unacceptable housing; the presence of retired household heads in these income levels distorted to some extent the relationship between housing and income levels.

THE RURAL POOR IN THE MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION

The high incidence of poverty in the Delta poses the question "Who are the economically deprived people, and what are some of their attributes?" Most certainly, poverty in the region is race-related; the incidence among Negroes was almost three times as high as among whites. But the sole cause of poverty cannot be laid to race alone, because poverty, in all of its ramifications, is the product of social, cultural, and economic factors. Such things as age, education, sex, family type and size, physical disability, employment opportunities, and a multitude of other factors which may or may not be race-related are in some way related to the economic well-being of families.

While there was a tendency for the incidence of poverty to increase as age of the household head increases, the tendency was not race-related. The percentage distritution of whites and Negroes by age classes in the economically deprived group were almost identical, although Negroes outnumbered whites in the group by about three to one (table 42). Also, place of residence had little effect on poverty for the proportion of whites and Negroes in poverty in the open country was approximately the same as the proportion of each race in poverty in towns and villages.

Illiteracy and functional illiteracy are closely related to poverty, but among the poor it is not a race-related phenomenon. In general, Negroes had fewer years of formal education and in all probability a lower quality level of training for a given year of schooling, but in the economically deprived group, the percentage distribution of white and Negro household heads by levels of formal education were nearly the same (table 43). Again, this suggests that all poor share many attributes. White and Negro male heads of poor households were more apt to be illiterate than were corresponding female heads, or stated differently, female heads of poor households generally had more formal education than had male heads of poverty households.

Negro households had more workers per household but they also had more individuals per household than did whites (tables 44 and 45). Female household heads generally had fewer individuals and fewer workers than households headed by males. White female household heads had fewer individuals and workers per household than the other groups. The majority of the households with four or more workers and with nine or more household members were in the farm labor group. Underemployment was high for this residence group and wages are usually minimal, which explains to a large extent the lack of a positive association between income and number of workers.

Households headed by disabled persons accounted for half the economically deprived households (table 46). A slightly larger percentage of the white household heads, particularly males, were disabled, but there were no differences between the percentage distribution of disabled heads. Again, this suggests that while a much higher percentage of Negro households were economically deprived than white households, the attributes of those in poverty are much the same regardless of race.

Two in 5 of households headed by white females and 4 in 5 households headed by Negro females fell into the economically deprived group (table 47). Overall, 2 in 3 female household heads were classified as poor. A relatively smaller percentage of the white complete families were poor than the white incomplete families, although for Negroes the percentages were about equally distributed.

Most male heads of poor households, both whites and Negroes, were employed full- or part-time (table 48). Most female heads of poor households, on the other hand, were retired, disabled, or both. A higher percentage of the female heads were 65 years of age, or older than the male heads.

The female heads were classed as not employed at twice the rate of male heads (table 49). Few white female heads were employed, and the Negro females that were employed either did private household work or worked as farm laborers. About 53 percent of the employed male household heads worked as farm laborers, and another 18 percent were farmers. If the workers classed as operators who are employed in agriculture are added to the farm and agricultural labor group, more than three-fourths of the employed poor households headed by males were directly related to agriculture. These heads are distributed among the different age groups, but tend to be concentrated in the 45- to 64-year-old group.

Approximately 4 in 10 of the poor households received less than \$1,000 cash income in 1965 (table 50). Another 4 in 10 received between \$1,000 and \$1,999. A higher percentage of the households headed by females received less than \$2,000 cash income than did those with male heads, but family size was generally smaller, making the economic well-being of the male and female poor households much the same.

One distinguishing feature of poor households in the Delta region is the relatively high dependency ratio (children under 15 and persons 65 years of age or older, expressed as a percentage of persons 20- to 64-years of age (table 51). Both white and Negro households headed by females had higher dependency ratios than any households headed by males. The large number of young children in these households makes the problem of poverty particularly acute.

In terms of food produced for home consumption, white households tended to garden and raise meat more than Negro households (table 52). However, the proportion of each race producing food for home consumption was about the same as their respective proportion in the sample as a whole. In other words, there were no significant differences between the percentage of deprived families and nondeprived families in the production of food for home consumption.

Negro housing, generally, was "bad" by almost any measure used; 80 percent of the deprived households and 57 percent of the nondeprived Negro households lived in inadequate or unacceptable housing. Negro households headed by males, overall, lived in the worst housing. On the other hand, 44 percent of the white deprived families, but only 8 percent of all nondeprived families lived in inadequate or unacceptable housing.

In summary, the economically deprived households are distributed among the three residence-occupation groups, but were concentrated in the farm labor and nonfarm retired groups. The household heads were generally poorly educated and over 45 years of age, stood a 50-50 chance of being disabled, and were Negro. If in addition the head was a female, the chance of the household being poor was magnified.

In terms of program formulation for easing poverty in the Mississippi Delta region, the poor households (household size-income classes 1 and 2) may be logically grouped into categories based on the race, age, sex, and physical health of the head. These groupings and their attributes are as follows:

1. Household heads 65 years of age or older.—Five in 8 households headed by individuals 65 years of age or older were classified as living in poverty (table 53). However, more than twice the percentage of Negro households with heads in this age group were poor than comparable white households. Sex of the household head was not a determining factor, because about equal percentages of male and female heads of each race were classified as poor. While many individuals in this age group are capable of working at least part-time, measures designed to increase their productivity will not, in general, solve their income and needs problems. Welfare, increased Social Security benefits, and other types of transfer payments offer the greatest possibilities for aiding these families to escape poverty.

- 2. Disabled household heads under 65 years of age. -- Overall, about one in five household heads under 65 years of age had a physical disability and two-thirds of these were classified as living in poverty. Negro male household heads in this category were about twice as apt to be poor as their white counterparts, but only a slightly higher proportion of the Negro female heads in this category were classified as poor than white female heads. is possible that many disabled male household heads, both white and Negro, could adapt to training for new skills within the limits of their health. Ιt is also possible that the spouses of these heads can also be trained and provided employment opportunities, so that the household income could be increased. For disabled female household heads, the possibilities for training or retraining are greatly reduced. In these households, a male adult is usually not present, many have children under 18 years of age, and the types of disabilities suffered by this group of heads most often preclude their working outside of the home. For these reasons, a continued program of income transfer payments or assistance appears to be the only suitable alternative to continued poverty, particularly where children are present. greatest likelihood of poverty perpetuation probably occurs in the households of disabled heads with children. Health care and education and training for the children are generally below standard and improvement of both appear to be necessary but not sufficient conditions for breaking the poverty cycle.
- 3. Able-bodied household heads 45 to 64. -- One in 6 poor household heads was between 45 and 64 years of age. Generally, a smaller percentage of the male heads, both white and Negro, in this age category were classed as poor than female heads. This group of households may be categorized as "boxed in" because advancing age and increased family responsibilities tend to inhibit extensive training, retraining, or geographical mobility. These households had the largest number of children under 18 years of age of any of the age groups. Also, most of these household heads were employed full-time (primarily in agriculture) as farmers or farm laborers; 86 percent had 8 years or less of school. A majority--54 percent--of the Negro household heads indicated a willingness to take training to improve their job situation, whereas only 40 percent of the white household heads would do so. Only 1 in 4 white household heads indicated willingness to move as far as 50 miles, and 1 in 5 would move 200 miles or more to secure higher paying jobs. Negro household heads indicated a much greater willingness: 2 in 5 would move 50 miles, and 1 in 3 would move 200 miles or more. At the lower ages in the category, the willingness of the Negro household heads was even more pronounced. In each instance, male heads were more willing to move than female heads. The age span, limited educational attainment and job experience, and attitude toward change of this group of heads greatly reduces their adjustment potential for income improvement. For most, remedial assistance will be effective only on an individual basis. Over the next several years, meaningful job opportunities for this group will continue to decline in the Delta as fewer farm laborers are demanded. Consequently, the plight of these household heads could worsen if job opportunities are not increased.
- 4. Able-bodied household heads under 45 years. -- Only 27 households headed by white males (14 percent of all such households) were classified poor, whereas 91 of the households headed by Negro males (nearly 75 percent of all such households) were so classified. Female heads exhibited much the

same wide divergence with race. Generally, heads in this age category, both males and females, had more years of school, more young children in the household, a single wage earner, a lower incidence of physical disabilities, and a lower rate of unemployment than the groups above. Almost all these household heads indicated willingness to take training or retraining, either where it would be free or where they would be paid to do so. However, not all indicated a willingness to move to obtain higher paying jobs—especially females who for various reasons wished to remain in the home area. Because of the large number of young children and because of the relative youth of the heads of this group of poor families, benefits from public investment in training and retraining for these family heads apparently exceed costs.

ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS FOR ALLEVIATING POVERTY IN THE DELTA

Poverty is abhorred by our society, regardless of the age or race of the individual experiencing it. But it is particularly distasteful where it includes the very young and the very old, for these individuals are almost defenseless against the ravages of poverty and they are the least capable of escaping. However, quite different remedial programs are necessary to alleviate poverty conditions for the two age groups. Also, as noted under the typology presented above, each category requires a different approach, because the attributes of the individuals involved are different. Data presented above lead to the following suggestions:

- 1. If certain individuals and families (those with heads 65 years of age or older and those physically disabled) are to escape poverty there is little alternative to some form of income transfer program. Many now receive transfer payments, but by and large, the payments provide no more than mere subsistence. Although a few individuals in this group are capable of working under existing conditions they are considered to be unemployable. A program devised to allow some of these individuals to become productive workers rather than transfer payment recipients would reduce public costs. Younger partially disabled and underemployed individuals in this group could also be considered for this type of program.
- 2. Education is critical to solving the problems of poverty and probably ending poverty itself, but is a longrun program. Throughout the study area, school attendance of school-age children from poor households is low. Inadequate or nonexistent compulsory school attendance laws, apathy on the part of parents or grandparents, apathy of local nonpoor households concerning the plight of poor households, and other situations and circumstances add to high dropout rates and low attendance.

For the most part, the Delta area does not have the tax base to provide all of the funds needed for high quality education, so outside funds will be needed to furnish high quality education. As long as one of the major exports of the Delta is young people, there is little incentive for the community to pay the costs of education for the benefit of other communities. Most young people graduating from colleges, and high and trade schools in the area now have to migrate to find better than subsistence job opportunities.



- 3. Good-paying job opportunities throughout the entire Delta area are limited, particularly for the Negro and the poorly educated white. Job opportunities in agriculture continue to decline as technological advances and the provisions of the minimum wage law are imposed on agriculture. Jobs in agriculture have been and are being upgraded through these two forces, and consequently it is the illiterates and functional illiterates who suffer loss of job opportunities. An alternative to migration (either forced or voluntary) or doles is location of subsidized high-labor-intensive industries to utilize borderline and functional illiterates (particularly males) in areas where unemployment and underemployment are prevalent. As a part of such a program, on-the-job-training combined with a basic education program could be a mandatory provision for employment.
- 4. To be effective, birth control information and supplies must be made readily available to low-income families by trained personnel. Simply advertising that these services and devices are available will not reach the families most in need. It is almost axiomatic that large families and poverty go hand-in-hand.

In the Delta, a relatively large number of females head households with many young children. Almost all these households are poor. A program to reduce the number in poverty and to prevent, as far as possible, the recurrence of such circumstances is urgently needed. To date, no socially acceptable solution has been put forward.

Also of significance to the Delta area is the practice of leaving grandchi dren to be cared for by grandparents while the parents migrate to other areas. In most cases, these parents made no contribution toward their children's support, so the great majority of these households live in poverty. Transfer payments in the form of welfare and aid-to-dependent-children would help to ease the situation. Payments would not correct the problem, since such help does not reduce the practice of leaving children behind.

APPENDIX

Quality of Data

Data collection was done during a 6-week period in the summer of 1966. Twenty-two enumerators, ll white graduate students from Mississippi State University and ll Negro students and teachers from Southern University and A. & M.C., Arkansas A. & M.C., Jackson State College, and Alcorn A. & M.C. working as integrated teams of two were used in the field enumeration. Except in Missouri, a professional field enumeration supervisor was in charge of the fieldwork. Because of the time limit (students and teachers had to return to classrooms by mid-September) and the physical area to be covered, instructions to the enumerators were to make two callbacks if no one was found at home on the first visit. Also, if it was inconvenient for the respondent to answer



the questions on the first visit, an appointment was made for another visit. In any event, the enumerator was to make at least two more efforts to contact prospective respondents if the original effort was unsuccessful.

The original sample segments were designed to yield a minimum of 10 schedules. I ever, the residence count on which the original sample was designed was it always current; therefore, some segments yielded more and some yielded less than the anticipated 10 completed questionnaires. A concentrated effort was made to obtain a completed questionnaire from every eligible household within each sample segment.

During the field enumeration, only 16 direct refusals to cooperate were received—or 1.25 percent of the eligible households. Individual item refusals within the questionnaire ran somewhat higher for the income data section. For this section, 28 refusals to cooperate were received, approximately 2 percent of the respondents. Sixty—five respondents could not make meaningful estimates of their 1965 incomes. Enumerators were repeatedly cautioned not to suggest an income figure to respondents but to suggest a wide range and if the respondents still could not make an estimate the enumerator was to record a "don't know" answer. Altogether, between 7 and 8 percent of the respondents could not or would not estimate their 1965 income. The refusal rate on age of the household head was about 2 percent.

In designing the questionnaire and instructing the enumerators, an attempt was made to minimize enumerator bias. The questionnaire design was such that most questions could only be answered objectively—either yes or no. The directive to the enumerators to record no answer if respondents could not answer reduced the enumerator's bias as much as possible. The most difficult part of the questionnaire from the enumerator's standpoint was the section dealing with social participation, which has been analyzed by Hobson Bryan in his dissertation, "Breaking the Poverty Cycle: An Investigation Into the Correlates of Propensity for Change Among the Rural Impoverished in the Mississippi Delta." (La. State U. & A.M.C.)

The possibility exists that confusion, either on the part of the enumerators or on the part of the respondents, may account for the small number reported receiving welfare payments and the relatively large number receiving retirement income. The alternatives were to accept the data as recorded or to combine the two sources. The first alternative was used with some reservation throughout the analysis.



Table 1.--Persons in household, by race, sex, and residence of head, 1966.

;	Total,			Place o		Tuence	m _o	n and	wille	
Number of persons :	house- :			pen cou	Town and village Male : Female					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	hold	.s. :	Male	<u>:</u>	Fema	, <u>те</u>	<u>Mall</u>	.e :_	T. CHIE	<u> </u>
All households 2	No. 1,249 142 349 185 165 112 86	Pct. 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	No. 773 31 227 121 115 83 57 37	Pct. 61.9 21.8 65.0 65.4 69.7 74.1 66.3 69.8	No. 103 41 15 11 10 4 6	Pct. 8.2 28.9 4.3 6.0 6.1 3.6 7.0 3.8	No. 250 15 83 40 30 20 19	Pct. 20.0 10.6 23.8 21.6 18.1 17.8 22.1 18.9	No. 123 55 24 13 10 5	Pct. 9.9 38.7 6.9 7.0 6.1 4.5 4.6 7.5
89 or more	: 62	100.0	3½ 68 _	54.8 71.6	9 5	14.5 5.3	15 18	24.2 18.9) ₄	6.5 4.2
Average size Median size	: 4.0		4.3		3.2 2.6		4.0 3.6		2.7	
White households. 1	: 655 : 63 : 225 : 113 : 105 : 60 : 44 : 18 : 18	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	482 15 168 86 89 52 36 14	73.6 23.8 74.6 76.1 84.8 86.7 81.8 77.8 72.2 100.0	39 22 8 3 4 0 1 0 2.0 1.7	5.9 34.9 3.6 2.6 3.8 5.6	100 41 22 10 8 7 4 4 0 3.3 3.2		34 22 8 2 0 0 0 0 0	
Negro households. 1	.: 79 .: 124 .: 72 .: 60 .: 52 .: 42 .: 35 .: 44 .: 86	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	16 59 35 26 31 21 22 23		19 7 8 6 4 5 2	5.6 11.1 10.0 7.7 11.9 5.7 18.2 5.8	11 42 18 20 12 12 12 13	13.9 33.9 25.0 33.3 23.1 28.6 17.2 25.0 3 20.9	33 16 11 8 5 1	41. 12. 15. 13. 9. 4 11. 4 9. 4 4.

Table 2.--Persons in households, by race and residence-occupation of the household head, 1966

Number of persons	: Residence-occupation									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	To:	tal	: F	arm	: Farm	labor	: Non	farm		
All households 2	No. 1,249 142 349 185 165 112 86 53 62 95	Pct. 100.0 11.4 27.9 14.8 13.2 9.0 6.9 4.2 5.0 7.6	No. 293 13 84 50 59 38 21 7 9 12	Pet. 100.0 4.4 28.7 17.1 20.1 13.0 7.2 2.4 3.1 4.0	No. 279 14 51 37 24 24 23 26 54	Pct. 100.0 5.0 18.3 13.3 8.6 8.6 8.2 9.3 9.3 19.4	No. 677 115 214 98 82 50 42 20 27 29	Pct. 100.0 17.0 31.6 14.5 12.1 7.4 6.2 3.0 4.0 4.2		
Median size:	3.7		3.0		4.6		3.0			
White households 1	655 63 225 113 105 60 44 18 18 9	100.0 9.6 34.4 17.3 16.0 9.2 6.7 2.7 2.7	240 7 70 44 55 31 19 4 5 3.7 4.0	100.0 2.9 29.2 18.3 22.9 12.9 7.9 1.7 2.1 2.1	74 5 23 13 8 5 4 7 6 3 4.1 3.7	100.0 6.7 31.1 17.6 10.8 6.7 5.4 9.4 8.1 4.2	341 51 132 56 42 24 21 7 7 1 3.0 2.9	100.0 15.0 38.7 16.4 12.3 7.0 6.1 2.1 2.1		
Negro households 1	594 79 124 72 60 52 42 35 44 86 4.7 4.4	100.0 13.3 20.9 12.1 10.1 8.8 7.1 5.9 7.4 14.5	53 6 14 6 4 7 2 3 4 7	100.0 11.3 26.4 11.3 7.5 13.2 3.8 5.7 7.5 13.3	205 9 28 24 16 19 19 20 51 6.1 6.3	100.0 4.4 13.6 11.7 7.8 9.3 9.3 9.3 9.7 24.9	336 64 82 42 40 26 21 13 20 28 3.9 3.5	100.0 19.0 24.4 12.5 11.9 7.7 6.3 3.9 5.9 8.4		

Table 3.--Age of household head, by race and residence-occupation, 1966

	: Residence-occupation									
Age of head :	Tota	<u> </u>	: F	arm	: Farr	n labor	: Non:	farm		
All households	No. 1,249 47 149 216 243 238 226 109 21	Pct. 100.0 3.8 11.9 17.3 19.4 19.1 18.1 8.7 1.7	No. 293 6 39 72 76 70 22 6 2	Pct. 100.0 2.0 13.3 24.6 26.0 23.9 7.5 2.0 0.7	No. 279 15 36 58 73 55 31 8 3	Pct. 100.0 5.4 12.9 20.8 26.1 19.7 11.1 2.9 1.1	No. 677 26 74 86 94 113 173 95 16	Pct. 100.0 3.8 10.9 12.7 13.9 16.7 25.6 14.0 2.4		
Median age:			48.7		48.9		<u>59.9</u>			
White households. Under 25 years. 25-34 years. 35-44 years. 45-54 years. 55-64 years. 65-74 years. 75 years and over. Refusal. Average age. Median age.	119 135 120 112 49 6	100.0 4.1 13.3 18.2 20.6 18.3 17.1 7.5 0.9	240 5 33 66 65 51 13 6 1 47.8 47.2	100.0 2.1 13.8 27.5 27.1 21.2 5.4 2.5 0.4	9 20 17 8 0	100.0 9.4 17.6 12.2 27.0 23.0 10.8	341 15 41 44 50 52 91 43 5 55.7 58.4	100.0 4.4 12.0 12.9 14.7 15.2 26.7 12.6 1.5		
Negro households Under 25 years 25-34 years 35-44 years 45-54 years 55-64 years 65-74 years 75 years and over Refusal Average age Median age	20 62 97 108 118 114 60 15	100.0 3.4 10.4 16.3 18.2 19.9 19.2 10.1 2.5	6 11 19 9 0	100.0 1.9 11.3 11.3 20.8 35.8 17.0	8 23 49 53 38 23	100.0 3.9 11.2 23.9 25.9 18.5 11.2 3.9 1.5	336 11 33 42 44 61 82 52 11 57.3 60.3	100.0 3.3 9.8 12.5 13.1 18.1 24.4 15.5		

ERIC Authorities by enc

Table 4.--Educational attainment of the household head, by race and residence-occupation, 1966

Formal education :			: Res	sidence-	occupa	ation		
of head :	Tota	al	Fa:	rm :	Farm	labor	: Non:	farm
•								
:	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	<u>No.</u>	Pct.
All households:	1,249	100.0	· 293	100.0	279	100.0	677	100.0
None:	111	8.9	\mathcal{V}_{+}] 4	41	14.7	66	9.8
1-4 years:	282	22.6	39	13.3	94	33.7	149	22.0
5-7 years:	307	24.6	58	19.8	87	31.2	162	23.9
8 years:	184	14.7	55	18.8	25	9.0	104	15.4
9-11 years:	183	14.6	59	20.1	27	9.7	97	14.3
12 years:	126	10.1	59	20.1	5	1.7	62	9.2
13-15 years	26	2.1	11	3.8	0		15	2.2
16 years and over:	30	2.4	8_	2.7	0		22	3.2
Median years	7.2		8.8		5.1		7.2	
. :								
White households	655	100.0	240	100.0	74	100.0	341	100.0
None	31	4.7	14	1.7	8	10.8	19	5.5
1-4 years:	97	14.8	24	10.0	27	36.5	46	13.5
5-7 years:		20.8	39	16.2	19	25.7	78	22.9
8 years:		18.9	51	21.3	9	12.2	64	18.8
9-11 years:		17.6	49	20.4	8	10.8	58	17.0
12 years		16.2	54	22.5	3	4.0	_	14.4
13-15 years	22	3.3	11	4.6	0	****	11	3.2
16 years and over:	24	3.7	8	3.3	0		16_	4.7
Median years	8.5		9.1		5.3		8.4	
:								7000
Negro households		100.0	53	100.0	205	100.0		100.0
None		13.5	0	_	33	16.1		14.0
1-4 years	185	31.1	15	28.3	67	32.7	- •	30.7
5-7 years	171	28.8		35.8	68	33.2		25.0
8 years		10.1	14	7.6	16	7.8		11.9
9-11 years		11.4		18.9	19	9.3		11.6
12 years	20	3.4	5	9.4	2	0.9		3.9
13-15 years	: 4	0.7	0		0	_	4	1.1
16 years and over	6	1.0			0		6	1.8
Median years	5.5	-	6.8		5.1		6.0	
<u>_</u>								

Table 5.--Population attributes, by household size-income class (economic deprivation level), sex and race, 1966

Item	•			old size-			
T OCIII	:Total	Class	1:Class	2:Class	3:Class	4:Class	5:Class 6
•	•			- Number			
White individuals	.2 198	266	406	4C3	422	507	- 189
Males: Total		134	188	211	208	255	
Under 5 years		19	15	12	19	13	4
5-14 years		37	33	59	43	45	11
15-19 years		18	20	13	17	30	11
20-44 years	: 279	21	36	149	56	91	26
45-64 years	: 241	21	48		50	58	
65 years and over.		18	36		22	15	6
Refused to give age		0	0	1	1	3	0
Females: Total	: 120	132	218	107	07.),	050	107
Under 5 years	-	17	210	197 16	214 19	252 11	107 8
5-14 years		41	20 47	35	51	40	•
15-19 years		10	1.3	16	20	29	12
20-44 years		20	44	53	62 62	29 87	30
45-64 years		31	60	42	45	62	21
64 years and over		12	34	35	17	20	6
Refused to give age		1	0	0	0	3	0
	:			•		J	· ·
Negro individuals		1,642	696	153	56	39	209
Males: Total	-	782	337	71	27	21	97
Under 5 years		107	42	2	3	2	15
5-14 years		310	87	13	14	3	36
15-19 years		115	34	9	2	2	16
20-44 years		100	62	15	9	5	8
45-64 years		94	55	19	6	6	1 /i
65 years and over		53	54	13	2	2	8
Refused to give age	8	3	3	0	1	1	0
Females: Total	1,460	860	359	82	29	18	112
Under 5 years		120	38	6	1	0	19
5-14 years		290	88	19	5	1	35
15-19 years		111	30	11	3	3	9
20-44 years		171	68	15	9	7	22
45-64 years		111	65	23	8	3	16
65 years and over		48	64	. 8	3	3	9
Refused to give age	: <u>18</u>	9_	6	0	0_	<u> </u>	2
	·		_	Rati	0		
White:	·			- Maul	.0		
Dependency	: 83.8	154.8	98.1	+ 105.4	80.3	48.	3 64.4
Sex		101.5			·-		
Fertility		120.0					·
Negro:	:						
Dependency	:166.1	195.0	149.2	2 84.7	· 56.2	52.	4 203.3
Sex	: 91.4	90.9	93.9	86.6	·		
<u> Fertility</u>	: 77.3	80.5	81.6	30.8	33.3	20.	0 109.7

Table 6.--Household types by household size-income class (economic deprivation level) and race,

Household :	Household size-income class											
type $\frac{1}{}$:	Tc	ta12/	: Cla	ss l	: Cla	ss 2	: <u>Cl</u>	ass 3	:_Cla	ss 4	: Cla	ss 5
:												
:	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
White households:	502	100.0	54	100.0	131	100.0	137	100.0	118	100.0	162	100.0
Complete: :												
Newlywed:	53	8.8	2	3.7	9	6.9	8	5.8	14	11.9	20	12.4
Static:	184	30.6	19	35.2	40	30.5	40	29.2	37	31.4	48	29.6
Normal:	265	44.0	27	50.0	48	36.6	51	37.2	57	48.3	82	50.K
Female head:	8	1.3	2	3.7	1	0.8	3	2.2	0		2	1.2
Abnormal:	2	0.3	0		1	0.8	1	0.7	0		0	
Multiple:	λ,	0.7	0	·	1.	0.8	2	1.5	1	0.8	0	
:												
Incomplete: :												
Bachelor-male:	21	3.5	0		5	3.8	13	9.5	3	2.6	0	
Widower:	6	1.0	1	1.9	2	1.5	1	0.7	1	0.8	1	0.6
Bachelor female:	41	6.8	0		15	11.4	15	11.0	λ,	3.4	7	4.3
Widow:	16	2.7	2	3.7	8	6.1	3	2.2	1	0.8	2	1.2
Solitary female:	2	0.3	1	1.8	1	0.8	0		0		0	
:												
Megro households:	554	100.0	265	100.0	202	100.0	52	100.0	21	100.0	14	100.0
Complete:												
Newlywed:	29	5.2	9	3.9	14	6.9	3	5.8	3	14.3	0	
Static:		17.1	31	11.7	45	23.3	13	25.0	3	14.3	_	21.5
Normal:	215	38.8	135	50.9	53	26.2	12	23.1	8	38.1	7	50.0
Female head	9	1.6	2	0.8	6	3.0	1	1.9	0		0	
Abnormal	16	2.9	7	2.6	7	3.5	1	1.9	0		1	7.1
Multiple	20	3.6	13	4.9	5	2.5	2	3.8	0		0	
-												
Incomplete:	1											
Bachelor-male	28	5.1	1	0.4	11	5.4	11	21.2	3	14.3		14.3
Widower	11	2.0	7	2.6	14	2.0	0		0		0	
Bachelor-female:		9.4	10	3.8	29	14.4	8	15.4	λ,	19.0	1	7.1
Widow		3.6	8	3.0	12	5.9	0		0		0	
Solitary female		10.7	42	1.5.9	16	7.9	1	1.9	0		0	
, i	;	_										

$\underline{1}$ / Household types are as follows:

Complete households	
Newlywed	Husband and wife under 25 years of age or eldest child of couple under 5
	years of age.
Static	Husband and wife over 25 years of age but childless or all children have
	departed from household,
Normal	Husband and wife with children, the eldest unmarried child 5 years of age or
	older.
Female head	Husband and wife with children but wife is head of household.
Abnormal	Husband and wife but with young grandchildren or unrelated children in the
	household.
Multiple	Husband and wife but with other families in the household with or without
	children.
Incomplete househol	
Bachelor-male	Unmarried male, with or without other people in household but not own
	children.

Widower..... Wife deceased, with own children in household.

Bachelor-female.. Unmarried female, with or without other people in household but not own children.

Widow..... Husband deceased, with own children in household.

Solitary female.. Solitary female with own children in household, husband not present.

2/ 53 whites and 40 Negroes could or would not estimate household income.

Table 7.--Household type, by income class and race, 1966

		.jr	9.60.	6.1	٧.	0.10	3.6
\$10,000	or more	Pet 12.	18.		0		
)T\$.	ŀ	No.	19 19 00 00	00000	w	044000	10000
500-	606,0%	Pct. 6.3	4.60	6.5	0.0	1.0	
7.4.	οψ.	No.	127 000	00010	; C	0000	00000
	,409	Pct. 15.3	26.4 12.0 19.6 25.0 25.0	4	1.8	3.7	
\$	£ 7	No. 92	122 522 10 10	н о о о о	10	0 H & O H O	00000
	666,4	Pct. 19.9	24.5 20.2 21.5 12.5 50.0	33.3 14.6 12.5	6.5	13.8 3.2 9.3 15.0	3.8
inc	, 14th	No. 120	13 37 57 0	00000	36	30008	NONON
101		Pct. 17.1	18.9 21.7 14.0 25.0 100.0	19.0 16.7 9.8 18.8	16.6	20.7 13.7 21.4 22.2 12.5 25.0	14.3 18.2 5.8 5.8
-	\$2°	No. 103	10 34 00 00 00 00	00% キェヤ	92	13 13 13 14 15 15 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	40000
	999	Pct. 20.1	11.3 21.7 14.0 12.5 25.0	52.4 33.3 39.0 37.5 50.0	37.7	21.7 47.4 37.7 37.7 55.6 56.2 35.0	32.1 45.4 17.3 15.0 35.6
-	\$1,	No. 121	40 37 1	11 2 16 1	209	115 45 81 87 9	21 21
3		Pct. 8.8	1.9 10.3 2.6 25.0	23.8 16.7 31.7 25.0 50.0	35.9	13.8 32.7 25.6 22.2 25.0 25.0	42.9 36.4 73.1 85.0 45.8
	under \$1,000	No. 53	19 77 0	7 13 14	199	31 25 55 4 5	12 4 38 17
•••	2/	Pct.	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0
	Total	No. 602	53 265 8 8 4	21 6 14 16	554	29 215 95 16	28 111 52 20 59
	Household type $1/$:	White households	Complete: Newlywed	Incomplete: Bachelor-male Widower Bachelor-female Widow Solitary female	Wegro households	Complete: Newlywed Static Normal Female head Abnormal	Incomplete: Bachelor-male Widower Bachelor-female Widow

See footnote, table 6. Not included are 53 whites and 40 Negroes who could not or would not estimate household income. <u>|</u>2

. ,

ERIC CAUTE PROVIDED BY ERIC

Table 8.--Household size-income class (economic deprivation level), by race and age of household head,

No. Pet. Pet						onseho	7 12	-income	clas				
households	oţ	Tota			3 1	Clas	2	1 1	3	CJ	ss 4	: Clas	ss 5
households													
Jay Pears. Jay 12.0 26 8.1 36 10.8 22 11.7 23 16.9 5-44 years. Jay 12.0 26 8.1 36 10.8 22 11.7 23 16.9 5-544 years. Jay 12.0 26 8.1 36 10.8 22 11.7 23 16.9 5-54 years. Jay 12.0 63 19.8 68 20.4 35 18.5 20 14.5 5-64 years. Jay 12.0 27.4 years. Jay 12.0 28 8.1 18.1 18.1 18.1 18.1 18.1 18.1 18	הרטלפציוטל ר	No.	Pct.	No.	P100	No.	Pct.	∞	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct. 100.0
5-34 years	r neder Under 25	7	3.8	12	(٢)	8	2.4		4.2	ထ	5.7	œ	4.5
5-44 years	5-34 vea	139	12.0	56	8.1	36	10.8	22	11.7	23	16.6	32	18.2
5-54 years	5-44 y	195	16.9	65	20.4	35	9.6	30	15.9	23	16.6	45	25.6
5-64 years	5-54	216	18.7	69	21.6	51	15.3	31	16.4	36	25.9	29	16.5
5-74 years and over; 216 18.7 52 16.3 84 25.3 45 23.8 17 12.5 tused to give age; 105 9.1 26 8.1 48 14.4 17 9.0 10 7 2 etused to give age; 105 1.6 6 1.9 6 1.8 1 0.5 2	5-6 ⁴	222	19.2	63	19.8	68	20.4	35	18.5	20	14.4	36	20.4
Fused to give age: 105 9.1 26 8.1 48 14.4 17 9.0 10 7 10.0 16 1.6 6 1.9 6 1.8 1 0.5 2 1 10.0 10 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10	5-74	216	18.7	52	16.3	87	25.3	745	23.8	17	12.2	18	10.2
te households	5 years and	105	9.1	56	8.1	748	1^{h} .	17	0.6	10	7.2	ℷ ϯ	2.3
te households	efused to give ag	19	1.6	9	1.9	9	1.8	Н	0.5	CA	1.4	τ _ζ	2.3
5-34 years 25 4.1 2 3.7 3 2.3 7 5.1 5 4 5-34 years 105 17.4 8 14.8 12 9.1 24 17.5 19 16 5-54 years 119 19.8 14 25.9 25 19.1 21 15.3 30 25 5-64 years 111 18.4 7 13.0 32 24.4 22 16.1 20 17 5-74 years 107 17.8 10 18.5 31 23.7 34 24.8 16 13 5 years and over 48 8.0 7 13.0 17 13.0 13 9.5 7 5 efused to give age 6 1.0 1 1.8 0 1 0.7 1 0 ro bouseholds 54 100.0 265 100.0 205 100.0 21 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	te hous	0	00	54	100.0		00	137	0	118	00	162	•
25-34 years	nder 25	25	•	a	3.7	\sim	α	7	5	7	4	∞	, 4
35-44 years 105 17.4 8 14.8 12 9.1 24 17.5 19 16 45-54 years 119 19.8 14 25.9 25 19.1 21 15.3 30 25 55-64 years 107 17.8 10 18.5 31 23.7 34 24.8 16 13 65-74 years 107 17.8 10 18.5 31 23.7 34 24.8 16 13 75 years 107 17.8 10 11.8 0 1 0.7 1 0 10 der 25 years 19 3.4 10 3.8 5 2.5 1 0 1 0.7 1 0 25-34 years 19 3.4 10 3.8 5 2.5 1 1.9 3 14 19 3 14 19 3 14 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ye	81	Ř	7	9.3	11	\otimes	15	Ϊ.	20	<u>_</u> '	30	$\dot{\infty}$
45-54 years 119 19.8 14 25.9 25 19.1 21 15.3 30 25 55-64 years 111 18.4 7 13.0 32 24.4 22 16.1 20 17 65-74 years 107 17.8 10 18.5 31 23.7 34 24.8 16 13 75 years 107 17.8 10 18.5 31 23.7 34 26 17 15 10 households 10 1 1.0 1 1.8 0 1 0.7 1 0 10 hoer 25 years 19 3.4 10 3.8 5 2.5 1 1.9 3 14 25-34 years 19 3.4 10 3.8 5 2.5 1 1.9 3 14 15 25 1.0 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		0	<u>.</u>	ω	14.8	12	9	57	<u>.</u>	19	9	42	5
55-64 years 111 18.4 7 13.0 32 24.4 22 16.1 20 17 65-74 years 107 17.8 10 18.5 31 23.7 34 24.8 16 13 75 years and over 48 8.0 7 13.0 13 9.5 7 5 Refused to give age. 6 1.0 1 1.8 0 1 0.7 1 0 Refused to give age. 6 1.0 1 1.8 0 1 0.7 1 0 Under 25 years 19 3.4 10 3.8 5 2.5 1 1.9 3 14 25-34 years 90 16.2 27 21.5 20 9.9 6 11.5 4 19 3 14 19 14 19 14 19 19 25 10 19 6 28 26 11 11 20 5 21 1 1 1 1 1 1		\vdash	9	14	25.9	25	6	21	٠.	30	\mathcal{L}	29	17.9
65-74 years and over: 107 17.8 10 18.5 31 23.7 34 24.8 16 13 75 years and over: 48 8.0 7 13.0 17 13.0 13 9.5 7 8efused to give age: 6 1.0 1 1.8 0 1 0.7 1 0 egro households: 554 100.0 265 100.0 202 100.0 52 100.0 21 100 Under 25 years: 19 3.4 10 3.8 5 2.5 1 1.9 3 14 35-44 years: 58 10.5 21 7.9 25 12.4 7 13.5 3 14 45-54 years: 90 16.2 57 21.5 20 9.9 6 11.5 4 19 45-64 years: 111 20.0 56 21.1 36 17.8 13 25.0 0 65-74 years and over: 57 10.3 19 7.2 31 15.3 4 7.7 3 14	years	\vdash	8	7	13.0	32	7	22	9	20	_	30	$\overset{\cdot}{\infty}$
75 years and over: 48 8.0 7 i3.0 17 13.0 13 9.5 7 5 Refused to give age: 6 1.0 1 1.8 0 1 0.7 1 0 Refused to give age: 554 100.0 265 100.0 202 100.0 52 100.0 21 100 Under 25 years: 19 3.4 10 3.8 5 2.5 1 1.9 3 14 25-34 years: 58 10.5 21 7.9 25 12.4 7 13.5 3 14 19 35-44 years: 90 16.2 57 21.5 20 9.9 6 11.5 4 19 45-54 years: 111 20.0 56 21.1 36 17.8 13 25.0 0 65-74 years and over: 57 10.3 19 7.2 31 15.3 4 7.7 3 14		0	7	10	18.5	31	\sim	34	₹.	16	\sim	16	•
Refused to give age: 6 1.0 1 1.8 0 1 0.7 1 0 egro households	ars and	718	•	7	13.0	17	\sim	13	•		5.9	4	•
egro households: 554 100.0 265 100.0 202 100.0 52 100.0 21 100 Under 25 years	ed to give ag	9	•	П	1.8	0	1	П	•	Н	æ. 0	M	•
Under 25 years	egro h	554	00	9	0	0	0	52	0	21	00	17	100.0
34 years 58 10.5 21 7.9 25 12.4 7 13.5 3 14 44 years 90 16.2 57 21.5 20 9.9 6 11.5 4 19 54 years 97 17.5 55 20.8 26 12.9 10 19.2 6 28 54 years 111 20.0 56 21.1 36 17.8 13 25.0 0 74 years and over 57 10.3 19 7.2 31 15.3 4 7.7 3 14	Under 25	19	•	10	3,8	5	à	Н	i.	\mathcal{C}	14.3	0	-
44 years 90 16.2 57 21.5 20 9.9 6 11.5 4 19 54 years 111 20.0 56 21.1 36 17.8 13 25.0 0 74 years 109 19.7 42 15.8 53 26.2 11 21.2 1 4 years 31 15.3 4 7.7 3 14	34 yea	58	•	21	7.9	25	à		3	Μ.	14.3	N	14.3
54 years	44 ye	90	•	57	i.	20	6	٠	i.	ή,	19.0	\sim	21.4
54 years 111 20.0 56 21.1 36 17.8 13 25.0 0 74 years 109 19.7 42 15.8 53 26.2 11 21.2 1 4 years and over 57 10.3 19 7.2 31 15.3 4 7.7 3 14	54	16	•	55	0	56	ú	10	9	9	28.5	0 \	1 -
74 years 109 19.7 42 15.8 53 26.2 11 21.2 1 4 years and over 57 10.3 19 7.2 31 15.3 4 7.7 3 14	54	111	•	56	Ϊ.	36	<u>.</u>	13	5	0	-	9	42.9
years and over: 57 10.3 19 7.2 31 15.3 4 7.7 3 14	47	109	•	7,2	5.	53	9	11	j.	٦	χ. 7.	CV ·	14.3
	years and	57	•	19	7.2	31	5	7	•	M	14.3	0	i
used to give age: 13 2.4 > 1.9 6 5.0 0 1 4	Refused to give age:	13	•	2	1.9	9	•	0		П	æ. _‡	H	ޕj.

1/ Not included are 53 whites and 40 Negroes who could not or would not estimate household income. 2 Negroes also refused to give age.

O

Table 9.--Educational attainment of household head, by household size-income class, 1966

		ss 5	Pct.	100.0	7.5	4.3	TT - ').•OT	22.2	23.5	6. 6.	10.5	•	(0.00T	Ţ•,	14.3	74.3) (<u> </u>) • † †] (28.6	7.1	14.3	
\ \		Class	No.	162	C) I	<u> </u>	17	_ \ \ \ \	30	38	16	17	,	,	T 4	-1	Ŋ	N	, c	1 C	> ~	7	٦	Ŋ	
,	1 1	.SS 4	Pct.	0.001	ひ.	7. OT	TO. CC	0.77	T.O.L	22.9	3.4	7.5		000	TOO: 0	4 ,	14.3	14.3	28, 5	0.01		4,0	9.5	7.8	
		Class	No.	077	۲ د	Z C	200	2 6	L 7	7	7	2		רכ	J -	! (\sim	\sim	9	7	t r	⊣ (N	⊣	
	$^{\circ}$	155 J	Pct.	0.001	J.C	7. TO	77.50 77.50	- L - C	- / - / - / - / - / - / - / - / - / - /	17.5	7.0	0.8		0 00 0	н О		30.8	56.9	15.4	15.4	+ a	0.0		1.9	
	-in	Class	No.	107) (0	† « *	25	ָ	11	7 -	-	Н		52	, (,	ט ע	0 ,	14	∞	80) C	1 (>	r	
1	- 1	7	Pct.	70.0	- C C C	20.8	22.9	0.0	7.7	•	!	!		100.0	0 0 0	7 10	04.0	28.2	6.6	10.9	ر س	`•)	 - 	!	
	띪	· CTASS	No.	777	5 6	30,	3 6	13	9) () (0		202	96	2 4) t).(20	22	7	- c) ()	
	-	4	Pct.	6.00	35.2	20.4	14.8	14.8	5.5	\				100.0	15.5	30 %		33.2	7.5	10.9	1.9		•	1	
	000[7]		No.	, . r.	19	11	∞	∞	~) C) C)	,	265	41	8	4 0	0 6	50	29	2		1 <	>	
	¶о†.я]		Pct.	5.2	15.1	21.4	19.1	16.1	15.8	2,5	ά))		100.0	13.0	77.1	7 00	0.60	TO.1	11.4	3.4	0.7		•	
			No.	31	91	129	115	97	95	21	23	J	-	554	72	172	(9)	† \(\frac{1}{2} \)	0 (63	19	7	-(†	
Forms compation	7		White households	None	1-4 years	5-7 years	8 years	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years and over:			wegro nouseholds	None	1-4 years	5-7 Vears	8 400%	o y car s	y-11 years		13-15 years	16 vears and over		

residence-occupation of household head, 1966

race and

рд

Table 10. -- Household income,

121 109 46 19 8 26.7 48.0 33.0 23.6 12.2 7.8 11.6 Non-1,307 100.0 39.2 35.5 114.9 6.1 6.1 0.3 farm labor 4 38 38 30 0 0 0 0 - - - 1 100.0 36.2 43.9 15.3 17.0 28.2 26.1 15.4 5.8 : Farm 1 1 1 Negro Farm 2,219 100.0 124.3 28.5 32.7 16.3 404860 residence-occupation - -47.9 79.0 63.3 47.2 23.1 9.8 11.6 554 199 209 209 36 100.0 35.9 37.7 16.6 6.5 0.9 Total Percent 100.0 12.1 21.0 20.7 20.1 16.2 Dollars Number 314 Non-38 66 65 63 51 20 2,815 27.2 15.0 20.0 333.3 40.4 50.0 146.5 farm and .,828 labor 100.0 120.0 120.7 45.1 32.4 4.2 4.2 77 88 89 90 10 6.1 11.8 11.9 1.9 2.9 : Farm Farm 100.0 100.0 10.6 10.6 17.5 17.5 29.5 18.8 2.4 7.0 7.7 7.7 7.7 34.6 33.6 82.1 23 15 17 17 17 64 020 19 3,400 100.0 8.8 20.1 17.1 19.9 15.3 12.5 52.1 21.0 36.7 52.8 52.8 76.9 90.2 88.4 53 121 103 120 92 38 38 Total 1,988 1,156 2,22 330 195 108 108 1,3 21.8 228.6 16.9 113.5 13.5 8.8 3.7 Total 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 households....ss than \$1,000..... entage by race and residence. ss than \$1,000..... Household income Less than \$1,000... \$1,000-\$1,999... \$2,000-\$2,999... \$5,000-\$7,499... \$7,500-\$9,999... ,000-\$7,499.....,500-\$9,9999....\$90,69,005, households.... 1,000-\$1,999..... 2,000-\$2,999..... 3,000-\$4,999..... 5,000-\$7,499..... ,000-\$2,999.... 0,000 or more. ,000-\$1,999... ..666,6\$-005, an income.. All h Les \$1, \$2, \$3, \$5, \$10, \$10, 45.7.48 \$ 5. Medi

Table 11.--Household income, by race and sex of household head, 1966

				Race at	and sex		
Household income	Total		White			Negro	
		: Total	: Male	: Female	: Total	: Male :	Female
		 	 	_ wodmrN _		 	
All households	1,156	602	535	<u> 19</u>	554	<u>~</u>	140
Under \$1,00	25	53	$^{\circ}$	20	Q'	115	84
9,000 - \$1,9	\sim	121	76	54	0	<u>.</u>	33
2,000-\$2,99	195	103	0	0	Z6.	78	1,4
3,000,\$4	Γ	120	112	ω	36	32	7
5,000-\$7,4	0	92	89	M	10	10	0
6 ° 6\$-	: 43	. 00 1 00 1	37	Н (ιν (ıνι	0 (
\vdash	8).	(5)	(3	7	2		
Median income	1,988	3,400	3,777	- Dollars - 1,562	1,373	1,538	833
	 	1 ((2	 (1 ((
ouseho	o.	•	•	000	•		
nder \$1,00	·	•	· 0	О\	Ÿ	·	•
1,000-\$1,99	$\dot{\circ}$	•	$\dot{\infty}$	5	٠.	;	•
2,000-\$2,99	•	•	·	ë.	٠.	$\dot{\infty}$	•
3,000-\$4,9	ė	•	•	H	•	•	•
5,000-\$7,49	•	15.3	9	•	•	•	
500-\$9,99	3.7	•	6.9	1.5	0.0	1.2	
	•	12.5	•	•	•	•	;
Percentage by race and sex							
olds	: 100.0	52.1	9	•	7	5.	o N
Under $$1,$: 100.0	•	13.1	7.9	79.0	45.7	33.3
\$-000	•	9	9	•	'n	<u>;</u>	÷
2,000-\$2,9	•	à	$\dot{\circ}$	•	·	· 0	•
000-\$4,999	: 100.0	6.97	٠.	•	÷.	0	•
5,000-\$7,4	•	0		•	9	o, •	
7,500-	: 100.0	88.4	9	•	•	•	
10,000 0	: 100.0	9	'n	•	•		

ERIC"

Table 12. -- Sources of household income, by race and residence-occupation of household head, 1966

				Race a	and reside	idence-occupation	upation		
Sources of income	Га+ОП	••	White	te		••	Ne	Negro	
5		: Total	Farm	Farm labor	: Non- : farm	Total	Farm	: Farm	: Non-
	 	1 1 1		1 1 1	- Number		1 1	 	
All households	1,609	881	364	90	427	728	73	262	393
Wages and salaries	756	350	91	74	185	904	13	205	188
Farming	293	240	240	0	0	53	53	0	0
Rents	84	70	10	Н	59	14	~	O	11
Retirement	343	166	18	8	140	177	η.	24	139
Unemployment	38	24	ή.	m	17	14	Ø	a	10
Welfare	95	31	Н	7†	56	49	0	19	η2
••									
••	1 1 1	 	 	1 1 1	- Percent	1 1			
Percentage of households :						1			
receiving income from: :									
Wages and salaries	60.5	53.4	•	100.0	54.2	•	24.5	100.0	56.0
Farming	23.5	36.6	100.0		!	•		!	
Rents	6.7	10.7	4.2	1.4	17.3	2.4	1.9		•
Retirement	27.5	25.3	7.5	10.8	41.0	•		16.6	դ. Լդ
Unemployment	3.0	3.7	•	4.1	5.0	•			•
Welfare	J.6	h.7	η.Ο	5.4	7.6	•	 	•	•
••									

/ Some households had more than one source of income.

31

Table 13.--Persons in household, by household income and by race of household head, 1966

ERIC AFUILTEST Provided by ERIC

1 1	t		1	
	or more	Pct.	21.3 20.0 33.3 33.3 9.3 9.3 9.3 1.4 100.0	
1 4	%TC Or	NO.	75 77 77 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	
1 1	\$7,500-	Pct.	100.0 21.1 21.1 21.1 21.1 18.4 7.9 2.6 5.2 2.6 5.2 2.6 5.2	
1	£6.	No	0000H007 HDHW-10000	
1 1	\$5,000-: \$7,459:	Pct.	100.0 31.5 22.8 16.3 16.3 16.3 8.7 3.3 1.1 10.0 20.0 10.0 20.0	
1	\$5,	No.	0001111000 100010000000000000000000000	
le	: -000,	Pct.	100.0 33.3 19.2 18.4 8.3 10.0 13.9 13.9 13.9 13.9	
income	\$3,0	No.	4710m4770mg 00410mgc-10	
Household	: -000	Pct.	100.0 4.8 46.6 113.6 111.7 7.8 3.9 4.8 2.9 10.0 12.0 12.0 13.0 20.7	
Нот	\$2,000	No.	103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103	
	: 666	Pct.	100.0 22.3 37.2 17.4 7.4 2.5 4.1 3.3 3.3 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0	
	\$1,0	No.	121 27 45 20 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	
	er:	Pct.	100.00 39.6 39.6 33.8 3.8 3.8 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9	
	Under \$1,00	No.	21 21 22 23 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	
••	.a.]	Pct.	100.0	
	Total	No.	602 602 602 104 104 17 17 17 17 17 17 18 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	
Number of:	Ω O		White households. 1 2 3 4 5 9 or more households. 1 2 3 6 6 9 or more	•

ERIC AMPRICATE PROCESSION OF THE PROCESSION OF T

Table 14. --Household income by household size-income class and race of household head, 1966

some Total : Class lseholds	: Class 5	No. Po. 100. 131 100 151 162 252 253 259 259 259 259 259 259 259 259 259 259	Pct. No.0 1.6.0 57.3 25.2 6	Class 3 No. Pc 137 100 27 19 62 4 47 3 1 (Pct. No. 118 0 119.7 0 45.3 64 00.7 41	Class 4 o. Pct. 18 100.0 0 0 5 4.2 64 54.2 41 34.8	No. 162 0 0	Pct. 100.0 1.3
households	. No. Pet 3 54 100. 8 32 59. 1 19 35. 1 3 5.	1				• •	No. 162 0	Pct.
households: No. Pct. No. 54	No. Pet 8 32 59. 1 19 35. 1 3 5.					• •	162 0 0	Pet. 100.0
households: 602 100.0 54 er \$1,000 53 8.8 32 500-\$1,999 121 20.1 19 500-\$4,999 120 19.9 0 500-\$9,999 75 12.5 0 households 554 100.0 265 er \$1,000 199 35.9 150 500-\$2,999 209 37.7 97 600-\$2,999 209 16.6 18	54 100. 32 59. 19 35. 3 5.			• •		• •	162	100.0
Under \$1,000 53 8.8 32 \$1,000-\$1,999 121 20.1 19 \$2,000-\$2,999 120 19.9 0 \$5,000-\$7,499 92 15.3 0 \$7,500-\$9,999 75 12.5 0 \$10,000 or more 75 12.5 0 Under \$1,000 199 35.9 150 \$1,000-\$1,9% 209 37.7 97 \$2,000-\$2,999 92 16.6 18	32 59. 19 35. 3 5.						000	1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
\$1,000-\$1,999: 121 20.1 19 \$2,000-\$2,999: 120 17.1 3 \$3,000-\$4,999: 92 17.3 0 \$7,500-\$9,999: 75 12.5 0 \$10,000 or more: 75 12.5 0 cgro households: 554 100.0 265 Under \$1,000: 554 100.0 265 \$1,000-\$1,929: 209 37.7 97 \$2,000-\$2,999: 92 16.6 18	19 35. 3 5.						0 0 1	1 1 3 30.9
\$2,000-\$2,999: 103 17.1 3 \$3,000-\$4,999: 120 19.9 0 \$5,000-\$7,499: 38 6.3 0 \$7,500-\$9,999: 75 12.5 0 \$10,000 or more: 75 12.5 0 Under \$1,000: 554 100.0 265 Under \$1,000: 199 35.9 150 \$1,000-\$1,939: 209 37.7 97 \$2,000-\$2,999: 92 16.6 18	w o o						0 1- 9	14.3
\$3,000-\$4,999: 120 19.9 0 \$5,000-\$7,499: 38 15.3 0 \$7,500-\$9,999: 75 12.5 0 \$10,000 or more: 75 12.5 0 egro households: 554 100.0 265 Under \$1,000: 199 35.9 150 \$1,000-\$1,939: 209 37.7 97 \$2,000-\$2,999: 209 16.6 18	0 0						<u> </u>	30.08
\$5,000-\$7,499 92 15.3 0 \$7,500-\$9,999 75 12.5 0 \$10,000 or more 75 12.5 0 egro households 554 100.0 265 Under \$1,000 199 35.9 150 \$1,000-\$1,939 209 37.7 97 \$2,000-\$2,999 92 16.6 18	C						ĺ	30.9
\$7,500-\$9,999: 38 6.3 0 \$10,000 or more: 75 12.5 0 egro households: 554 100.0 265 Under \$1,000: 199 35.9 150 \$1,000-\$1,939: 209 37.7 97 \$2,000-\$2,999: 92 16.6 18	>						20	r (
\$10,000 or more: 75 12.5 0 egro households: 554 100.0 265 Under \$1,000: 199 35.9 150 \$1,000-\$1,9,4: 209 37.7 97 \$2,000-\$2,999: 92 16.6							31	∃.
egro households: 554 100.0 265 Under \$1,000 199 35.9 150 \$1,000-\$1,939: 209 37.7 97 \$2,000-\$2,999: 92 16.6 18	0						ħ.	45.7
egro households: 554 100.0 265 Under \$1,000: 199 35.9 150 \$1,000-\$1,9,4: 209 37.7 97 \$2,000-\$2,999: 92 16.6 18								
\$1,000 199 35.9 15 -\$1,9,4, 209 37.7 9 -\$2,999 92 16.6	265 100.			• •		100.0	14	100.0
92,999 92 37.7 9 1.\$2,999 92 16.6	15	76 57	24.2	; 0	0		0	1
,000-\$2,999: 92 16.6	7 97 36.						0	
	6 18 6.						0	
,000-84,999							N	14.3
,000-\$7,499 10							7	28.6
500-\$9,999, 5							7	35.7
0,000 or more: 3 0.6							M	21.4

Table 15.--Household size-income class, by race and sex of household head, 1966

Sex of :		: Hou	sehold size	-income cl	ass	
household head :	Total	: Class l	: Class 2 :	Class 3:	Class 4	:Class 5
:			Numb	er		
All households: Male heads: Female heads:	1,156 949 207	319 252 67	333 245 88	189 158 31	139 130 9	176 164 12
White households Male heads Female heads	602 535 67	54 49 5	131 106 25	137 116 21	118 113 5	162 151 11
Negro households Male heads Female heads	554 414 140	265 203 62	202 139 63	52 42 10	21 17 4	14 13 1
All households Male heads Female heads	100.0	27.6 26.6 32.4	28.8 25.8 42.5	16.4 16.6 15.0	12.0 13.7 4.3	15.2 17.3 5.8
White households Male heads Female heads	100.0	9.0 9.2 7.5	21.8 19.8 37.5	22.7 21.7 31.3	19.6 21.1 7.5	26.9 28.2 16.4
Negro households Male heads Female heads	100.0	47.8 49.0 44.3	36.5 33.6 45.0	9.4 10.2 7.1	3.8 4.1 2.9	2.5 3.1 0.7

Table 16.--Employment status of household head, by race, 1966

	old	Pet. 100.0 146.8 19.0 5.6 7.1 4.2 16.5
	Negro household	No. 594 278 113 33 42 25 98
	White household	Pct. 100.0 64.7 8.6 1.4 6.9 2.4 15.4
	W Hou	No. 655 424 56 9 45 101 4
		Pct. 100.0 56.2 13.5 3.4 7.0 3.3 15.9
	Total	100 100 100 100 100
	Tot	No. 1,249 702 169 42 87 41 199
	: head :	
	Employment status of household head	sabled.
	of hous	Il households. Full-time. Part-time. Unemployed. Retired. Disabled. Other.
	tatus	I households. Full-time. Part-time. Unemployed. Betired. Disabled. Other.
	ment s	I households Full-time Part-time Unemployed Betired Chisabled
	Employ	All households. Full-time. Part-time. Unemployed. Retired. Disabled. Retired and disabled. Other.
1	1	A.

Table 17.--Educational attainment of household head, by race and by age, 1966

Pet. No. 149 1 100.0 149 1 100.0 149 1 100.0 149 1 100.0 149 119 1 100.0 140.0	Age of	old head	
No. Pet. No.	: Urder 25 : 25-34 :	-44	: 52-04
101	No. Pct. No. 149	No. Pct. No. 1 216 100.0 243 10	Pct. No. Pct. No. Pct. 100.0
282 23.0 0 —— 12 305 24.8 10 21.3 37 182 14.8 5 10.6 17 178 14.5 14 29.8 35 21 3 2.4 3 6.4 10 25 2.1 0 —— 7 reporting age: 649 100.0 27 100.0 87 135 20.8 4 14.8 13 124 19.1 3.7 0 125 10.6 6 22.2 21 21 3.2 0 —— 4 114 17.6 6 22.2 21 21 3.2 0 —— 4 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 17.0 6 22.2 21 21 3.2 0 —— 4 11.1 17.0 29.4 6 30.0 24 28 10.0 2 10.0 6 28 10.0 2 10.0 6 28 10.0 2 10.0 6 28 10.0 2 10.0 6 28 10.0 2 10.0 6 28 10.0 2 10.0 24 28 11.1 8 40.0 14 29.8 11.1 8 40.0 14 20.0 3 3 4 0.7 0 —— 3 3 4 0.7 0 —— 3 3 4 0.7 0 —— 3 3 4 0.7 0 —— 3 3 4 0.7 0 —— 3 3 4 0.7 0 —— 3 3 4 0.7 0 —— 3 4 0.7 0 —— 3 5.6 9.8 7.8	1 2.1 1	3.7 22	30 12.6 39
305 24.8 10 21.3 37 37	0 12	η 9 6.6.	56 23.5 10 <u>7</u>
182 14.8 5 10.6 17	10 21.3 37	22.2 63	69 29.0 78
reporting age 579 10.5 14 29.8 35 2.1 25 2.1 2.5 2.1 2.5 3.5 2.6 3.5	5 10.6 17	13.9 40	31 13.0 59
reporting age: 549 10.2 14 29.8 30 reporting age: 649 100.0 27 100.0 87 124 19.1 3.7 0 reporting age: 579 100.0 20 100.0 62 124 11.1 11.1 reporting age: 579 100.0 20 100.0 62 12.1 11.1 reporting age: 579 100.0 20 100.0 20 100.0 62 12.1 11.1 reporting age: 579 100.0 20 100.0 20 100.0 62 12.1 11.1 reporting age: 579 100.0 20 100.0 20 100.0 62 12.1 11.1 reporting age: 579 100.0 20 100.0 20 100.0 62 12.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 1	14 29.8 35	23.2 26	31 13.0 22
reporting age: 52, 2.1 0 7 reporting age: 649 100.0 27 100.0 87 1	14 29.8 30	13.4 18	14 5.9 20
reporting age 649 100.0 27 100.0 87 1 3.7 0 9.6 1 10.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.7 15.0 0 9.7 15.0 0 9.7 15.0 0 9.7 15.0 0 9.7 15.0 0 9.7 15.0 0 9.7 15.0 0 9.7 15.0 0 9.7 15.0 0 9.8 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1	0	1.4 5	3 1.3 7
reporting age: 649 100.0 27 100.0 87 1 28 4.3 1 3.7 0 13.7 0 13.5 20.8 4 14.8 13 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1	3 6.4 10	2.3	4 1.7 3 6.4 5.8
reporting age: 649 100.0 27 100.0 87 1	`		
28	27 100.0 87 1	100.0 135	100.0 161 100
97 15.0 0 ——	1 3.7 0	0.8	9 7.5 7 4
135 20.8	η 0	10.1 24	19 15.8 38 23
reporting age: 579 100.0 20 100.0 62 1 1185 31.9 0	4 14.8 13		10.0 31 25.9 41 25.5
114 17.6 6 22.2 21 3.2 10 37.1 27 27 3 11.1 7 3 11.1 7 3 11.1 7 3 11.1 7 3 11.1 7 3 11.1 7 3 11.1 7 3 11.1 7 3 11.1 7 3 11.1 7 3 12.1 11.1 7 3 12.6 0 1 3 3 4 20.0 24 30.0 24 30.0 24 30.0 24 30.0 24 30.0 24 30.0 3 3 4 20.0 3 3 3 4 20.0 3 3 3 3 4 20.0 3 3 3 3 4 20.0 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 20.0 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	3 11.1 11	18.5 29	25 20.8 3½ 21
reporting age: 579 100.0 20 100.0 62 1 11.1 7 7 12.6 0 1 11.1 1 7 12.6 0 1 11.1 1 170 29.4 6 30.0 24 11.1 8 11.1 8 10.0 2 10.0 6 10.0 6 11.1 8 10.0 2 10.0 6 11.1 8 10.0 2 10.0 6 11.1 8 10.0 2 10.0 6 11.1 8 10.0 2 10.0 6 11.1 8 10.0 2 10.0 6 11.1 8 10.0 2 10.0 6 11.1 8 10.0 2 10.0 6 11.1 8 10.0 2 10.0 6 11.1 8 10.0 2 10.0 6 11.1 8 10.0 2 10.0 6 11.1 8 10.0 2 10.0 6 11.1 8 10.0 2 10.0 6 11.1 8 10.0 2 10.0 6 11.1 8 10.0 2 10.0 6 11.1 8 10.0	6 22.2 21	26.9 18	21 17.5 16 9
reporting age: 579 100.0 20 100.0 62 1 11.1 7 7 12.1 11.1 7 7 12.6 0 8 8 11.0 170 29.4 6 30.0 24 11.1 8 40.0 14 20.0 3 19 3.3 4 20.0 3 1.0 6 1.0 6 1.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 37.1 27	21.8 17	9 7.5 17 10
reporting age: 579 100.0 20 100.0 62 1 11.1	寸 0	2.5	3 2.5 6 3
reporting age: 579 100.0 20 100.0 62 100	3 11.1 7	3.4 5	3 2.5 2 1
reporting age: 579 100.0 20 100.0 62 100	.1		
73 12.6 0 1 1 185 31.9 0 8 12 170 29.4 6 30.0 24 38 170 29.4 6 30.0 24 38 64 11.1 8 40.0 14 22 19 3.3 4 20.0 3 4 10 0.7 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 <t< td=""><td>20 100.0 62 100</td><td>100.0 108</td><td>100.0 174 100</td></t<>	20 100.0 62 100	100.0 108	100.0 174 100
185 31.9 0 8 12 170 29.4 6 30.0 24 38 170 29.4 6 30.0 24 38 10.0 2 10.0 6 9 10.1 8 40.0 14 22 11.1 8 40.0 14 22 12 3.3 4 20.0 3 4 13 4 0.7 0 3 4 14 0.7 0 3 4 15 15 6 9.8 7.8	0 1 1	7.2 12	21 17.8 32 18
170 29.4 6 30.0 24 38 18 10.0 2 10.0 6 9 19 3.3 4 20.0 3 4 19 3.3 4 20.0 3 4 10 0.7 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 0 3 4	0 8 12	32.0 40	37 31.4 69 39
58 10.0 2 10.0 6 9 64 11.1 8 40.0 14 22 55 5 6 1.0 0 3 4 7.8 6 1.0 0 3 4 6 1.0 0 3 4 7.8	6 30.0 24 38	29.9 36	38 32.2 37 2 <u>1</u>
64 11.1 8 40.0 14 22 19 3.3 4 20.0 3 4 5.5 1.0 0 3 4 10 0 0 0 3 4 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 10.0 6 9	8.2 11	6 5.1 25 14
	8 40.0 14 22	18.6	10 8.5 6
3-15 years	4 20.03 4	3.1 1	5 4.2 3 1
6 years or more	4 8 0	0	0 1 0
years 5.6 9.8 7	7 8 0	H.	0
	7		

ERIC PAY

Table 18.--Labor force participation of household head, 1961-66, by race and age, 1966

participation :	Total	 al	Under	er 25 :	Age 25-	34	use	35-44 :	<u> </u>	ears) 45-54		55-64	65	and
יייים ווכמת													OVE	J-2
:	No.	Pet.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Nonfarm	7,660	•	- r		7 t T	•	077	T 00.	747	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	630	T00.0		700.
Presently active:	307	25.0	25	53.2	61	40.9	99	•	72	29.6	65	•	18	5.4
Presently inactive:	159	13.0	. ∞	17.0	22	14.8	32	14.8	37	15.2	32	13.4	28	8.4
Farm only	747	36.0	13	27.7	61	40.9	103	•	116	47.8	93	•	26	16.7
None	320	26.0	Н	2.1	7	3.4	15	•	18	7.4	718	•	233	69.5
,	((l	(l	(((1		(,	\(1
White households:	649	100.0	27	100.0	87	100.0	119	100.0	135	100.0	120	100.0	161	100.0
•	6	(l r	1	((-		-		l (((
	184	28.4	15	55.6	38	43.7	41		45	•	35	29.5	10	•
Presently inactive:	78	12.0	9	22.2	15	17.2	17		16		17	11.7	10	•
Farm only	235	36.2	9	22.2	34	39.1	57	47.9	63	7.94	49	40.8	56	16.2
None	152	23.4	0	1	0	1	7		11	•	22	18.3	115	•
••														
Negro households:	579	100.0	20	100.0	62	100.0	97	100.0	108	100.0	118	100.0	174	100.0
Nonfarm														
Presently active	123	21.2	10	50.0	23	37.1	25	•	27	25.0	30	25.4	∞	y•.6
Presently inactive:	81	14.0		10.0	7	11.3	15		21	19.4	18	15.3	18	10.4
Farm only	207	35.8	7	35.0	27	43.5	94	47.4	53	49.1	††	37.3	30	17.2
None	168	29.0	Н	5.0	2	8.1	11	•	7	6.5	56	22.0	118	67.8

Table 19. -- Employment status of household head, by race and household income, 1966

		•		Househol	ld income			
Employment status :	Total	. Under : \$1,000	: \$1,000- : \$1,999	: \$2,000- : \$2,999		\$5,000- \$7,499	\$7,500 \$9,999	 \$10,000 or more
	•						•	(
nonsehc	1,156	252	330	195	156	102	43	X (
•	835	132	215	74	72	٦. ر	, Է	_
Percent of total	72.2	52.4	65.2	•	•	T.96	95.3	η· Lo
Employed full-time	637	19	747	\circ	\vdash	92		76
Employed part-time	160	52	09	33	6	∑	m	C
Unemployed	38	19	11	9	J	Ч	0	0
Percent labor force:	7.6	77.7	5.1	4.2		1.0		
Not in labor force:	321	120	115	15		77	S	С,
etired	, 83 83	37	19	13	11	П	٦	Ч
	36	. (~	ر ر	· -		С	0	0
Retired and disabled	195 195	£ 50	<u> </u>	1 5	91	, (r		,
e or	\ <u>}</u>	2 (1	j m	N	0	0	0	0
			ı					
White household heads	602	53	121	103	120	92	38	
In labor force	7,42	17	61	68	66	88	36	73
د	73.4	32.1	50.4	0.99	82.5	95.6	7.46	
-42	385		143	Γ	92	α	ന	_
part	51	. [-	16	16	9	7	N	0
ן כּ	, ~		٥	α.	Н	٥	0	0
Device Tabor force) 17	г 1 о	۱ ۳ ۲	0		· !		
ford for	. O) YE	0.50	, (1	· 0	Ŋ	a	a
Labor	7 C	ال ال	0 ~) (1 Z	ι –	J -	1 -
Ketired	4 Ω Π	ρ c	t	o t		-l C	ч С	ч С
•	T2	ν'n	0 9			> () r) r
nd o	66	T9-	49	Ω '	TT	Υ) (⊣ '	! (
Housewife or student	\sim	S	٦	0	0	0	0	0
••					•			
Negro household heads	554	199	209	92	36	10	5	(**)
In labor force	393	115	r	<u></u>	\sim	$\boldsymbol{\neg}$		
Percent of total:	70.9	57.8	13.7	85.6	83.3	100.0	100.0	100.0
Employed full-time:	252	52	101	57	27	∞	77	<i>۲۲,</i>
Employed part-time	109	745	ተተ	15	m	Ч	Ч	C:
Unemployed	32	18	6	₽	0	Ч	0	C
	8.1	15.6	5.8	5.3		10.0	1 1 1	!
Not in labor force	191	87	55	\vdash	9	0	0	0
Retired	710	21	15	Μ.	-Т	0	0	0
Disabled	27	11	9	77	0	0	0	0
Retired and disabled:	96	52	32	_	2	0	0	0
Housewife or student	- :T	0	α	2	0	0	0	0

Table 20.--Labor force participation of household head, 1961-66, by household income, 1966

\$10,000 or more	Pct.	19.2	5.6	75.6	5.6	100.0	78 6	0 10	76.0	2.7	100.0	(33.3		00.	
*10:	No. 78	15	C1	29	N	75	٦) ا	t α.	57	N	(m)	r	٦ ،	0	C.	0
\$7,500- \$9,999	Pct.	53.5	7.0	34.9	7.6	100.0	Г. С	, r.	39.4	5.3	100.0	0	0.00 0.00	20.0		-
. Ф.,	No.	23	Μ	15	N	38	0	٠ ر	15	N	7	-	*	r-l	0	0
, 499	Pct.	54.9	9.8	31.4	3.9	100.0	л Л	7.0	32.6	3.3	100.0	(40.0	30.0	20.0	10.0
\$ [\$	No.	26	10	32	7	92	R C	1 -	30	Μ	10	-	力 (Ω.	N	Н
income \$3,000-: \$4,999	Pct.	37.8	14.1	33.3	14.8	100.0	37 F	16.7	30.8	15.0	100.0	(30.1	7.7	41.7	13.9
"]	No.	59	22	55	23	120	<u>ر</u> 7	2 6	37	18	36	ī	T#	S) I	15	_
#Ousehold \$2,000- \$2,999	Pct.	23.6	13.3	38.0	25.1	100.0	6 (0	76,5	29.1	30.1	100.0	(ςς.α 	ر م	47.8	9.61
0 40	No.	917	56	47	76	103	С Ц	77	30	31	92	ľ	7.7 7.7	و. ع	777	18
-000,	Pct.	17.9	15.2	33.9	33.0	100.0	7.0.1	- L	27.3	45.5	100.0	(0.22.	14.4	37.8	25.8
\$1	No.	59	20	112	109	121	<u>ر</u> در	G 6	33	55	209	-	40	30	<u>6</u> 2	57
Under \$1,000	Pct.	6.6	15.9	27.0	7.3	100.0	C	7 7	22.7	0.99	100.0	,	T.2.	17.6	28.1	42.2
Un(No. 252	25	7:0	68	119	53	-	4 LC	12	35	199	(1 t	χ Ω	26	87
al	Pct.	24.5	13.2	35.6	26.7	100.0	ζ	1.01	35.5	24.3	100.0	(9.70	7 to th	35.7	29.3
Total	No. 1,156	283	153	412	308	905	091	73	214	146	554	,	†TT	တ္တ (198	162
Labor force participation of the household head	All households	Nonfarm	Presently inactive:	Farm only	None	: White households	Nonfarm	Presently inschive	Farm only	None	: Negro households	Nonfarm	Fresently active	Presently inactive:	Farm only:	None

Table 21.--Employment status of household head, by household income and race, 1966

0,000	Pet. 100.0 97.4 1.3 1.3	1.3	100.0
000°01\$	1	775 1073 90 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	W W O O O O
-00			c 0 0
\$7,500-	Pct. 100.00 88.4 88.4 7.0 7.0 2.3	2.6 2.6 2.6	100.0
	N 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	38 34 32 34 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35	N4 H00000
000,	Pct. 100.0 90.2 1.9 1.0 1.0	100.0 91.3 4.3 1.1 1.1	100.0 80.0 10.0 10.0
\$5	0 N C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	0 3 0 L 0 t 4 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	10 00 00 00 00
\$3,000- :	10 mm 10 0 m	76.7 76.7 5.0 0.8 8.3 9.2	100.0 75.0 8.3 2.8 13.9
d income \$3,00	No. 1156 119 11 11 16	120 92 6 1 10 0	23 23 24 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
Househol	1000015000	100.0 #8.5 15.5 2.0 9.7 6.8	100.0 62.0 16.3 4.3 4.3 7.6
1 S &	No. 195 107 31 13 11 25	103 50 16 2 10 18	92 15 15 14 14 14
-000,	Pet. 100.0 43.6 18.2 3.3 5.8 24.6 0.9	100.0 35.5 13.2 1.7 3.3 5.0 \$0.5	100.00 148.14 21.1 14.3 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 15.1
\$ \$	l .	121 143 16 49 49	209 101 44 9 15 6
Under :	Pct. 100.0 24.2 20.6 7.5 14.7 5.2 27.0 0.8	100.0 16.9 11.9 30.2 30.2 30.2	100.0 26.1 22.6 9.1 10.6 5.5 26.1
un E	No. 252 61 52 19 37 83	53 11 16 16 16	199 52 45 18 21 11 52 0
	Pet. 100.0 55.1 13.8 3.3 7.2 7.2 16.9 0.6	100.0 64.0 8.5 1.0 7.1 2.5 16.4	100.0 145.5 19.7 5.8 7.2 3.8 17.3
Total	No. 1,156 637 160 38 83 83 36 195	602 385 51 6 43 15 99	554 109 32 40 40 96
int status :	heads	d heads	d heads
Employment s	All household Full-time Part-time Unemployed Retired Retired and Housewife or	White household heads Full-time Part-time Unemployed Retired Disabled Retired and disable Housewife or studen	Negro household Full-time Part-time Unemployed Retired Disabled Retired and D Housewife or

Table 22. -- Occupation of household head, by household income and race, 1966

\$10,000 or more	 84 0000 10000	C M OI	24.8 24.8 11.4 6.2 16.6 13.0
\$7,500-: \$9,999:	1	N O N	3.7 6.4 0.4 0.4 16.7 16.7 16.7
\$5,000-:	102 102 18 103 33 10 10	787	8.8 1.2 1.2 8.8 11.1 20.8 28.6 16.7 16.7
income \$3,000-: \$4,999:	156 156 19 0 0 8 13 13	33	ent
#Ousehold \$2,000 :	Number 195 31 16 0 51 10 13 13		Percent 16.9 11.7 19.8 20.9 29.4 18.1 27.1 14.3 16.7 8.3 4.4
\$1,000-: \$1,999	330 330 37 15 107 6 28 1	1 0 121	28.5 13.9 18.5 50.0 43.9 17.7 38.9 12.5 14.3
Under :	252 133 73 73 60 0	1 1 133	21.8 4.9 7.1 50.0 29.9 17.7 12.5 4.2 8.3 4.4.4
Total	1,156 1,156 81 244 34 72 77 7	12 23 347	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0
Occupation	nagers	Managers, officials, proprietors, except farm	All household heads

Table 22. -- Occupation of household head, by household income and race, 1966--Continued

				Househol	ld income			
Occupation	Total	. Under :	\$1,000-: \$1,999	\$2,000-: \$2,999:	les es	\$5,000- :	\$7,500- : \$9,999 :	\$10,000 or more
	1					N		
White household heads	602	53	121	103	120	92	38	75
Farmers and farm managers	217	9	23	15	54	38	17	75
Laborers, except farm and mine	ተተ	1	2	_	11	16	7	С
Private households	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	С
Farm laborers	99	∞	30	22	Ø	m	Т	C
Service workers	14	1	0	9	2	a	С	С
Operatives	5ф	0	П	2	7	_	6	Н
Craftsmen, foremen	32	0	2	7	12	ω	Н	C1
Sales workers	7	0	Н	Н	Н	cu	0	C1
Clerical and kindred	5	0	0	П	П	Т	Т	Н
Managers, officials, proprietors,								
except farm	10	0	0	Н	Μ	7	Ŋ	0
Professional, technical, and kindred	18	0	0	П	Μ	7	7	m
Not otherwise employed	165	37	62	37	21	ή.	0	C 1
[[]	554	199	209	92	36	10	5	m
mana	49	7	14	16	8	2	0	CJ
Laborers, except farm and mine	37	5	13	6	∞	2	0	0
Private households	16	8	∞	0	0	0	0	0
Farm laborers	178	65	77	29	7	0	0	0
Service workers	50	5	9	η.	m	П	П	0
Operatives	748	6	27	8	П	П	N	0
Craftsmen, foremen	16	S	7	9	Τ	2	0	Н
Sales workers	0'	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clerical and kindred	I	0	0	0	П	0	0	С
Managers, officials, proprietors,								
except farm	2	П	ı	0	0	0	0	С
Professional, technical, and kindred	5	П	0	0	П	П	< √	C
Not otherwise employed	182	96	59	20	9	IJ	0	С

į

Table 23. -- Employment status of household head, by education and race, 1966

				Years	of schooli	ing completed	eted			
Employment status	Total	None	; 1-h	: 5-7		1 1	-11	12 :	13-15	:16 and over
		, ,	0	0	(O r		כמר	אסר	7.0	08
All household heads	245, I	TTT	202 202	100	T Ct		ר מ א	97T 106	- c	ο œ
	913	To L	_ °	7 (1	77 - 77		ر بر در بر	ر (8 د ر	ر بر	ر د د0
Percent of total	73.1	55.0	05.50	ر ⁴⁴ . ک	1.1			1. 1.0	(.t.)	7.0
Employed full-time	702	48	711	747	TOT		L34	103	7 T	٦,
Employed part-time	169	12	64	Ĺ9) 	0	9T	Υ	⊣ (⊣ <
Unemployed	742	٦	12	14			∞	0	0	O
٦	9.4	1.6	6.8	6.1	2	~	5.1		-	! "
Not in labor force	336	20	104	79	55	0 1	25	20	4	~
tired	87	10	ђZ	19	78	~	9	6	Ч	0
	<u> 1</u>	10	13	7			N	Ŋ	0	0
עסלהמשיל האסלייים הסאינה הסאינה הסאינה הסאינה הסולמשה הסאינה הסאי	900	30	65	64	2		77	6	Υ	67
Housewife or student	1	0	N	, †	0		Μ	0	0	0
							į r	,	Ċ	ָ [֡]
White household heads	655	31	26	136	124		115	T06	7 K	4 6
In labor force	489	15	59	95	6		76	91 9	`	22 6
Percent of total	74.6	48.4	60.8	69.8	73.4		84.3	85.8	4.08). T6
Employed full-time	† 2†	13	γ ₁ 3	72	2	Ω.	88	90	19	77
	96	2	17	21	님		9	J	0	— I
Imemployed	6	0	2	2		01	m	0	0	0
Dervent Jahor force.	, c	!	3.4	2.1	2.3	0 1	3.1		 	!
	99 L	٦٢	ω (*)		, y	~	18	15	m	CJ
TII TADOI	7 C	9 0) -	i a	[١	ſ		T	0
Ketlred	† '	- ٥) - -	· . C	4 ⁻	- 11	١	·	C	C
 Z	TP	† 4	7 (V (Г	Ω -) (- α	0	· 0
Retired and disabled:	101	T.S.	24	65	- `	+ () 	٠ (u c	J C
Housewife or student	4	0	0	⊣		-	n	5	5)
ייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	, (OR	S	185	171	Š		68	20	77	Y
בייטוו כ	t (c.:) \c)) (1 CC	<u> </u>	· –	5	7.5	r	9
In Labor Iorce	424	1 1 1	7.17 C.17	1 F C	ά		80 7	7117	75.0	0.001
Percent of total :	† • T J.	ر٠)ر د	0.40	0 1			7.1		•	9
Employed full-time	278	35	74	75	N	_	40	CT.	V r	ى د
Imployed part-time	113	10	35	94		ov.	10	N (⊣ () (
	33	Н	10	12		ın	, 7	0	0	C
	7.8	2.2	8.4	0.6	12.	CJ	8.2	1		1 '
Not in labor force	170	34	99	38	H	0	_	₽.	H	O
	775	10	1)4	10		‡	Н	M	0	0
•	り ひ ひ	V	σ	T.		Q	2	Ч	0	0
	7 0	0 00	, L4	0,0	13	œ.	τ̈́	Н	Т	0
3	у О п	H C) P	1	l) C	С	0	0	0
Housewire or student	^)	J	n))	•		
•										

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

Table 24.--Labor force participation of household head, 1961-66, by education and race, 1966

Labor force participation:				Years	of schooling o	completed			
the hor	:lota]	None	: 1-4		: 8		: 12	: 13-15:16	6 and over
•			1		Mimber				
ld heads	1,	111	282	307	184	183	126	98	30
Presently active:	316	17	41	68	52	61	747	σ.	21
Presently inactive:	162	18	33	L4 Ct.	31	23	10	ινo	ΤУ
Farm only	44.7 324	335 41	104	119 79	55 48	59	71 18	ח ת	0 01
יי ייייא הלייטא הלייחו	655	د	20	981	ηδη	715	90 L	00	70
		d D	-) H	1	4)) 	1	J
tly	187	4 0	15	33	36	37	ôÉ	ω (15
in	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	∞ σ	70 36	5₁ 7. \ 1. \	19 140	13 14	μ 1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	N O	⊣ ∨
None	154	10	36	, ₁ ,	56	6T	14	\ M	· 8
Negro household heads	594	80	185	171	09	68	20	ተ	9
•	0	(r)	L (ſ	Ċ	C	r	(
resently active: Presently inactive:	757 84	13 10	9 6	35 24	a ۲ ۷۲	45 0 [စ ဂ.	⊣	Ø C
	211	52	89	7.14	13	42	9	0	C
None	170	31	89	38	ĺ	10	†	0	С
	; ;	 	! !	;	Dencent	1	1	1	
All household heads	00	100.0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		L		C		(71		0
Presently active: Presently inactive	13.0	16.5	14.5	13.4		به مر	21.3		0.0 %
only	35.8	31.5		38.8	28.8	38.3	40.5	34.6	20.0
None	25.9	37.0	36.9	25.7	•	·.	14.3		6.7
White hous ∵old heads:	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
•	00	C	ה ה	Ċ	C C	Ç	8 %	1 90	J (2)
Presently active: Presently inactive:	20.0	25.8	10.3	24.3	29.0 15.3	32.2 11.3	30.0	50.4 9.1	5.70 4.2
•	36.0	29.0	37.1	33.1	32.3	40.0	42.4	40.9	25.0
None	23.5	32.3	37.1	30.1	23.4	16.5	13.2	13.6	ლ დ
Negro household heads:	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Nonfarm Presently active	7.19	2,91	0.46	20.5	26.7		0.01	25.0	100.0
	14.1	12.5	12.4	14.0	20.0		10.0	75.0	
Farm only	35.5	32.5	36.8	43.3	21.6	35.3	30.0	1	
NOME	0.02	0.00	0.00	7.77	1.40		0.02		

Table 25. -- Occupation of household head, by education and race, 1966

				Year	s of schoo	ling	lete		
Occupation:	Total	None	1-4		8	9-11		: 13-15	: 16 and over
•									
••	; ; ;	1 1 1 1	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!		Numbe	E.		1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
All household heads	1,249	111		307	~7	l I	126	56	30
Farmers and farm managers	293	ή.		58	55	59	59	11	œ
Laborers, except farm and mine	T6	10	16	77	14	14	12	٦	0
	16	8		8	0	τ	N	С	0
Farm Laborers	256	35	85	82	23	56	5	0	0
Service workers	710	m	m	10		10	7	0	~ !
Operatives	92	τ,	19	23	10	77	9	0	0
Craftsmen, foremen	54	7	Μ	13	10	15	σ,	κ	С
Sales workers	ω	0	0	0	٦	8	2	2	П
Clerical and kindred	9	0	0	7	Т	Ч	a	0	П
Managers, officials, proprietors,									
except farm	17	႕	0	η.	C)	ή	†	Ч	٦
Professional, technical and kindred	56	С	0	<	Н	63	7	77	16
Not otherwise employed	366	51	112	87	58	32	50	h	2
	 	1 1 1	1 1 1		ı Pe	ercent			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
All household heads	100.0	6.8	25.6	5 ⁴ .6	<u>ا</u> -	14.6	0	•	•
Farmers and farm managers	100.0	1.4	•	9	∞	0	Ö	ω Μ	2.7
Laborers, except farm and mine	100.0		17.6	•	15.4	15.4	13.2	•	E 19 19
rive	100.0	12.5	•	$\dot{\infty}$	- 1	7.	\ddot{c}]	1
Farm laborers	100.0	•		Ċ	•	0	i.		1
Service workers	100.0	7.5	•	Ŗ,	Š	5.	•		2.5
Operatives	100.0	•	•	o.	α	φ.	7		!
Craftsmen, foremen	100.0	•	•	₹.	•	<u>.</u>	ý.	•	1
Sales workers	100.0	!			S.	₹.	₹.	25.0	•
Clerical and kindred	160.0	[[1	16.7	9	9	•	1	16.7
Managers, officials, proprietors,									
except farm	100.0	6.5		•	•	•		•	•
Professional, technical and kindred	100.0			7.7	3.8	7.7	3.8	15.4	61.6
Not otherwise employed	100.0	13.9	30.6	•	•	٠.		•	•

Table 25.--0ccupation of household head, by education and race, 1966--Continued

-				76.9%	a Office Poly	noo builoodo	mon at a d		
Occupation	Total	: None	1-4 :	3	8	<u>'</u> _	12	: 13-15:1	6 and over
					F	,			
77.] 1 1 1	1 1 1 1		ı İ	Number	1	; !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	1 1 1 1
	655	31	26	136	124	115	901	22	24
d farm managers.	540	†	54	39	51	64	54	11	∞
Laborers, except farm and mine	50	m	2	11	9	13	12	0	0
Private households	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Farm laborers	69	7	23	19	6	ω	· ~	0) C
Service workers	15	П	Н	, M	, г.	2) (V	О С	_
Operatives	56	0	κ	9	, ω	5	4	0	10
Craftsmen, foremen	35	0	2	10	ر	. 6	7		0
Sales workers	က	0	0	0	П	N	N	2	_
Clerical and kindred	\	0	C	0	П	Т	8	С (ı —
Managers, officials, proprietors,								,	l
except farm	14	0	0	m	Н	7	77	 -	_
Professional, technical and kindred:	19	0	0	N	H		· _	. 4	1 C
Not otherwise employed	174	91	39	43	35	21	ز ز ۱ :۲	۰ ۳۰	ا
					, I			ì	į
sehol	594	80	185	171	09	68	20	†	۷
Farmers and farm managers	53	0	15	6T	7	10	7	0	C
Laborers, except farm and mine	141	7	11	13	∞	ᅥ	· O	·	: 0
Private households	16	2	7	Μ	0	7	· Ci	0	C
Farm laborers	187	28	62	63	17	18	N	0	c
Service workers	25	2	2	7	† †	ω	2	0	C
Operatives	50	†	16	17	a	6	8	C	C
Craftsmen, foremen	19	Н	Ч	Μ	†	, O	8	· α	C
Sales workers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	О	. С
Clerical and kindred	П	0	0	Н	0	0	0	0) C
Managers, officials, proprietors,								•	,
except farm	Μ	П	0	Н	Н	0	0	0	0
Professional, technical and kindred:	7	0	0	0	0	٦.	0	0	· v
Not otherwise employed	192	35	73	††	23	11	7	П	0
				;					
••									

Table 26.--Employment status of household head, by education and race, 1966

:		:			nooling		d.		
	Total	: None :	1-4:	5 - 7:	8 :	9-11 :	12 :	13-15 :16	& over
:				_	Number .				
:- :	1,249	111	282	307	184	183	126	26	30
Full-time	7 02	48	117	1),7	105	134	103	21	27
Part-time	169	12	149	67	20	16	3	1	1
Unemployed	42	1	12	14	7	8	0	0	0
Retired	87	10	24	19	18	6	9	1	0
Disabled	41	10	13	7	7	2	2	0	0
Retired and disabled:	199	30	65	49	27	14	9	3	2
Housewife or student:	199 9	0	2	<u>,</u>	0	3	Ó	0	0
nousewire or students	9	O	_	·	-	J			
White household heads:	655	31	97	136	124	115	106	22	24
Full-time:	424	13	43	72	78	88	90	19	21
Part-time:	56	2	14	21	11	6	1	0	1
Unemployed:	9	0	2	2	2	3	0	0	0
Retired:	45	0	10	9	14	5	6	1	0
Disabled:	16	4	4	2	5	0	1	0	0
Retired and disabled:	101	12	24	29	14	10	8	2	2
Housewife or student:	4	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0
:					_	4.5		•	_
Negro household heads:	594	80	185	171	60	68	20	4	6
Full-time:	278	35	74	75	27	46	13	2	6
Part-time:	113	10	35	46	9	10	2	3.	0
Unemployed:	33	1	10	12	5	5	0	0	0
Retired:	42	10	14	10	4	1	3	0	0
Disabled:	25	6	9	5	2	2	1	0	0
Retired and disabled:	98	18	41	20	3	4	1	1	0
Housewife or student:	5	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
:					- Percent	: -			
All household heads:	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Full-time	_/ -	43.3	41.5	47.9	57.1	73.2	81.8	80.8	90.0
Part-time	13.5	10.8	17.4	21.8	10.9	8.7	2.4	3.8	3.3
Unemployed		0.9	4.3	4.6	3.8	4.4			
Retired		9.0	8.5	6.2	9.8	3.3	7.1	3.8	
Disabled	=	9.0	4.6	2.3	3.8	1.1	1.6		
Retired and disabled		27.0	23.0	15.9	14.6	7.7	7.1	11.6	6.7
Housewife or student:			0.7	1.3		1.6			
nousewife of students.	0.1		'						
White household heads:	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Full-time	_,	41.9	44.3	53.0	62.9	76.5	84.9	86.4	87.5
Part-time		6.5	14.4	15.4	8.9	5.2	0.9		4.2
Unemployed			2.1	1.5	1.6	2.6			
Retired			10.3	6.6	11.3	4.4	5.7	4.5	
Di. led		12.9	4.1	1.5	4.0		0.9		
Retired and disabled		38.7	24.8	21.3	11.3	8.7	7.6	9.1	8.3
Housewife or student	_			0.7		2.6			
	;			7000	7000	700 0	100 0	100 0	100.0
Negro household heads		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0 50.0	100.0
Full-time		43.8	40.0	43.9	45.0	67.6	65.0		100.0
Part-time		12.5	18.9	26.9	15.0	14.7	10.0	25.0 	
Unemployed		1.2	5.4	7.0	8.3	7.4	15.0		
Retired	•	12.5	7.6	5.8	6.7	1.5	5.0		
Disabled		7.5	4.9	2.9	3.3	2.9 5.0	5.0 5.0		
Datinal and disablad	: 16.5	22.5	22.1	11.7	21.7	5.9			
Retired and disabled Housewife or student			1.1	1.8					

Table 27.--Occupation of household head, by household size-income class and race, 1966

: no itamino O					Household		size-income	e class				
	Total	al	Cle	ass 1	: Class	2	: Class	3	: Cla	ass 4	CI	ass 5
All household heads	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pet.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pet.
and farm	266	23.0	22	6.9	41	12.3	45	23.8	139 59	42.4		100.0 56.3
Laborers, except larm	91 7'	7.0	13	4.1	22	9.9	15	7.9	15	10.8		9.1
	47/C	1.4 1.1	137	3.4 10.04	4 75	1.2	T &C	ر. د. ه	0 -	0		
:	34	3.0	r <u>-</u>	; d	<u> </u>	2.1	11	14.0	† V	アトラップ	Y) (Y). T
Operatives	72	6. 2	25	7.8	20	0.9	0	4.7	0 6	6.5	n 0	- L
Craftsmen, foremen	7,8	4.2	5	1.6	7	2.1	16	8.5	10	7.5	10	5.7
Sales workers	<u>-</u> \	9.0	0	1	П	0.3	N	1.1	Т	0.7	Υ	1.7
Managers, officials, proprietors.	٥	0.5	0		0		0	1	\sim	2.2	Μ	1.7
except farm	۲۵	1.0	_	? C	¢.		c	۲	r	c	V	نـ ۲
onal, technical, and k	23	2.0	Н Н	 0	0		n v		0 6	/) [/) C	٥۴	ν. 4
Not otherwise employed	347	30.0	100	31.4	156	6.94	58	30.7	- 25	15.8	7 7	- 0 - 0
White household heads	602	100.0	54	100.0	131	100.0	137	100.0	118	100	2,62	001
nd farm	217	36.0	6	16.7	23	17.6	38	27.8	52	43.2	95	58.6
	†††	7.3	N	3.7	7	5.3	_	5.1	13	11.0	15	, o
te household	0 ;		0		0		0	1	0	!	0	
Samily confession in the second secon	99	11.0	19	35.2	27	20.6	†Τ .	10.2	Μ	2.5	\sim	1.9
December workers	14	8.3	0	1	П	0.8	ω	5.8	m	2.5	Ø	1.2
Operatives	24	4.0	0	!	Υ	2.3	9	4.4	6	۷.6	9	3.7
L C	ין ני	٠.٠ د .٠	0 (2	۳ ش	11	8.0	∞	6.8	ω	0 1
WOLKEIS	<u>. </u>	۲. د د	0 ([Н (0.8	cv.	1.5	Н	0.9	Μ	1.9
off	^	0.0	>	1	0	1			N	1.7	Μ	1.8
except farm.	10	7.7	C		C		_	1	r	0		t
essional, technical and kin	18	3.0	0		0		⊣ ⊢	- 6	n (c	й 0 -	٥ [~ α 'n \
Not otherwise employed	165	27.4	24	44.4	49	48.8	49	35.8	18	16.1	101	9.0
Negro household heads	554	100.0	265	100.0	202	100.0	52	0.001	כ	0 001	ار 1	0
	64	8.8	13	4.9	18	8.9		13.5	7	33.3	. 4	28.0
4	37	6.7	11	4.2	15	7.4	ω	15.4	- N	9.5	· H	7.1
old	91	2.9	11	4.2	7	2.0	Н	1.9	0	.	0	
Farm Laborers and foremen	178	32.1	115	43.4	718	23.8	14	26.9	Н	7.8	0	!
Service workers	50	3.6	_	5.6	9	3.0	\sim	5.8	Υ	14.3	П	7.1
	7,8	8.7	25	9.4	17	8.4	Μ	5.8	0		m	21.5
Craitsmen, Ioremen	16	2.9	2	1.9	2	1.0	2	9.6	2	9.5	Ŋ	14.3
bales workers	0	1	0		0	!	0	i	0		0	
and kindred	Н	0.2	0		0	!	0	1	1	4.8	0	!
, oiilclais,	(,	1	,								
+00bx1001 0x2 1x1x2x02	S) I	4.0	Н,	٥. 4	0		П	1.9	0		0	-
emnlowed and	ر 0 د	9.00	1 T	۳. ر د . ر	0 (1	Н	1.9	Н,	4.8	Ŋ	14.3
OCTICI MISC	7.0.T	32.8	9)	28.7	92	45.5	6	17.3	7	19.0	П	7.1

Table 28.--Selected employment and income characteristics, by residence-occupation and race of household head, 1966

			: Res	idence	occupat	ion		
I'tem:	Tot	al	: Fe	arm	: Farm	labor :	Nonf.	arm
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Employment of household head White households	655 174 41.5 66	100.0 26.6 63.4 10.0	240 0 184 56	100.0 76.7 23.3	74 4 68 2	100.0 5.4 91.9 2.7	341 170 163 8	100.0 49.9 47.8 2.3
Negro households Not employed Single job holding Multiple job holding		100.0 32.3 65.8 1.9	53 0 47 6	100.0 88.7 11.3	205 17 185 3	100.0 8.3 90.2 1.5	336 175 159 2	100.0 52.1 47.3 0.6
Number of income recipients White households None 2 3 4 5 or more	159 379 98 13	100.0 24.2 57.9 15.0 2.0 0.2	42 5 1	100.0 79.6 17.5 2.1 0.4	74 0 55 13 4 0 2	100.0 74.4 17.5 5.4 2.7	341 159 133 43 4 0	100.0 46.6 39.0 12.6 1.7
Negro households None 2 3 4 5 or more	: 150 : 256 : 110 : 32 : 21	100.0 25.3 43.1 18.5 5.4 3.5	0 43 6 2	100.0 81.1 11.3 3.8 1.9	0 99 50 19 17	100.0 48.3 24.4 9.3 8.3 9.7	336 150 114 54 11 3	100.0 44.6 33.9 16.1 3.3 0.9 1.2
Sources of income White households Farm Wages and salaries Rent Retirement Unemployment compensation Welfare	: 244 : 456 : 72 : 194 : 21		390 244 112 11 18 4		104 0 104 0 0		522 0 240 61 176 17 28	
Negro households Farm Wages and salaries Rent Retirement. Unemployment compensation Welfare	: 53 : 742 : 15 : 227 : 14		84 53 23 1 6 2		494 0 429 2 39 2 22		546 0 290 12 182 10 52	

Table 28.--Selected employment and income characteristics, by residence-occupation and race of household head, 1966--Continued

T+om :			Res	sidence	occupat	ion		
Item	Tot	tal	Fa	arm	:_Farm	ı labor	:Nor	farm_
Professor accompation of household hand	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Primary occupation of household head : White households	655	100.0	240	100.0	74	100 0	341	100.0
	240	36.7	240	100.0	•	100.0	341 0	100.0
Farmers and farm managers		7.6	240	100.0	0		50	7 14.7
Laborers, except farm	50 0	•	0		0		0	14•1
	69		•		•	02.2	•	
Farm laborers and foremen	-	10.5	0		69	93.3	0 15	4.4
Service workers	15 26	2.3	0 0		0		25	7.3
Operatives:		4.0	0		1	1.3		
Craftsmen, foremen:	35	5.3	•		0		35	10.3
Sales workers	8	1.2 0.8	0 0		0		8	2.3
Clerical and kindred	5	0.0	U		U		5	1.5
Managers, officials, proprietors, :	1);	<i>C</i> . 7	^		^		14	4.1
except farm		2.1	0		0		-	
Professional, technical and kindred.:	19	2.9	0		O L	 5.4	19	5.6
Not otherwise employed	174	26.6	0		4	5.4	170	49.8
Magne la completa de la	501.	100 0	E2	100.0	205	100 0	336	100.0
Negro households	594	100.0	53 53	100.0	-	100.0	330	100.0
Farmers and farm managers	53 41	8.9			0	0.5	40	77 0
Laborers, except farm	41 16	6.9	0		1 0	-	16	11.9 4.8
Private household workers		2,7	0		187	07.0	0 T0	4.0
Farm laborers and foremen	187	31.5 4.2	0		TO!	91.2	25	7.4
Service workers	25	8.4	•				•	
Operatives:	50		0		0		50	14.9
Craftsmen, foremen	19	3.2	0		0		19	5.6
Sales workers	0		0		0		0	
Clerical and kindred	1	0.2	0		0		1	ე.3
Managers, officials, proprietors, :		۰	^		•		_	
except farm:	3	0.5	0		0		3	0.9
Professional, technical and kindred.:	7	1.2	0		0		· /	2.1
Not otherwise employed:	192	32.3	0		17	8.3	175	52.1
•								



Table 29.--Primary occupation of household head in the active labor force and second occupation of multi-jobholding heads, by employment status and race, 1966

0ccupation :	- ידי	otal		Status i				on
occupation	1.0)raT		l-time loyed		rt-time	Unen	ployed
•			· Ship	<u> 10,7 c a </u>	•Cmi	o royeu	•	
Primary commetica of heads	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Primary occupation of heads :	100		1 -1	•				
White households	490	100.0	424	86.5	57	11.6	9	1.9
Farmers and farm managers:	240	100.0	225	93.8	15	6.2	0	
Laborers, except farm:	50	100.0	46	92.0	14	8.0	0	
Private household workers:	0		. 0		0		0	
Farm laborers and foremen	69	1.00.0	45	65.2	24	34.8	0	
Service workers:	15	100.0	11	73.3	14	26.7	0	
Operatives	26	100.0	22	84.6	4	15,4	0	
Craftsmen, foremen:	35	100.0	33	94.3	2	5.7	0	
Sales workers	8	100.0	5	62.5	3	37.5	0	
Clerical and kindred:	5	100.0	5	100.0	0		0	
Managers, officials, proprietors, :								
except farm:	14	100.0	11,	100.0	0		0	
Professional, technical and kindred:	19	100.0	18	94.7	1	5.3	0	
Not otherwise classified	9	100.0	0		0		9	100.0
:	•							100.0
Negro households:	426	100.0	278	65.3	115	27.0	33	7.7
Farmers and farm managers	53	100.0	44	83.0	9	17.0	0	
Laborers, except farm	41	100.0	35	85.4	5	12.2	1	2.4
Private household workers:	16	100.0	12	75.0	4	25.0	0	
Farm laborers and foremen	187	100.0	103	55.1	76	40.6	8	4.3
Service workers:	25	100.0	22	88.0	3	12.0	0	
Operatives:	50	100.0	38	76.0	3 12	24.0	0	
Craftsmen, foremen	19	100.0	_	•	12 14		•	
Sales workers	19		15	78.9	·	21.1	0	
Clerical and kindred	•	700 0	0		0	m* — —	0	
Managers, officials, proprietors,	1	100.0	1	100.0	0		0	
	_		_		_			
except farm	3	100.0	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	
Professional, technical, and kindred:	7	100.0	7	100.0	0		0	
Not otherwise classified	24	100.0	0		0		24	100.0
Socond compation of multi-filt-live 1								
Second occupation of multi-jobholding heads:				06.1		_		
White households	66	100.0	57	86.4	9	13.6	0	
Laborers, except farm	20	100.0	14	70.0	6	30.0	0	
Farm laborers and foremen:	4	100.0	4	100.0	0	~	0	
Service workers	2	100.0	2	100.0	0		0	
Operatives:	9	100.0	9	100.0	0		0	
Craftsmen, foremen:	16	100.0	15	93.8	1	6.2	0	
Clerical and kindred	2	100.0	2	100.0	0		0	
Managers, officials, proprietors, :								
except farm:	10	100.0	8	80.0	2	20.0	0	
Professional, technical and kindred:	3	100.0	3	100.0	0		0	
:								
Negro households:	11	100.0	4	36.4	7	63.6	0	
Laborers, except farm	4	100.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	Ō	
Private household workers:	1	100.0	0		1	100.0	Ō	
Farm laborers and foremen:	3	100.0	1	33.3	2	66.7	Ö	
Operatives:	1	100.0	0		1	100.0	Ö	
Managers, officials proprietors, :	_		•				J	
except farm	1	100.0	0	~	1	100.0	0	
Professional, technical and kindred:	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	TOO.0	0	
,	<u>.</u> L		-1-	T00.0	J		U	

Table 30.--Average hours household head worked per week, by race, 1966

Negro	Pct. 100.0 6.9 43.5 43.5
Nes	No. 186 166 131 9 57 57
te t	Pet. 100.0 3.9 42.4 44.1 9.6
White	No. 287 170 198 177 7 7 78 17
1.1	Pct. 100.0 5.2 42.9 43.8 8.1
Total	No. 529 356 364 308 16 135 25 56
Hours worked per week : at nonfarm job :	All heads with farm jobs. All heads not employed. All heads with nonfarm jobs. Reporting hours worked. 1-20 hours. 21-40 hours. 41-60 hours. Not reporting.

ERIC

Arul Text Provided by ERIC

.--Age of household head whose major occupation was nonfarm, by extent of unemployment and other jobs held in 1961-66, and race, 1966 Table 31.

and ower	Д	100.0		100.0	11		! !	77.8		100.0	5.6		!	11.1	83.3
. 65	No	27 18 9	47 29 18	6	, r– ∟	- O	0	0 6	α -	0		0	0	Ŋ	7
79-	Pct.	100.0 68.1 31.9	100.0 75.4 24.6	100.0	13.3		i i	20.0 53.3		11.1	5.6	•	- 1	•	55.5
55		47 32 15	73 55 18	15	, מ ר	⊣ ⊢	0 (n∞	α	2 2	Н	Н	0	7	10
(years)	Pct.	100.0 69.5 30.5	100.0 76.7 23.3	100.0	27.8	0.0	- 1	33.3 33.3	0		4.8				
		59 41 18	90 69 21	18	77 د	-l O	01	0 0	5	7 [Н	S	Н	9	10
ehold head	; ;	100.0 65.3 34.7	100.0 81.2 18.8	100.0	35.3			41.1	000		- 1	20.0	6.7	•	•
gn	No.	49 32 17	80 65 15	17	90	$^{\circ}$ H	Н (7 5	ר	7 0	0	\sim	Н	9	\sim
of 34	1	100.0 72.2 27.8	100.0 86.3 13.7	100.0	20.0		1	33.3	000	28.6	1	28.6	!	7,	28.6
Age 25	No	54 39 15	51 44 7	15	m 0	10	О L	Λ _Γ Λ	6	- 0	0	2	0	T	7
er 25 :	Pct.	100.0 72.7 27.3	100.0 88.9 11.1	100.0	16.7	33.3		33.3	0 00 1	·	!	1	50.0	!	50.0
Under	No	22 16 6	18 16 2	9	П С	0	0 r	- N	C	10	0	0	٦	o,	٦
Total :	Pct.	100.0 69.0 31.0	100.0 77.4 22.6	100.0	22.5	5.0	1.3	43.8	0 00 6	8.6	3.7	6.6	3.7	23.5	9.05
To	No.	258 178 80	359 278 81	80	18	た	٦ ٢	35	2	<u>-</u>	\sim	ω	\sim	19	41
Item :	: Unemployed in last 5 years:	hold heads	Negro household heads	How often unemployed: : White household heads:	Once	Three	Four	Unknown	Negro household heads	Once	Twice	Three	Four	Five or more	Unknown

Table 31.--Age of household head whose major occupation was nonfarm, by extent of unemployment and other jobs held in 1961-66, and race, 1966--Continued

		•			Age	g_O	hoบระควา ส	הפשל הו	- 1	(Vegra)				,
Trem	To	Total:	Under	er 25 :	20	5-34	3		1	45-54		55-64	65	and over
How long unemplcyed:	No.	Pct.	No	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	. Pct	S	Pct.		ادد		1 1111
ᄓ	80	100.0	9 N	100.0	15	100.0	17	100.0	18	100.0	15	100.0	<i>o c</i>	100.0
1 to 5 months	16	20.0	Н	•	ന		9	7.	ıΜ		m		0	
6 months or more	29	•	m (Ö	10	•	11		14	•	12	80.0	6	100.0
······································	>	1 	⊃		0	 	0	<u> </u>	0		0	1	0	
househol	81	•	Ŋ	100.0	7	100.0	15	100.0	21	•	18	100,0	18	100.0
Less than 1 month	77	2.5	0 0		0 0	- 1	0 1		ΗС	4.8	0 -	- 1		IV I
ıtı.	62	- 6	o a	100.0	7 4	57.2	75	80.0	ر بر م		7 7	77.77	니 니 다	•
Unknown	\sim	3.7	0		0		0	· 1	, a		0	•	, H	
Number of other jobs held:														
ras c hite	258	100.0	22	100.0	54	100.0	49	0.001	70	0 00 1	7.7	0	2	0
None	35	13.6	\sim	•	0))	, 0	12.) M) 7	- ∞ t	17.0	7 - 7.	55
	118	45.8	7	•	22	•	23	•	36	61.0	56	55.3	\ <u> -</u>	25.9
	35	13.6	C)	•	<u>_</u>	•	<u>. </u>	•	12	20.3	5	•	Ŋ	•
7	21	∞ ı	rV (•	ω,	•	. t	•	٦	1.7	\sim	•	0	- 1
4. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	‡	↓ α	m -	•		•	7 (Н (7.1	0	- 1	0	
ZI.	7,7		- +	7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.) -	10. 10.	N C	# 4	γ) (1	√ 	α r	# \ ** \	0 (- 1
••			ł	•	+	•	1	•	1	7.7	\cap	•	n	T•TT
Negro household heads:	359	•	18	•	51	•	80	•	90	100.0	73	Ö	74	•
None	132	36.8	9	33.3	18	35.3	C V	27.5	28	31.1	59	39.7	. 53	
	169	•	7	38.9	21	•	45		748	53.4	34	6	7	
2	27	•	\sim	•	9	•	_	•	2	5.6	7	•	Ŋ	
	14	ر م م	0	1	7	•	\sim	•	7	η·η	Н	•	Н	•
•	70 T0	•	- Н ,	2.0	<u>-</u>	•	Ŋ	•	7		7	•	0]
or more	9 1	•	-	2.6	0		Н	•	Н		7	•	Н	2.1
Unknown	⊣	•	0	 - -	0	[[0	[0	 	Н	•	0	
-2														

ERIC.

Table 32.--Job and geographic mobility potential for household heads, by age and race, 1966

d over	Pct.	100.0 9.9 0.6 2.5 87.0	100.0 15.5 2.9 4.0 77.6	100.0 1.9 58.1	100.0 2.9 9 7. 1	100.0 2.5 97.5	100.0
: 65 and	No.	161 16 1 1 1 1	174 27 5 7 135	161 3 158	17 ⁴ 5 169	161 4 157	174 3 171
55-64	Pct.	100.0 40.0 3.3 1.7 55.0	100.0 hh.9 8.5 2.5 hh.1	100.0 21.7 78.3	100.0 28.8 71.2	100.0 14.2 85.8	100.0 27.1 72.9
: 55	No.	120 48 4 2 2 66	118 53 16 3	120 26 94	118 34 84	120 1.7 103	118 32 86
) -54	Pct.	100.0 40.0 10.4 4.4 45.2	100.0 63.0 7.4 7.4 22.2	100.0 23.7 76.3	100.0 55.6 44.4	100.0 25.2 74.8	100.0 42.6 57.4
(years	No.	135 54 14 61	108 68 8 8 8	135 32 103	108 60 48	135 34 101	108 46 62
old head	Pct.	100.0 1.9.6 7.6 9.2 33.6	150.0 71.1 10.3 7.2 11.4	100.0 28.6 71.4	100.0 59.8 40.2	100.0 22.7 7 7. 3	100.0 51.6 48.5
household	No.	119 59 9 11 40	97 69 10 7	119 34 85	97 58 39	119 27 92	97 50 47
Age of 25-34		100.0 57.5 10.3 2.3 29.9	100.0 75.8 9.7 3.2	100.0 33.3 66.7	100.0 61.3 38.7	100.0 29.9 70.1	100.0 59.7 40.3
2	No.	87 50 9 2	62 47 6 2	87 29 58	62 38 24	87 26 61	62 37 25
er 25	Pct.	100.0 70.4 7.4 3.7	100.0 75.0 10.0 10.0	100.0 40.7 59.3	100.0 75.0 25.0	100.0 40.7 59.3	100.0 75.0 25.0
Under	No.	27 19 2 1	20 15 2 2 2	27 11 16	20 15 5	27 11 16	20 15
Total	Pct.	100.0 37.9 6.0 4.0 52.1	100.0 48.2 7.1 5.0 39.7	100.0 20.8 79.2	100.0 36.3 63.7	100.0 18,3 81.7	100.0 31.6 68.4
Ţ	No.	649 246 39 26 338	579 279 41 29 230	649 135 514	579 210 369	649 119 530	579 183 396
Item		Willingness to take training: White household heads Yes Yes, but only if paid Indefinite	Negro household heads Yes	Willingness to mcve to obtain: better paying job Up to 50 miles White household heads Yes	Negro household heads Yes	200 miles or more White household heads Yes	Negro household heads Yes

Table 33.--Physical disability of household head, by residence-occupation and race, 1966

Nonfarm	Pct. 100.0 54.1 25.8 17.9	100.0 55.4 29.3 13.8	100.0 52.7 22.3 22.0 3.0
-	No. 677 366 175 121 15	341 189 100 47	336 177 75 74 10
upation Farm labor :	Pct. 100.0 65.6 29.4 3.2 1.8	100.0 64.9 31.1 4.0	100.0 65.9 28.8 2.9 2.9
Residence-occupation	No. 279 183 82 9	74 48 23 3	205 135 59 6
Residence m	Pct. 100.0 78.8 18.8	100.0 80.8 17.5 	100.0 69.8 24.5 5.7
Farm	No. 293 231 55	240 194 0 0	53 37 13 0
.a.]	Pct. 100.0 62.4 25.0 10.4	100.0 65.8 25.2 7.6 1.4	100.0 58.8 24.7 13.5 3.0
Total	No. 1,249 780 312 130 27	655 431 165 50 9	594 349 147 80 18
Level of physical disability of household head	All household heads. Not disabled. Partially disabled. Totally disabled. Uncertain.	White household heads. Not disabled. Partially disabled. Totally disabled.	Negro household heads. Not disabled. Partially disabled. Totally disabled. Uncertain.

Table 3^{μ} .--Physical disability of household head, by income class and race, 1966

1	•••					Level	of physica		disability		
	Income class	To	Total :	N dîsa	Not abled				Totally disabled	: Unce	Uncertain
Ho	: : Household income level:	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
) 	ouseholds	602	100.0	395	100.0	152		748	100.0	7	100.0
	nder \$1,	53	•	25	6.3	19		7	14.6	2	28.6
	1,000-\$1	121	•	9†	11.7	52		23	47.9	0	!
	2,000 .	103	•	09	15.2	30		12	25.0	Н	14.2
	7,000,44	120	•	35	23.3	21		7	10.4	Ø	28.6
	000-4/499	ט פ מ	15.3	9 (19.2 2.0	77		⊢ (2.1	0 (
	10,000 or	75	12.5	62	15.7	11	7.5	00	 	⊃ N	28.6
• -•	Negro households	554	100.0	325	100.0	140	100.0	75	100.0	14	100.0
	nder \$1,00	199	35.9	91	28.0	09	42.9	710	53.3	80	57.1
	00-\$1,9	209	· ·	130	40.0	50	35.7	23	30.7	9	42.9
	2,000-\$2,9	92	Ġ,	62	19.1	22	15.7	Φ	10.7	0	
	3,000 , 84,	36	•	56	8.0	9	4.3	4	5.3	0	
	5,000 - \$7,	10	•	∞	2.5	2	1.4	0		0	
	6,68-005,	7	•	2	1.5	0		0	ļ	0	1
	\$10,000 or over	\sim	9.0	Μ	0.9	0	 	0		0	!
Ho	Household-size income class:										
.	White households	602	100.0	395	•	152	100.0	748	100.0	7	100.0
	Class l	54	9	25	•	19	12.5	ο,	18.7	Н	14.3
	និង ខេ	131	•	2,8	•	9†	30.3	26	54.2	Д,	14.3
	CISS J	137 118	7.77	2,7	•	†† TC	28.9 8.0.	ט כ).•\ T	N r	28.6
	d g	162	26.9	136	34.5	55	14.5	N W	7. 7.	- N	28.6 28.6
	Negro households	554	100.0	\sim	_	140	100.0	75	100.0	77	100.0
	rn	265	47.	157	48	99		34	45.	. ω	57.1
	Class 2	202	_	0	31.4	59		35		9	42.9
	Class 3	52	7.6	39	12.0	10	7.1	Μ	•	0	!
	lass	21		15	9.4	\sim	-	Υ	7.0	0	1
	Class 5	14	_	12	3.7	7		0	; 	0	!
ľ											

Table 35.--Physical disability of household head, by race, age, and occupation, 1966

		+-7	:		vel_of			sabilit	У	
Item	TC	otal		Not sabled		tially sabled		tally sabled	Unc	ertain
	iN _C	Dot	N. c	Dot	NT	D-4	7NT _	D - 4	T.T	D - /-
Age of head:	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
White households	649	100.0	428	100.0	163	100.0	49	100.0	9	100.0
Under 25 years		4.2	25	5.8	103	0.6	0		1	
25-34 years		13.4	80	18.7	7	14.3	0			11.1
35-44 years		18.3		22.7	17	10.4	3	6.1	0	
45-54 years		20.8	97	23.6	11 24	14.7		18.4	2	22.2
55-64 years		18.5	101 65		40	24.6	9		1	11.1
65 years and over		, -	-	15.2			13	26.5	2	22.2
o) years and over	TOT	24.8	60	.14.0	74	45.4	24	49.0	3	33.4
Negro households	579	100.0	338	100.0	143	100.0	80	100.0	18	100.0
Under 25 years		3.5	20							
25-34 years		10.7	20 54	5.9 16.0	0 6	4.2	0		0	
35-44 years		10.7 16.7	74 73	21.6	_		0		2	11.1
45-54 years		18.7	78	23.1	17 26	11.9 18.2	5	6.2 3.8	2	11.1
55-64 years		•	•				3		1	5.6
65 years and over		20.4	63	18.6	39	27.3	16	20.0	0	
o) years and over	174	30.0	50	14.8	∙55	38.4	56	70.0	13	72.2
Occupation of head:	, ,									
White households	655	100.0	431	100.0	165	100.0	50	100.0	9	100.0
Farmers and farm managers		36.7	194	44.8	42	25.5	0	100.0	4	44.5
Laborers, except farm		7.6	43	10.0	6	3.7	0		1	11.1
Private household workers	•		43		0	٥٠١	0		0	TT•T
Farm laborers and foremen	_	10.5	47	10.9	22	13.3	0		0	
Service workers	-	2.3	10	2.3	5	3.0	0		0	
Operatives		4.0	22	5.1	14	2.4	0		0	
Craftsmen, foremen		5.3	28	6.5	6	3.6	0		1	11.1
Sales workers		1.2	6	1.4	2	_	0		0	⊥ ⊥ • ⊥
Clerical and kindred		0.8	5	1.1	0	1.2	0		_	
Managers, officials, and	, ,	0.0)	.	U		U	,	0	
proprietors, except farm	14	0 1	10	0 0	0	7 0	^		0	
Professional, technical		2.1	12	2.8	2	1.2	0		0	
Not otherwise employed		2.9	15	3.5	4	2.4	0	700.0	0	
Not otherwise emproyed	174	26.6	49	11.6	72	43.7	50	100.0	3	33.3
Negro households	594	100.0	349	100.0	147	100.0	80	100.0	9	100.0
Farmers and farm managers		8.9	37	10.6	13	8.8	0	T00.0	3	33.3
Laborers, except farm	, -	6.9	33	9.5	8	5.4	0		0	
Private household workers		2.7	11	3.1	4	2.7	0		1	5.5
Farm laborers and foremen		31.5	128	36.7	56	38.1	0		3	
Service workers		4.2	120	5.4)U	2.7	0		2	16.7
Operatives		8.4	19 42	12.0	8	5.5	0		0	11.1
Craftsmen, foremen		3.2	18	5.2	1	7.7 0.7	0		0	
Sales workers		J.Z	0)· <i>C</i>	0		0		0	
Clerical and kindred		0.2	1	0.3	0		0		0	
Managers, officials, and	т.	0.2	Т.	0.3	U		U		U	
proprietors, except farm	3	0.5	0	0.6	ד	0.7	^		^	
Professional, technical		1.2	2	2.0	1 0	0.7	0		0	
Not otherwise employed		32.3	7 51	14.6	52	35.4	0 පි0	100.0	0 9	50.0
		10.1		14.[]		3 3 - 4L	() ()	1 1 71 7 1 7		~ 1 1 1 1

Table 36.--Household income, amount of education parents think children need, and amount expected for oldest student son still in school, by race, 1966

3

Company of the Compan

Table 37.--Reason youngest child dropped out of school, by household income and race, 1966

Under: \$1,000-: \$2,000-: \$3,000-: \$5,000-: \$7,500-: \$10,000 \$1,999: \$2,999: \$4,999: \$7,499: \$9,999: or more	Pet. No. No. Pet. No. No.
	Pet. No. 19
Total	No. 110. 110. 122. 23. 32. 32. 44. 16. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10
Reason youngest child : dropped out of school :	White household with dropout. Poor grades. Poor health. Mentally retarded. Needed at home to work. Refused to attend. No transportation. Wanted to go to work. Got pregnant. Cot married. No dropout. Negro households with dropout. Poor grades. Poor health. Mentally retarded. Needed at home to work. Refused to attend. No transportation. Wanted to go to work. Got pregnant. Got married. No dropout.

Table 38. -- Age at which youngest child dropped out of school, by household income and race, 1966

|--|

Table 39.--Tenure of and gross sales by farm operators, by race, 1966

Item :		Total	:	Wì	ite	:	Negro
Tenure: All farm operators. Owner-operators. Part owner-operators. Managers. Tenants. Sharecroppers. Others.	No. 293 105 67 10 72 25 14	Pct. 100.0 35.8 22.9 3.4 24.6 8.5 4.8		No. 2 ¹ 0 86 64 7 66 12	Pct. 100.0 35.8 26.7 2.9 27.5 5.0 2.1	No 53 19 3 3 6 13	Pct. 100.0 35.3 5.7 5.7 11.3 24.5 17.0
Gross farm sales: All operators reporting. Under \$250. \$250-\$499. \$500-\$999. \$1,000-\$2,499. \$2,500-\$4,999. \$5,000-\$7,499. \$7,500 and over. All operators not reporting.	243 11 6 14 36 35 19 122 50	100.0 4.5 2.5 5.8 14.8 14.4 7.8 50.2		207 3 3 9 27 30 15 120 33	100.0 1.5 1.5 4.3 13.0 14.5 7.2 58.0	36 8 3 5 9 5 4 2	100.0 22.2 8.3 13.9 25.0 13.9 11.1 5.6

Table 40. -- Food produced for home consumption, by residence-occupation and race, 1966

Food produced : for home consumption :	-		:	R	esidence-c	ccupation	on .			
:	Tot	cal			rm :		ı labor	:	No	nfarm
White households. Garden only. Meat only. Garden and meat. None.	No. 655 278 11 111 255	Pct. 100.0 42.5 1.7 16.9 38.9		No. 240 87 61 89	Pct. 100.0 36.3 1.2 25.4 37.1	No. 74 35 4 15 20	Pct. 100.0 47.3 5.4 20.3 27.0		No. 341 156 4 35 146	Pct. 100.0 45.7 1.2 10.3 42.8
Megro households	594 182 19 153 240	100.0 30.6 3.2 25.8 40.4		53 10 0 33 10	100.0 18.9 62.2 18.9	205 68 11 66 60	100.0 33.2 5.4 32.1 29.3		336 104 8 54 170	100.0 31.0 2.4 16.0 50.6

Table 41.--Condition of dwelling, by household size-income class, household income, and race of occupants, 1966

T			<u> </u>	Cor	dition	of dwell	ing1/			
Income level	To	tal	Inade	quate	: Unacc	eptable	: Accep	table	: Adeq	uate
: Household size-income::	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	<u>No</u> .	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
White households:	655	100.0	100	15.3	19	2.9	207	31.6	329	50.2
Class 1:	54	100.0	31	57.4	1	1.8	15	27.8	7	13.0
Class 2:	131	100.0	42	32.1	7	5.3	44	33.6	38	29.0
Class 3:	137	100.0	14	10.2	9	6.6	71 74	32.1	70	51.1
Class 4:	118	100.0	5	4.2	2	1.7	4C	33.9	71	60.2
Class 5:	162	100.0	2	1.2	0		46	28.4	114	70.4
Class 6:	53	100.0	6	11.3	0		18	34.0	29	54.7
Negro households:	594	100.0	383	64.5	71	12.0	106	17.8	34	5.7
Class l:	265	100.0	184	69.4	33	12.5	37	14.0	11	4.1
Class 2:	202	100.0	<i>z</i> 130	64.4	28	13.9	32	15.8	12	5.9
Class 3:	52	100.0	32	61.6	4	7.7	13	25.0	3	5.7
Class 4:	21	100.0	8	38.1	2	9.5	9	42.9	2	9.5
Class 5:	14	100.0	2	14.3	2	14.3	6	42.8	չ	28.6
Class 6:	40	100.0	27	67.5	2	5.0	9	22.5	2	5.0
Household income:										
White households:	655	100.0	100	15.3	19	2.9	207	31.6	3 29	5 0.2
Under \$1,000:	53	100.0	19	35.9	3	5.7	15	28.3	16	30.1
\$1,000-\$1,999:	121	100.0	45	37.1	6	5.0	40	33.1	30	24.8
\$2,000-\$2,999:	103	100.0	20	19.4	6	5.8	33	32.1	44	42.7
\$3,000-\$4,999:	120	100.0	6	5.0	3	2.5	42	35.0	69	57.5
\$5,000-\$7,499:	92	100.0	3	3.3	1	1.1	26	28.2	62	67.4
\$7,500-\$9,999:	38	100.0	1	2.6	0		12	31.6	25	65.8
\$10,000 or over:	75	100.0	0		0		´ 2 1	28 .0	54	72.0
Unclassified:	53	100.0	6		0		18		29	
Negro households:	594	100.0	383	64.5	71	12.0	106	17.8	34	5.7
Under \$1,000:	199	100.0	135	67.8	24	12.1	28	14.1	12	6.0
\$1,000-\$1,999:	209	100.0	144	68.9	29	13.9	30	14.4	6	2.8
\$2,000-\$2,999:	92	100.0	51	55.5	13	14.1	21	22.8	7	7.6
\$3,000~\$4,999:	36	100.0	22	61.1	1	2.8	11	30.6	2	5.5
\$5,000-\$7,499:	10	100.0	3	30.0	1	10.0	4	40.0	2	20.0
\$7,500-\$9,999:	5	100.0	1	20.0	0		2	40.0	2	40.0
\$10,000 or over:	3	100.0	0		1	33.3	1	33.3	1	33.4
Unclassified:	ſιO	100.0	27	67.5	2	5.0	9	22.5	2	5.0
<u> </u>										

^{1/} The condition of the dwelling was classified as following:

Inadequate.... Dwelling does not have inside plumbing, is heated by open fireplace or stove, and physical condition of dwelling is dilapidated.

Unacceptable... Dwelling does not have inside plumbing, is heated by space heaters, and physical condition of dwelling is deteriorating.

Acceptable.... Dwelling has inside plumbing (cold water only), is heated by space heaters, and physical condition of dwelling is deteriorating.

Adequate.....Dwelling has inside plumbing, is heated by space heaters or central system, and physical condition of dwelling is sound.

Table 42.--Poor households (household size-income classes land 2), by race, sex, residence-occupation, and by age of household head, 1966

of household head	35-44 : 45-64 : 65 years : Unknown years : or over :	t. No. Pet. No. 78 65	67 100.0 50 100.0 o	83.6 36 72.0 0	2) 29.9 4 8.0 0 2] 31.3 5 10.0 0	15 22.4 27 54.0 0	11 16.4 14 28.0 0	0 0	10.4 14 28.0 0	r r 0.001 15 100.0	72.7 6 40.0	0 0	9.1 0 1.0	3 27.3 9 60.0 0	0 0	33.3 3 27.3 9 60.0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	54T TT TT TT TT TT TT TT TT TT	7 93 69.4 51 51.3 4	9.7 5 5.3 0	6 27 20.1 31 33.0 9	3 41 30.6 43 45.7 1	. 6 4.5 0 0 5.1 c	13.1 22 16.4 37 39.3 0	39 100.0 51 100.0 6	20 51.3 18 35.3 4	0 1 2.0 0	11 28.2 3 5.9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 51.4 148.7 33 64.7	0 0	.5 4 0 00
Age of	: 35-44 rs : years	Pct. No. Pc	100.0 17 100.0	14.3 5 20 h	52.4 7 41.2	4 23.5	9.5 1 5.9), 7	4.8 1 5.9	3 100.0	2	0			0	0	77	100.0 61 100.0	75.0 μ8 78.7	3.3 37.5 31 50.8	29.2 15 24.6	25.0 13 21.3	8.3 5 8.3	16.7 8 13.1	0 16 100.0	.8 8 50.0	1 0	1 6.2	8 50.0	L (12.5
	Total	Pct.	127 81.9 21	20.6	28.4	6	18.1		14.9	100.0		ı	53.3	43.4	0	4.54	467 61	100.0	67.8		26.0	32.2	.: 29 8.5 4		100.0	7 4 43.2 4		23.2	56.8		4.0
Race, sex, and residence-occupation of		White household heads	Open country	Farm	Farm labor	Nonfarm	TOWN AND VILLABE	Farm labor	Nonfarm	Females	Open country	Farm labor.	Nonfarm	Town and village	Farm Jabor		Negro household heads	Male	Open country	Farm labor	Nonfarm	lown and village	Farm labor	Nonfarm	Females	Parm Country	Farm labor.	Nonfarm	Town and village	Farm labor	Tarm Tanorissississississississississississississ

ERIC Product resolution

Table 43.--Household head (household size-income classes 1 and 2), classified by race, age, sex, and education, 1966

		wn	Pct.	-	!		1			!		001	• • •	1	!		!	100.0	i			0.001	0.00		# 	0	40.0	!	0 001	22.22	0.00		TO.	(33.3	16.7	
		Unkrawn	No	П .	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	H C	0 () (0	0	ı-I	0	,	1 ∟	ر د	Υ	Э (0 (> (N (0	9) c	1 () r	⊣ () (CV r	H	
0		65 years :	Pct.	!	100.0	10.0	34.2	20.0	18.0	12.0	0.9	0 00 5	• • •	1 00	-02	26.7	26.7	13.2	6. 7		0	0.001	20.2	40.4	18.1	14.9	4.3	2.1	0 00 1	9 2 1	04.0	7.10	(,()	12. (2. 3	0.0	0°0	
-	1	65 and	No.	ر ٥	20	2	17	10	S,	9	m	75	, c	د	7	† ,	4	N	Н	٦, ۲	14.)	46,5	T 0	38	17	T#	†	N	נ	4 0	٧ (۲ ر در	T C	0 1	⊣ ,	Н	
SASSET SMOO		54 :	Pct.	!	100.0	16.4	26.9	26.9	20.8	4.5	4.5	0 00 1	0.001	7.OT	T•6	36.3	27.3	9.1				100.0	Τα•.ζ	35.8	35.8	٠. د.	3.0	1.5	0 001	001	TO.00	30.0	43.0	J • J	J.• <u>J</u>	!	
1 "	1	45-64 years	No.	78	29	11 '	18	18	14	Μ	m	:	1 (V r	۰, ۲	†	m	П	0	7.7	T ()	134 91	ري د د	48 ,	48	<u>`</u> .	†	N	30) -	† (7T) 	Υ) (m (C	
กับเรื่อคับได้	- 1-	կկ rs	Pct.	1	100.0	,	23.6	35.3	17.6	17.6	5.9	0 001	•		33.3	33.3	1	33.4	İ			0.001	8.5	3 6. 1	26.2	9.0	21.3	1.6	0 001	1001		31.3	0.00	2.0	12.5	i	
old bead	777	35-44 years	No.	5 <mark>0</mark>	17	ο.	₹,	9	<u>ښ</u>	Μ	П	r	n c	O r	-	Н	0	П	0	1	= (T9	2	22	16	4	13	⊣	7	o c) L	Λ c	O ,	- 1 '	0	0	
Age of househo	4	Under :	Pct.	1	100.0	•		33.3	23.8	19.0	8.4			!		[!		1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1	T00°0	[10.4	43 . 8	4.2	35.4	6,2	0 301	O		L5.4	30.7).•).	23.1	23.1	
Ago	794	Under 35 yea	No.	21	21	Н	Μ	7	ς,	4	⊣	c	0 0	> (0	0	0	0	0	,	TO.	48	0	7	21	CV I	17	m	CL	T	> (N -	† 1	-	m ·	m	
•	'	rotal :	Pct.		100.0	11.0	27.1	56.4	20.0	10.3	5.5	0 001	0.001	• 0	20.0	30.0	23.3	16.7	3,3			100.0	15.2	33.0	29.8	7.9	11.7	2.4	00 -	0.00	17.00 1.00	30.4	34.4	10.4	ω, ω,	0.4	
		T	No.	185	155	17	75	ħΊ	31	J(∞	00	3 0	v	٥	6	<u>. </u>	2	П	1	401	342	25	113	102	27	40	∞	10 L	(2T	C C	۶ ۲	43	T3	11	Ŋ	
100	race, sex, and	years of formal : education :		Whites	Male heads	None	1-4 years	5-7 years	8 years	9-11 years	12 years	1. Company (1. Com	בוומדע וועממא	None	1-4 years	5-7 years	8 years	9-11 years	12 years	;	Negroes	Male heads	None	1-4 years	5-7 years	8 years	9-11 years	12 years	ا ا ا ا ا ا ا ا	ייייע זובמתם דבווום ז	None	1-4 years	λ-' years	8 years	7	12 years	

Table 44.--Poor households (household size-income classes 1 and 2), by race, sex, and age of household head, and number in the household, 1966

Race and sex of head:						of hou						
and number of indivi-:	То	tal		~~=	: 3	5-44	: 45	- 64	: 65	years	: Un	known
<u>duals in household:</u>			35	years	:y	ears	: <u>у</u> е	ars	: and	<u>over</u>	:	
: White households:	<u>No.</u> 185	Pct.	<u>No</u> .	<u>Pct.</u>	<u>No</u> .	<u>Pct.</u>	No.	Pct.	<u>No.</u>	<u>Pet.</u>	No.	Pct.
Male heads:	1.55	100.0	21	100.0	17	100.0	67	100.0	50	100.0	0	
1:	5	3.2	0		0		1	1.5	4	8.0	0	
2:	59	38.1	ı	4.8	l	5.9	21	31.4	36	72.0	0	
3:	19	12.2	4	19.0	1	5.9	9	13.4	5	10.0	0	
4	22	14.2	6	28.6	4	23.6	10	14.9	2	4.0	0	
5:	13	8.4	2	9.5	3	17.6	8	11.9	0		0	
6:	10	6.5	3	14.3	l	5.9	6	9.0	n		0	
7	9	5.8	2	9.5	3	17.6	2	3.0	2	4.0	0	
8:	12	7.7	3	14.3	l	5.9	7	10.4	l	2.0	0	
9 or more:	6	3.9	0		3	17.6	3	4.5	0		0	
Female heads:	30 16	100.0	0		3	100.0	11 5	100.0	15 11	100.0 73.3	1	100.0
2:	7	23.3	0		0		á	27.3	3	20.0	ı	100.0
3	<u> </u>	13.4	0		Ō		3	27.3	ĩ	6.7	0	
4	2	6.7	Ö		2	66.7	Õ		0		Ö	
5	0		Ō		Ō		O		Ō		Ō	
6:	ī	3.3	Ō		ı	33.3	0		0		Ö	
7	0		Ō		0		0		0		0	
8:	Č		Ō		0		0		0		Ō	
9 or more:	Ō		Ō		O.		0		0		Ö	
Negro households:	467		61	~-~	77		173		145		11	
Male heads:	342	100.0	48	100.0	61	100.0	134	1.00.0	94	100.0	5	100.0
1:	11 76	3.2 22.2	1 1	2.1 2.1	1 2	1.6 3.3	0 23	17.2	9 4 7	9.6 50.0	0 3	 60.0
3:	37	10.8	6	12.5	2	3.3	18	13.4	11	11.7	0	
4	35	10.2	7	14.6	2	3.3	15	11.2	10	10.6	1	20.0
5	35	10.2	8	16.6	5	8.2	15	11.2	7	7.4	0	
6:	21	6.2	7	14.6	3	4.9	8	5.9	3	3.2	0	
7:	28	8.2	5	10.4	6	9.8	17	12.7	. 0		0	
8:	28	8.2	6	12.5	11	18.0	10	7.5	1	1.1	0	
9 or more:	71	20.8	7	14.6	29	47.6	28	20.9	6	6.4	1	20.0
*	7.05	700.0	7.0	700.0	7.	700.0	00	700.0		100.0	_	700 0
Female heads:	125	100.0	13	100.0	16	100.0	39	100.0	51	100.0	6	100.0
1	38	30.4	0		2	12.5	7	18.0	27	52.9	2	33.3
2:	21	16.8	2	15.4	1	6.2	3	20.5	10	19.6	0	
3	16	12.8	1	7.7	3	18.8	8	20.5	4	7.9	0	
14:	12	9.6	3	23.0	1	6.2	3	7.7	4	7.9	1	16.7
5:	8	6.4	1	7.7	2	1.2.5	2	5.1	2	3.9	1	16.7
6:	6	4.8	2	15.4	2	12.5	2	5.1	0		0	
7:	6	4.8	2	15.4	1	6,3	1	2.6	2	3.9	0	
8;	11	8.8	1	7.7	2	12.5	6	15.4	0		2	33.3
9 or more:	7	5.ن	1	7.7	2	12.5	2	5.1	2	3.9	0	
:												

Table 45.--Poor households (household size-income classes 1 and 2), by race, sex, and age of household head, and number of wage earners in the household, 1966

	Unknown	Pct.		! !	1	-	1				0	0.00	O • 1	 	 	! !			1 0	T00-0	- U		•	 	<u> </u>			C C	0.001	22. 23.	7.99	[;		!	
	Unl	No.	H 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (>	r	+ F	-1 -) c) () (0	ŗ	∄ └	n c	⊃ m	า ๓	1 C	> 0) (0 (0	V	ه ۵	α,	†	0	0	0	
	years d over	Pct.	100.0	16. 0	20.0	0.					001	D. 2001	95.5		-	 	‡ ! !		 0 0	100. 100.) TO	† μ • α	`.) . V .	Ţ•	Z.T		0	100.0	78.4	15.7	-	3.9	5.0	
	65 an	No.	50	38	10	CI	0	0	0 (0	ר	٦ لـ ر تـ	T C) r	⊣ () (0	l, ,;	L45.	у п 4 а	0 0	u ¤) C	О г	⊣ (01	0	ĺ	Τς	04	∞	0	Q	Н	
ad	-64 irs	Pct.	100.0	22.4	61.2	10.5	ተ ተ	1	1.5	 - 	000	T00.01	a .	y.oT .	!	٧.٧			1 0	0.00 100 100	٠. ر. م	† († (v.00	0 I	ر·) ا	5.9	ผ	0	0.00T	25.6	51.3	10.3	7-7	5.1	
household hes	45-64 years	NO.	67 67	15	γJ	7	Μ	0	r-4 (0	-	τ α Τ	၁ (u c	O r	⊣ :	0	t	T.(3	L34	70 TO	8 6	א נ	1 ;	TO	∞	M	(33	10	20	†	Μ	N	
ا ا		Pct.	100.0	11.7	64.7	11.8	!	1	ر. 9.0	5.9	0	0.001	55.3	-00	[[§ 	<u> </u>		0	100.0 1.00.0	4.00	0. V.	3T.C	ກຸເ	ν. Ω	11.5	1.6	4	100.0	25.0	56. 2	1	18.8	!	
A	35-44 ; years	No.	17	a	11	N	0	0	Н,	H	r	∩ r	-1 C	V C	> (0	0]]	<u>. </u>	T9	Υ	7 r 4 (L G	N I	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$	7	T	,	J.	†	6	0	М	0	
	rs	Pct.	100.0		90.5	9.5	!!	[!	-		1	! !	§ !	 ! 					10 0. 0	;	04.0	3T.2	-	7.7	ļ		1	100.0		53.8	7.7	7.7		
	. Under	No.	51	0	19	ผ	0	0	0	0	c	-	> (-) (0	0	Ų	19	χ † α) C	31 1	Lک	o (ณ	0	0		13	†	7	П	Н	0	
	Total	Pct.	100.0	35.5	52.3	8 . 4	1.9		۳, ا	9.0	0	0.001	00 -	L3.4	ກ ເ ຕ	ж. Ж.	!		1	100.0	20°8	40.9	7 . 7. 7.	•	٠	5.0	1.1	,	100.0	48.0	38.4	٥•4	7.2	2.4	
	Tot	No.	185 155	55	81	13	m	0	Q i	H	Ċ	87	7.4	4 -	۱ ۱	H	0	,	467	342	Ţ.,	T40	٩	η Τ	Īβ	17	†	ļ	125	9	748	Ŋ	6	\mathfrak{C}	
Rana and cay of head .	number of verse in house		White households	None]	2	3	j		6 or more	E	remare nead	None	T		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:·····	••	Negro households	Male heads	None		2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	: • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5	6 or more	••	Female heads	None	1	2	3	h	

Table 46..-Disability status of household head (household size-income classes 1 and 2), classified by race, age, and sex, 1966

906	Unknown	Pot	100.0	100.0	100.0 66.7 33.3
u sex, ı	un :	N	10100	11 5 0 0	94000
g race, age, and sex, 1900	65 years and over	Pct. 100.0 24.0 46.0 30.0	100.0 53.3 40.0 6.7	25.5 29.8 36.2 8.5	100.0 31.4 31.4 31.4 5.8
a ch rac	9	No. 65 50 12 23 15	10 0 10 0	145 94 24 28 34 34	51 16 16 16
	head 45-64 years	Pct. 100.0 44.8 31.3 23.9	100.0 45.4 36.4 9.1	100.0 58.9 32.8 7.5	100.0 53.8 35.9 10.3
	or nousehold head t : 45-64	No. 78 67 30 21 16	11 4 2 2 1 1	173 134 79 44 10	39 21 14 0
	Age of nou 35-44 years	Pet. 100.0 58.8 23.6 17.6	33.3	100.0 72.1 19.7 6.6	100.0 75.0 18.8 6.2
		NO. 200 117 110 110 0	001100	77 61 44 12 4	16
	Under 35 years	Pct. 100.0 81.0 19.0		100.0 97.9 2.1	100.0 61.5 30.8 7.7
	3. 1	No. 21. 21. 4	0000	61 44 47 0	133
	Total	Pet. 100.0 44.5 33.6 21.9	50.0 40.0 6.7 3.3	100.0 57.9 25.1 14.1 2.9	100.0 48.8 31.2 16.8 3.2
	H	185 155 69 52 34 0	15 2 2 1	467 342 198 86 48	125 61 39 21 4
Race, sex, and :		White households. Male heads. None. Partial. Total. Uncertain.	None Partial Total Uncertain	Negro households Male heads None Partial Total Uncertain	Female heads None Partial Total Uncertain
				68	

Table 47.--Poor households (household size-income classes 1 and 2), by race and age of household head, and household type, 1966

Pct.	#		100.0	27.3 9.1	54.5 18.2 36.3
	000	0000	ноооон	11 00 8 1 0 0 1	40000
N 0 CT	52.3	1.5	30.8 6.2 3.1 13.8 1.5	100.0 56.6 31.0 15.9 2.8 4.1	43.4 6.9 4.1 17.9 9.0 5.5
No. 65	34	\	0 4 0 6 4 T	145 822 40 72 40 73 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	63 10 56 26 13
Pct. 00.0 85.9	1.3	,	14.1 1.3 1.3 6.4 5.1	100.0 76.3 0.6 11.5 1.9.1 1.2	23.7 2.3 1.6 2.9 13.9
No. 78 67	22 7 40 4	8 C L L	11 1 5 4 0	173 132 1 25 85 85 12	41 0 4 8 5 24
Pct. 100.0 85.0	5.0		15.0	100.0 76.6 2.6 68.8 1.3	23.4 1.3 1.3 3.9 2.6 14.3
No. 20	0 1 1	000	m000m0	74 72 73 73 11	18 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
0.0	47.6 4.8 47.6			100.0 80.3 32.8 1.6 1.6 3.3	19.7
• .	10 1	000	000000	61 20 20 0	12 1 0 0 11
Pct. 100.0 81.1	6.0 31.4 38.4	0.9 0.5	18.9 2.7 1.6 6.0 1.0	100.0 70.0 16.3 16.3 10.3 1.7 3.8	30.0 2.6 2.4 8.3 12.4
No. 185 150	11 58 71	п 63	35 3 11 2	467 327 23 76 188 14 18	140 12 13 39 20 58
White households	NewlywedsStatic	Female head Abnormal	Incomplete Bachelor-male Widower Widow Solitary female	Negro households Complete Newlyweds Static Normal Female head Abnormal head	Incomplete Bachelor-male Widower Bachelor-female Widow Solitary female
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No. Pet. No.	No. Pet. Pet. Pet. Pet. Pet. Pet. Pet. No. Pet. No. Pet. Pe

Table 48.--Employment status of household head (household size-income classes 1 and 2), by race, sex, and age, 1966

	OWI		Pct	!	1	 			! ! ! !			0.001			! !	 	 	!	0.001) • •		ו טטר ט	0.001		ļ					100.0	33,3	76.7	16.7	- 6 J	- - -	ر ع کار	O . [!
	Unknown		No.	4 0	· C) C	o c	o c) C	0		Н	ıc) C) c) C) c) c) H	I	רנ	4 4 L	/ L	١ ٥) C) C) C	0		9	α	- ا	l	- ۱	H C) _F	-l -C	>
	years		Pct.	100.0	α	٠ ١ ١) 	0 91) 	64.0		100.0		1	1	0 01) t	7 97	6.7	•	! !	0.001	9.05	9.41) [,	17.0	7.9	0.64		100.0			į				<u>;</u> !	
	65 ;		No.	20	7	r V) C) oc	0	32		15	v 0	C) C) V) 	+ [-	·· 一		745	7, 1 0, 1	. 0	1 t	N	16	9	94		51	႕	C.	0	٦٢	- 7		. C)
ಇರೆ	45-64 vears	2	Pct.	100.0	50.7	16. 10.	, L	, -, , r.	12.0	14.9		100.0	18.2	9.1	7 0) (C) (C)	J • I	36.3	; 		{	100.0	58.9	22.4	8,2	1.5	, O,	0.9		100.0	17.9	17.9	35.9	`	٥,٠	ا ، را د ، را	12.8	
household head			No.	67	34	73) [I - H	ι &	10		11	a	-	ι α.	۱۵	ıc	7 7	0		173	134	62	30	11	a	4	ω		36		7	14	0	N	। त	· 17	`
J	1		Pct.	100.0	64.7	17.6	.		11.8	5.9		100.0	66.7	•	33,3)	!!!	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	!		1	100.0	65.6	26.2	1		8.2	!	1	100.0	31.3	31.3	25.0	!	!	6.5	6.2	
	m Þ		No.	17	11	ന	0	0	Ø	٦		Υ	2	0	۲	0	0	0	0		77	61	70	76	0	0	Γ	0	,	Te	<u>∽</u>	\	, ‡	0	0	7	· ~	
	Under 35 years		Pct.	100.0	81.0	19.0	!	!		elte data tima		1		1					}		!	100.0	72.9	25.0	2.1	5 5 8		1	(0.00T	38.4	30.8	30.8	1	!			
	. Unc		27.	21	17	†	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		19	748	35	12	7	0	0	0	(Τ'	\	4	†	0	0	0	0	
	a1		Pet.	100.0	h2.6	16.8	9.0	5.8	6.5	27.7		100.0	13.4	3.3	10.0	26.7	ო	36.7	9.9		1	100.0	49.4	21.0	4.1	5.3	ղ • ղ	15.8	0	0.00T	16.0	15.2	18.4	14.4	4.8	26.4	4.8	
	Total		No. 185	155	99	56	٦	0	10	43		30 30	†	ᅥ	Μ	ω	ᅥ	11	S		794	342	169	72	14	18	15	54		127	20	ر ا	23	18	9	33	9	
	employment status : of household head :	••	White households	Male heads	Full-time	Part-time	Unemployed	Retired	:	Retired and disabled:	,	Female heads	Full-time	Part-time	Unemployed	Retired	Disabled	Retired and disabled:	Housewife, student:	••	Negro households	Male heads	Full-time	Part-time	Unemployed	Retired	::	Retired and disabled:		יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	Full-time	Part-time	Unemployed:	Retired	Disabled	Retired and disabled:	Housewife, student:	•

Table 49.--Occupation of household head (household size-income classes 1 and 2), by race, sex, and age, 1966

Race, sex, and primary occupation					Age	Age of hous	household 1	head	1 1			
of household h	TC	Total	95 .	Under 35 years	χ ye	35-44 years	45-64 years	45-64 years	65 y and	years:	Unk	Unknown
White households	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct,	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
ຜ	155	100.0	21	100.0	17	100.0	67	100.0	50	100.0	10	- 1
id farm managers	35	20.7	m -	14.3	<u>Г</u>	29.4	20	29.9	ή	8.0	0	
Farm laborers	ο 45	y 0,	† L	19.0 50.4	<i>ى</i> د	기 기 기 기	מיי	3°0 3°0 3°0 3°0 3°0 3°0 3°0 3°0 3°0 3°0	Оп	0	0 0	-
Service workers	0) 	0	.	0		J 0) 	0) ! 	0	
•	\mathfrak{C}	1.9	N	9.5	H	5.9	0		0		0	ļ ! }
Craitsmen, Ioremen	ი ს	o, c	Н С	8. 4		0.	α c	3.0	Н С	2.0	0 0	1
herwise employ	63	7.04	0 0	1	чм	17.6	20	29.8	⁴ 0	80.0	00	
ads	30	0.001	င		\mathfrak{C}	100.0	11	100.0	15	100.0	Н	100.0
manag	0	****	0		0	! 	0		0		0	<u> </u>
Laborers, except farm and mine	m r	10.0	0 0	!	ດ ເ	2.99	Нг	9.1	0 (1	0	
	- 1 -	n			> (⊣ r	9.L)		O 4	
Operatives	-l -C	۲ ۰ ۲) c) c	! !	⊣ ⊂	٧٠٢	>) c	\$ }
Craftsmen, foremen	0			E) C) C	 \$) C	! !) C	
Sales workers	0	1	0	!	0		0		0	ļ) C	
Not otherwise employed	25	83.4		-	Н	33.3	ω	72.7	15	100.0	· Н	100.0
Negro households	79 [†] 1				77		173		145		11	!
	342	100.0		100.0	19	100.0	134	100.0	1 6	100.0	7	100.0
nd farm manag	30	8 .00		8.3 8.3	Ŋ	3.3	19	14.2	2	5.3	0	
Laborers, except farm and mine	5₫	7.0	9	12.5	7	8.2	12	0.6	0	!!!	H	20.0
	⊢ ι	ر. د. و			0		0 (1 \	Н	1.1	0	1,
Farm Laborers.	T3.7	40.T		45.8	35	57.4	62	46.3	15	16.0	m (0.09
Decetation	0 0			7.5	⊣ <u>r</u>	٠. ۱۰	Y) (เก๋	- 1	T•.) i	
:	4 7 A	12.3	'	T•12	1 0	Τα•Ω	۲0 ۲	٠ <u>٠</u> ٠	⊣ <	⊣	⊣	20.0
se emp	46		H (O	T	1 い	ο ο	19	7.7 14.2	70	 74.4	0	 #
Female heads	125	100.0		100.0	16	100.0	39	100.0	5	100.0	Ý	0.001
Farmers and farm managers	Н	0.8	0	!	0	1	0		<u>,</u> H	2.0	0	
4-4	a.		0		0	!	O	-	П	2.0	П	16.7
	14	11.2	Μ	23.1	Μ,	18.8	9	15.4	0		Ŋ	33.3
Farm Laborers	5e -	20.8	m (23.1	∞ ,	50.0	13	33.3	Ŋ	3.9	0	
Service Workers	Λ (0.4	α (15.4	0 ([m 1	7.6	0		0	
	O r	1 0	0 r	1 1	0 (1	0 (!!!	0 (0	
Vrai usmen, lorement	⊣	ο. Ο	⊣	•	>)	{)		၁	<u> </u>
Except farm	Нг	00	0 (00	-	Н г	9.0	00		0 (!
employed	Ţ <u></u>	59.2) 4	30.7	⊃ r∨	31.2	15	38.5	0 147	92.1) M	50.0
L)	

Table 50.--Household income level (household size-income classes 1 and 2), by race, sex, and age, 1966

Total Tota	Pet. No. 21 20.0 21 21.3 2 25.5 10 21.9 9 1.3 0 00.0 0 66.7 0	NO 200	Pct. 100.0 17.1 52.9	ears	No. 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	7 years nd over Pct 100.0	Unknown 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Pct
No. Pet. No. Pet. No. Pet. No. Pet. No. Pet. No. Pet. No. Pet. No. Pet. No. Pet. No. Pet. No. Pet. No. Pet. No. Pet.			Pct. 100.0 47.1 52.9				N 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0	Pct.
21 100.0 17 100.0 67 100.0 50 2 9.5 0 13 19.1 18 10 47.6 8 47.1 39 58.2 29 9 42.9 9 52.9 13 19.1 18 9 42.9 9 52.9 13 19.1 3 0 0 2 6.7 0 0 0 0 6 54.5 13 13 13 0 0 6 54.5 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 14 14 14 15 14 <td></td> <td></td> <td>100.0 147.1 52.9</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100000 HH0</td> <td>100.0</td>			100.0 147.1 52.9				1 0 0000 HH0	100.0
2 9.5 0 13 19.4 18 10 47.6 8 47.1 39 58.2 29 9 42.9 9 52.9 13 19.4 3 0 0 2 3.0 0 0 3 100.0 11 100.0 15 0 0 6 54.5 13 0 0 6 54.5 13 0 0 0 0 11 100.0 15 0 0 0 94 18 100.0 61 100.0 134 100.0 94 14 50.0 27 44.3 59 44.0 48 16 29.2 16 26.2 23 17.2 3 24 50.0 16 100.0 39 100.0 51 13 100.0 16 100.0 39 100.0 51 14 30.8 6 37.5 10 25.6 7 1 3 23.0 1 6.2 2 5.2 3 1 0 1			147.1 52.9 				0000 нно	100.0
10			47.1 52.9 				000 110	100.0
9			52.9				00 110	100.0
0		o mo					0 110	100.0
0 3 100.0 11 100.0 15 0 0 6 54.5 13 0 1 33.3 5 45.5 2 0 0 0 0 2 66.7 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 33.3 5 45.5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 33.3 5 45.5 2 0		e 0	0 001			100.0	ппо	100.0
.7 0 — 6 54.5 13 .7 0 — 1 33.3 5 45.5 2 .6 0 — 2 66.7 0 — 0 .0 — 0 — 0 — 0 .0 48 100.0 61 100.0 134 100.0 94 .0 48 16.7 15 24.6 48 35.8 43 .4 24 50.0 27 44.3 59 44.0 48 .4 14 29.2 16 26.2 23 17.2 3 .6 2 4.1 3 4.9 4 3.0 0 .6 2 4.1 3 4.9 4 3.0 0 .6 146.2 9 56.3 27 69.2 40 .9 1 30.8 6 56.2 25.2 3 .0 3 23.0 1 6.2 55.2 3		0	0.004			7 78	НО	100.0
.7. 0 1 33.3 5 45.5 2 .6 0 2 66.7 0 0 .0 0 0 0 0 .0 0	,		-			-•22	0	
.6 0 2 66.7 0 0 - 61 0 0 0 - 61 0 0 0 - 48 100.0 61 100.0 134 100.0 94 . 48 16.7 15 24.6 48 35.8 43 . 4 29.2 16 26.2 23 14.0 48 . 4 14 3 4,9 4 3.0 0 . 2 4,1 3 4,9 4 3.0 0 . 2 4,6 9 56.3 27 69.2 40 . 3 23.0 1 60.2 40 . 3 6 56.3 27 69.2 40 . 3 23.0 1 60.2 2 5.2 3 . 3 23.0 1 60.2 2			33.3			13.3		!
- 61 177 145 - 61 77 145 - 61 100.0 134 100.0 94 - 6 8 16.7 15 24.6 48 35.8 43 - 7 1 15 24.6 48 35.8 43 - 7 15 24.6 48 35.8 43 - 7 1 100.0 27 44.3 59 44.0 48 - 7 1 26.2 23 17.2 3 - 7 1 26.2 23 17.2 3 - 7 1 26.2 23 17.2 3 - 7 26.2 23 17.2 3 - 7 26.3 27 69.2 40 - 7 26.3 27 25.6 7 - 7 26.3 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27		2	L* 99			!	0	
- 61 77 145 .0 48 100.0 61 100.0 134 100.0 94 .6 8 16.7 15 24.6 48 35.8 43 .4 14 29.2 16 26.2 23 17.2 3 .6 2 4.6 44.3 59 44.0 48 .6 2 23 17.2 3 .7 24.6 48 35.8 43 .8 29.2 16 26.2 23 17.2 3 .9 4.9 4 30.0 51 .0 3 23.0 16 100.0 39 100.0 51 .0 3 23.0 16 6.2 27 69.2 40 .0 3 23.0 1 6.2 2 55.6 7 .0 1	<u> </u>	0	and other ten			!	0	2
.0 48 100.0 61 100.0 134 100.0 94 .6 8 16.7 15 24.6 48 35.8 43 .4 24 50.0 27 44.3 59 44.0 48 .4 14 29.2 16 26.2 23 17.2 3 .6 2 4.1 3 4.9 4 3.0 0 .0 13 100.0 16 100.0 39 100.0 51 .0 4 30.8 6 56.3 27 69.2 40 .0 3 23.0 1 6.2 25.6 7 .0 3 23.0 1 6.2 5.2 3 .0 3 23.0 1 6.2 5.2 3 .0 0 0 1	ı		<u> </u>				11	- - -
8 16.7 15 24.6 48 35.8 43 24 50.0 27 44.3 59 44.0 48 14 29.2 16 26.2 23 17.2 3 2 4.1 3 4.9 4 3.0 0 13 100.0 16 100.0 39 100.0 51 6 46.2 9 56.3 27 69.2 40 1 30.8 6 37.5 10 25.6 7 3 23.0 1 6.2 2 5.2 3 1 -0 0 1	0.		100.0				5	100.0
24 50.0 27 44.3 59 44.0 48 14 29.2 16 26.2 23 17.2 3 2 4.1 3 4.9 4 3.0 0 13 100.0 16 100.0 39 100.0 51 6 46.2 9 56.3 27 69.2 40 1 30.8 6 37.5 10 25.6 7 3 23.0 1 6.2 2 5.2 3 - 0			24.6				Н	20.0
14 29.2 16 26.2 23 17.2 3 2 4.1 3 4.9 4 3.0 0 13 100.0 16 100.0 39 100.0 51 6 46.2 9 56.3 27 69.2 40 4 30.8 6 37.5 10 25.6 7 3 23.0 1 6.2 2 5.2 3 -0 6 1			44.3				†	80.0
2 h.1 3 h.9 h 3.0 0 13 100.0 16 100.0 39 100.0 51 6 46.2 9 56.3 27 69.2 h0 h 30.8 6 37.5 10 25.6 7 3 23.0 1 6.2 2 5.2 3 - 0 6 1			26.2				0	
13 100.0 16 100.0 39 100.0 51 6 46.2 9 56.3 27 69.2 40 4 30.8 6 37.5 10 25.6 7 3 23.0 1 6.2 2 5.2 3 			6°†				0	
13 100.0 16 100.0 39 100.0 51 6 46.2 9 56.3 27 69.2 40 4 30.8 6 37.5 10 25.6 7 3 23.0 1 6.2 2 5.2 3 6 1							ı	
6 46.2 9 56.3 27 69.2 40 4 30.8 6 37.5 10 25.6 7 3 23.0 1 6.2 2 5.2 3 -0 6 1			100.0				9	100.0
14 30.8 6 37.5 10 25.6 7 3 23.0 1 6.2 2 5.2 3 -0 6 1			56.3				ณ	33.3
3 23.0 1 6.2 2 5.2 3 -0 6 1			37.5				Μ	50.0
· 0 G 1		0.	6.2				H	16.7
	•	G					0	!

Continued

Table 51.--Population of poor households izze-income classes land 2), by race, sex, and age of household head and household members, 1966

and						Age of hou	sehold h	and Dand				
ar	<u></u>	Total			35		19-64		: 65	65 years		
nousenold members			35	years :	Ye		ye	years	and	over	Unknown	WI
•	ţ		i	ı								
White households	NO. 672	Pot.	101	Pot.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No	Pct.
Male heads	614	100.0	101	100.0	901	100.0	286	100.0	7+7 		V C	
•	304	49.5	45	9.44	749	46.2	150	52.5	9	49.6) C	!
Under 5 years:	33	5.4	12	11.9	9	5.7	15	, n,	0	.	o c	
5-14 years	62	10.1	12	11.9	15	14,1	33	7, 1) (\	7 7) C	<u> </u> :
15-19 years	34	5.5	0) OT	4.6	5 [†] 2	8 4.	1 C	- • •) C	! (! (
20-44 years	55	•	21	20.8	18	17.0	13	4.5) (r	ς, L) C	
45-64 years	69	11.2	0	!	0		49	22.4) ሆ	्र । ।) C	
65 years and over.:	51	8°3	0		0		Н	† O	50	47.3) C)
Unknown	0	-	0	!!!	0	!	0) C) • } •) C	
•	310	•	26	55.4	57	53.8	136	47.5	, _{[9}	50.1) C	
Under 5 years:	36	•	17	16.8	10	4.6	6	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	0	• •	C	
5-14 years	87	13.7	17	16.8	24	22.7	43	15,0) C) C	
15-19 years	22	•	Υ	3.0	9	5,7	11	, w	, a	9 -) C	
20-44 years	9	9.8	18	17.8	91	15.1	22	7.7	1 4) C	
45-64 years	78	12.7	Н	1.0	Н	0.0	147	16.4	. 00	0.40) C	
65 years and over.:	30	4.9	0	!	0	` 	- 4	4.1	70	٠. ر ٦. د) C	
Unknown	0	1	0	1	0		0	. !	0	· !) C	
••											•	
Female heads	58	100.0	0	1	15	100.0	20	100.0	21	100.0	α.	0.001
•	18	31.0	0]	∞	53.3	5	25.0	†	19.1	J	20.05
	Н	•	0	1	0	1	. 0	1	0	! ! !	ı –	50.0
	ω,	•	0	!	7	7.94	0	1	Н	7.8	10)
15-19 years	7	6.9	0	1	0	!	N	10.0	a	9.5	0	! !
20-44 years	Q	•	0	! !	Н	9.9	Н	5.0	0	.	0	!!
45-64 years;	0	1	0	! !	0	 	0		0	! !	C	!
65 years and over.:	Μ	5.2	0		0		N	10.0	Н	8.4	0	!
Unknown	0	!!!	O		0	ļ	0		0	!	0	!
•	7†0	0.69	0	1		7.94	15	75.0	17	80.9	H	50.0
Under 5 years:	Н	1.7	0	1	Н	6.7	0	. !	· 0		1 C)
5-14 years	4	6.9	0	!!	Υ	20.0	Н	5.0	0	1	0	1
15-19 years	Т	1.7	0		0		Н	5.0	0) 	0	1
20-44 years	†	•	0	 	m	20.0	H	5.0	0	1	0	[]
45-64 years	13	•	0	1	0	!	11	55.0	Ŋ	9.5	0	!
65 years and over.:	16	27.6	0		0	1	Н	5.0	15	71.4	0	
Unknown	Н	1.7	0	1	0		0	1	0	!	Н	50.0

Table 51.--Population of poor households ize-income classes 1 and 2), by race, sex, and age of household head and household members, 1966--Continued

household head	45-64 : 65 years : Unknown		No. Pct. No. Pct. No. Pct. 927 421 46	100.0 299 100.0 19	51.6 155 51.8	4.0 7 2.3 0	16.7 28 9.4 4	9.5 13 4.3 0	3.6 8 2.7 0	17.3 4 1.3	0.5 95 31.8 0	0	78.4 141 4.8.2	5.4 8 2.7	15.6 4 8.0	6.6 15 5.0	8.6 13 4.3	10.8 44 14.7	1.3 40 13.4	0.1 0		100.0	32.0 37 30.3 10	3. 2 2 1.6 2	16.7 13 10.7 7	6.4 5 4.1 0	1.9 6 4.9 1	1.9 6 4.9 0	1.3 5 4.1 0	0 0 0 9.0	ji 1.69 85 0.89	4.5 2 1.6 4	18.0 11 9.1 4	9.6 2 1.6 2	6.4 10 8.2 0	27.0 3 2.5 0	
} f			No. Pct. 592										50.5									81 100.0															
	Under :	2 100 %	Pct. No						16.9	1	\$ -			14.4			ω.	0.3	-	0.3			45.6		23.5	4.	·.	1.5	{		. 4		22.1		19.1	1	
			t. No.			44 44		6.6 3	.0 48	₽.	۳ .	2	П	Н	9		7		.7 0	.2				.4 10		.5					M	5.5	.2 15			0 6.	_
	Total		No. Pet	1,884 100.0	ц,	120 6.4	П		ω	139 7	Ŋ	0	926 49		300 15.9		П	7	N			454 100	161 35.5		17	25 5.	2	_		,	9	25 5.	_		50 11.	0	
Race and sex of head. :	sex and age of		: Negro households		Males	Under 5 years	5-14 years	15-19 years	20-44 years	45-64 years	65 years and over:	Unknown		Under 5 years		15-19 years	20-44 years	45-64 years	65 years and over:	Unknown	••	Female heads	:	Under 5 years	5-14 years		20-44 years	45-64 years	65 years and over:			Under 5 years			20-44 years		

Table 52.--Food produced for home consumption and condition of dwelling by sex of househol bead (house-house-income classes 1 and 2), 1966

Item	E	По+о1	Sex of h	household head		Hemo l o
	7					Idita
: 	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct
White households	185	100.0	155	100.0	30	100.0
None	6 †	26.5	29	18.7	20	66.7
Garden only	46	50.8	85	54.9	6	30.0
Meat only	m	1.6	Υ	1.9	0	
Garden and meat	39	21.1	38	24.5	Н	3. 3
Negro households	194	100.0	342	100.0	125	100.0
None	185	39.6	122	35.7	63	50.4
Garden only	151	32.3	105	30.7	719	36.8
Meat only	15	3.2	17	4.1	Н	0.8
Garden and meat	911	24.9	101	29.5	15	12.0
••						
Condition of dwelling:	(1	1	,	(
White households	185	100.0	155	100.0	30	100.0
Inadequate	73	39.5	63	9.04	10	33.3
Unacceptable	ω	4.3	ω	5.2	0	! ! !
Acceptable	59	31.9	50	32.3	σ	30.0
Adequate	45	24.3	34	21.9	11	36.7
••						
Negro households	794	100.0	342	100.0	125	100.0
Inadequate	314	67.2	240	70.2	77	59.2
Unacceptable	61	13.1	75	12.3	19	15.2
Acceptable	69	14.8	ተተ	12.9	25	20.0
Adequate	23	6.4	16	7.6	_	5.6

Table 53.--A profile of household heads based on race, sex, physical status, and age, 1966

Race, sex, physical:	Total		: Household size-income class :				_: Proportion of
status, and age of :			:	Classes		lasses	sample in
household head :			<u>:</u>	1 and 2	<u>: 3</u>	to 5	: classes 1 and 2
:						_	
:	No.	Pct.	No.		No.	Pct.	Pct.
White households $\frac{1}{2}$	602		185		477		30.7
Male heads:	535	100.0	155		380	100.0	29.0
65 years and over:	116	21.7	50	32.2	66	17.4	43.1
Under 65 years: :							
Disabled:	100	18.7	48	31.0	52	13.7	48.0
Not disabled:	319	59.6	57	36.8	262	68.9	1 7. 9
45-64 years:	138	25.8	30	19.4	108	28.4	21.7
Under 45 years:	178	33.3	27	17.4	1 51	39.7	15.2
Unknown	3	0.5	0		3	0.8	
:	_	·					
Female heads:	67	100.0	30	100.0	37	100.0	44.8
65 years and over:	39	58.2	15		24	64.9	38.5
Under 65 years:	-,	•	ŕ	•			
Disabled	11	16.4	8	26.7	3	8.1	72.7
Not disabled:	17	25.4	7	·	10	27.0	41.2
45-64 years:	11	16.4	5		6	16.2	45.5
Under 45 years:	6	9.0	2		4	10.8	33.3
Unknown	0		0		0		
On MICWIT	O		·		J		
Negro households2/	554		467		87		84.3
Male heads	414	100.0	342		72	100.0	82.6
65 years and over:	107	25.8	94		13	18.1	87.9
Under 65 years:	101	27.0	27	-1•2	1.0	10.1	01.0
Disabled	81	19.6	74	21.6	7	9.7	91.4
Not disabled:		54.6	174		52		78.0
	226	24.9			24		76.7
45-64 years:	103	•	79				77.1
Under 45 years:	118	28.5	91		27	37.5	
Unknown:	5	1.2	Ţ	1.2	1	1.4	80.0
: -	-1-					".00.0	00.0
Female heads:	140	100.0	125				89.3
65 years and over:	59	42.1	51	40.8	8	53.3	86.4
Under 65 years: :						/ -	
Disabled:	30	21.4	29				96.7
Not disabled:	51	36.5	45				88.2
45-64 years:	26	18.6	2]				80.6
Under 45 years:	21	15.0	20			6.7	95.2
Unknown:	4	2.9	<u>}</u>	3.2	0		100.0

 $[\]underline{1}$ / 53 white household heads could not or would not give income data.

★ U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1969—394-377/ERS-33

^{2/ 40} Negro household heads could not or would not give income data.